

From: Neil Cookeson
To: Environment Canterbury
Subject: Annual Plan Submission
Date: Monday, 4 March 2019 8:45:16 a.m.

Neil Cookeson just submitted the survey 'Draft Annual Plan 2019/20 Feedback' with the responses below.

Draft 2019/20 Annual Plan Feedback

The work of Environment Canterbury is reported on under six portfolios as outlined in the draft Annual Plan document 2019/20. Our website outlines many of the areas we work in, in more detail. Please give your feedback on the activity planned in the comments section under each portfolio and tell us what you support/don't support about the planned activity.

I generally support the plan for Regional Leadership

Yes

Please provide any comments below

No Answer

I generally support the plan for Freshwater Management

Yes

Please provide any comments below

No Answer

I generally support the plan for Biodiversity and Biosecurity

Yes

Please provide any comments below

No Answer

I generally support the plan for Hazards, Risk and Resilience

Yes

Please provide any comments below

No Answer

I generally support the plan for Air Quality

Yes

Please provide any comments below

No Answer

I generally support the plan for Transport and Urban Development

Yes

Please provide any comments below

No Answer

Overall feedback on the draft Annual Plan 2019/20

I generally support the draft Annual Plan 2019/20

Yes

Please provide any comments below

No Answer

Fees and Charges Policy

I generally support the proposed new charge for non-compliant incident response

Yes

Please provide any comments below

No Answer

I generally support the proposed new charge for annual water-use data management

Yes

Please provide any comments below

No Answer

I generally support the changes to the existing schedule of fees and charges

Yes

Please provide any comments below

No Answer

If you have any additional comments on the Fees and Charges Policy please provide them below.

No Answer

Revenue and Financing Policy

I generally support the proposed changes to the Revenue and Financing Policy to amend the land value/land area split for Regional Targeted Pest Management rates

Yes

Please provide any comments below

No Answer

I generally support the proposed changes to the Revenue and Financing Policy to create a rating area (including the Port Hills) to support the vision for a pest-free Banks Peninsula

Yes

Please provide any comments below

No Answer

If you have any additional comments on the Revenue and Financing Policy please provide them below.

No Answer

Supporting documents

Attach any supporting documents (if applicable)

No Answer

If you are submitting a video submission, please add your Youtube or Dropbox link in the box below

No Answer

Public Hearing

I wish to speak to Council on my submission

No

Public Information

All information contained in a submission under the Local Government Act 2002, including names and addresses for service, becomes public information. Your information is held and administered by Environment Canterbury in accordance with the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 and the Privacy Act 1993. This means that your information may be disclosed to other people who request it in accordance with the terms of these Acts. All submissions are public documents and copies and/or a summary including your name, address and submission will be published on our website. It is therefore important you let us know if your form includes any information including your name and contact details you consider should not be disclosed. Please let us know in the comments box below.

Please provide comments below

I would like my Ecan rates adjusted to reflect the details below. From: Matthew Surman
Sent: Friday, 7 December 2018 3:30 p.m. To: Charles DAth
<Charles.DAth@ecan.govt.nz> Subject: FW: Halswell Drainage Rates
Hi Charles, The Halswell Drainage District was last reclassified in 1991 using both benefit (generating about 80% of the total income) and exacerbator/contributor factors (about 20% of the total income). The whole of the catchment is rated to varying degrees. The classes and descriptions are as follows: #1623 Class A Maximum drainage benefit, natural ponding

areas  Class B Very poorly drained soils, direct access to maintained drains
 Class C Lesser drainage benefit  Class D Lowest drainage benefit
 Class E Flat land contributing water to the lower catchment  Class F
Hill catchment  Class U1 Tai Tapu  Class U2 Prebbleton 
Class U3 Halswell  Class U4 Halswell Junction Road Industrial area The Class B
land on this property (in orange below) is gently sloping and is generally well above most
of the rest of the Class B land in the adjacent part of the district, being generally 10-15m
above msl (see sketch below). I haven't looked closely (since it's not especially relevant),
but flood levels will be in the 8-10m range in this area, so I am confident that most of this
property (apart from the driveway) does not flood from the main river or drainage network
(however there could be some minor local hill runoff). I'm guessing that the property was
subdivided from a larger property, which would have had direct access to the drainage
network, sometime after the classification was drawn up. Class B for the lower part of the
original property was probably reasonable at the time – it would not have been so precise
as to have an additional class between the “flat” and the “hill” country. Until 2002, the
reclassification process was a very involved one, with public notification and hearings
needed to change a classification, so the practice was not to change classifications unless
there were significant changes in the district as a whole, and significant support for change
from the rating district liaison committee, with the costs of reclassification borne by the
rating district (i.e. the local ratepayers). Since 2002, the process for changing
classifications is marginally easier, being through submissions to the annual plan. A minor
adjustment to individual properties can be considered without triggering a district-wide
reclassification. However, this can only be done for future rates (e.g. 2019/20), not rates
that have already been struck (e.g. 2018/19). In this case, I would support a reclassification
of the lower land to a different class, probably to Class F (or possibly Class E, however
this is typically the low-runoff gravel/sand/loam country around Shands Rd – I think in this
case, the soil types and runoff rate are likely to be more similar to the hill catchments).
Class F rates are about 2.5 times Class E rates, reflecting greater runoff from steeper
country and greater potential impact of development. (A note about the
exacerbator/contributor factor: as land use intensifies - which often increases runoff and
makes drainage in the lower lying areas more difficult - the land value also tends to go up,
which will generate more rates from the same property. In this way, there is a self-
correcting fairness about the rating method). Slips or likelihood of slipping are not a factor
in the classification. It also reduces the chance of administrative error if the whole property
is in a single class. For this to change, the simplest way is for the ratepayer to write a brief
submission to the next annual plan (submissions are usually open in March) and for it be
considered formally at that stage – this email could be used as supporting material. In a
separate process, we have also been considering reclassifying many other properties in the
catchment as there has been a significant amount of land uses change and subdivision.
There may be a more widespread reclassification process in the next year or two, however
we do not have a firm date for its implementation, as it would be best informed by the
results of the review of the management of the drainage network that is currently
underway. Regards Matt Surman

How did you find out about this consultation?

Postcard