

**From:** [Andrew McKay](#)  
**To:** [Environment Canterbury](#)  
**Subject:** Annual Plan Submission  
**Date:** Thursday, 21 February 2019 12:13:08 p.m.

---

Andrew McKay just submitted the survey 'Draft Annual Plan 2019/20 Feedback' with the responses below.

### **Draft 2019/20 Annual Plan Feedback**

The work of Environment Canterbury is reported on under six portfolios as outlined in the draft Annual Plan document 2019/20. Our website outlines many of the areas we work in, in more detail. Please give your feedback on the activity planned in the comments section under each portfolio and tell us what you support/don't support about the planned activity.

#### **I generally support the plan for Regional Leadership**

No

#### **Please provide any comments below**

I disagree with the proposed councillor numbers, it is heavily skewed to over representing the rural community. This is nothing more than a stitch-up. I disagree with any unelected appointees. All must be elected and represent a region or the whole region. That is democracy.

#### **I generally support the plan for Freshwater Management**

No

#### **Please provide any comments below**

Too much pollution has been permitted, too much water over allocation. The increased pollution into rivers, aquifers and lakes is an ECAN failure. The NPS is not a target to allow pollution to get to.

#### **I generally support the plan for Biodiversity and Biosecurity**

Yes

#### **Please provide any comments below**

Yes, BUT, you much more protection of these landscapes is required.

#### **I generally support the plan for Hazards, Risk and Resilience**

No

#### **Please provide any comments below**

No focus on global warming and sea level rise.

#### **I generally support the plan for Air Quality**

Yes

**Please provide any comments below**

No Answer

**I generally support the plan for Transport and Urban Development**

No

**Please provide any comments below**

Leave urban development to the local district and city councils. Butt out. As for transport, this has failed. Give up trying and pass to the district and city councils.

**Overall feedback on the draft Annual Plan 2019/20**

**I generally support the draft Annual Plan 2019/20**

No

**Please provide any comments below**

See above

**Fees and Charges Policy**

**I generally support the proposed new charge for non-compliant incident response**

No

**Please provide any comments below**

Full costs should go to offenders.

**I generally support the proposed new charge for annual water-use data management**

Yes

**Please provide any comments below**

More data and more transparency is need. Consistency of monitoring is needed.

**I generally support the changes to the existing schedule of fees and charges**

No

**Please provide any comments below**

The proposed increases are too much. You are out of control! ECAN should be limited to the increases that match the CPI (•2.4% for inflation, which takes account of increasing operating costs including fuel prices). It looks like you want chch city to pay for transport used in chch, user pays I understand, so no other areas should pay. BUT, it looks like you want chch to pay for water Management and Biodiversity which is clearly a massive subsidy of areas outside chch. Hardly seems fair to have chch to solely pay its way, and then have chch subsidies other areas. •3.5% reflects a proposed increase in spending on water management (\$3.2m) and biodiversity (\$0.8m) programmes Public transport should be paid for by the users, no subsidies. Full user pays. •3.0% on proposed increased public

transport funding which, with the supporting NZTA funding, will improve the frequency of service. This would be funded mostly from a targeted Christchurch urban rate. Hand transport to district and city council as you have failed.

**If you have any additional comments on the Fees and Charges Policy please provide them below.**

No Answer

### **Revenue and Financing Policy**

**I generally support the proposed changes to the Revenue and Financing Policy to amend the land value/land area split for Regional Targeted Pest Management rates**

Yes

**Please provide any comments below**

No Answer

**I generally support the proposed changes to the Revenue and Financing Policy to create a rating area (including the Port Hills) to support the vision for a pest-free Banks Peninsula**

Yes

**Please provide any comments below**

No Answer

**If you have any additional comments on the Revenue and Financing Policy please provide them below.**

You charge too much. Your salaries are too high. You employ too many. ECAN is fat and sloppy.

### **Supporting documents**

**Attach any supporting documents (if applicable)**

No Answer

**If you are submitting a video submission, please add your Youtube or Dropbox link in the box below**

No Answer

### **Public Hearing**

**I wish to speak to Council on my submission**

No

### **Public Information**

All information contained in a submission under the Local Government Act 2002,

including names and addresses for service, becomes public information. Your information is held and administered by Environment Canterbury in accordance with the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 and the Privacy Act 1993. This means that your information may be disclosed to other people who request it in accordance with the terms of these Acts. All submissions are public documents and copies and/or a summary including your name, address and submission will be published on our website. It is therefore important you let us know if your form includes any information including your name and contact details you consider should not be disclosed. Please let us know in the comments box below.

**Please provide comments below**

No Answer

**How did you find out about this consultation?**

Other

**If other, please state.**

Stuff article detailing ECAN rort.