Michael Pendleton just submitted the survey 'Draft Annual Plan 2019/20 Feedback' with the responses below.

Draft 2019/20 Annual Plan Feedback

The work of Environment Canterbury is reported on under six portfolios as outlined in the draft Annual Plan document 2019/20. Our website outlines many of the areas we work in, in more detail. Please give your feedback on the activity planned in the comments section under each portfolio and tell us what you support/don't support about the planned activity.

I generally support the plan for Regional Leadership

No

Please provide any comments below

No Answer

I generally support the plan for Freshwater Management

No

Please provide any comments below

No Answer

I generally support the plan for Biodiversity and Biosecurity

Yes

Please provide any comments below

No Answer

I generally support the plan for Hazards, Risk and Resilience

Yes

Please provide any comments below

No Answer

I generally support the plan for Air Quality

Yes

Please provide any comments below

No Answer

I generally support the plan for Transport and Urban Development

No

Please provide any comments below

No Answer

Overall feedback on the draft Annual Plan 2019/20

I generally support the draft Annual Plan 2019/20

No

Please provide any comments below

No Answer

Fees and Charges Policy

I generally support the proposed new charge for non-compliant incident response

No

Please provide any comments below

No Answer

I generally support the proposed new charge for annual water-use data management

No Answer

Please provide any comments below

No Answer

I generally support the changes to the existing schedule of fees and charges

No

Please provide any comments below

I oppose the one hundrd per increase in Swing Mooring fees. A petition of over seven hundred (700) signatures opposing the Harbour Master's Office (HMO) management of moorings has been collected. There are 600 mooring holders in Canterbury. It is available online and the HMO is aware of it. The one hundred percent increase in swing mooring fees is directly or indirectly to cover the HMO legal costs. In an email provided by HMO in response to queries at Naval Point Yacht Club meeting with HMO its total deficit was stated as \$79,571.00. In the High Court litigation by nearly one hundred swing mooring holders against ECAN last year it sought \$76, 489 as security for ECAN's legal costs. The close approximation of the figures is unlikely to be a coincidence. Mooring holders are being asked to finance the cost of complaining.

If you have any additional comments on the Fees and Charges Policy please provide them below.

oppose the one hundrd per increase in Swing Mooring fees. A petition of over seven hundred (700) signatures opposing the Harbour Master's Office (HMO) management of

moorings has been collected. There are 600 mooring holders in Canterbury. It is available online and the HMO is aware of it. The one hundred percent increase in swing mooring fees is directly or indirectly to cover the HMO legal costs. In an email provided by HMO in response to queries at Naval Point Yacht Club meeting with HMO its total deficit was stated as \$79,571.00. In the High Court litigation by nearly one hundred swing mooring holders against ECAN last year it sought \$76, 489 as security for ECAN's legal costs. The close approximation of the figures is unlikely to be a coincidence. Mooring holders are being asked to finance the cost of complaining.

Revenue and Financing Policy

I generally support the proposed changes to the Revenue and Financing Policy to amend the land value/land area split for Regional Targeted Pest Management rates

Yes

Please provide any comments below

No Answer

I generally support the proposed changes to the Revenue and Financing Policy to create a rating area (including the Port Hills) to support the vision for a pest-free Banks Peninsula

No

Please provide any comments below

No Answer

If you have any additional comments on the Revenue and Financing Policy please provide them below.

No Answer

Supporting documents

Attach any supporting documents (if applicable)

No Answer

If you are submitting a video submission, please add your Youtube or Dropbox link in the box below

No Answer

Public Hearing

I wish to speak to Council on my submission

No

Public Information

All information contained in a submission under the Local Government Act 2002, including names and addresses for service, becomes public information. Your information

is held and administered by Environment Canterbury in accordance with the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 and the Privacy Act 1993. This means that your information may be disclosed to other people who request it in accordance with the terms of these Acts. All submissions are public documents and copies and/or a summary including your name, address and submission will be published on our website. It is therefore important you let us know if your form includes any information including your name and contact details you consider should not be disclosed. Please let us know in the comments box below.

Please provide comments below

No Answer

How did you find out about this consultation?

Other

If other, please state.

Active monitoring of policy.