

From: [Rob Woods](#)
To: [Environment Canterbury](#)
Subject: Annual Plan Submission
Date: Wednesday, 20 February 2019 5:13:18 p.m.

Rob Woods just submitted the survey 'Draft Annual Plan 2019/20 Feedback' with the responses below.

Draft 2019/20 Annual Plan Feedback

The work of Environment Canterbury is reported on under six portfolios as outlined in the draft Annual Plan document 2019/20. Our website outlines many of the areas we work in, in more detail. Please give your feedback on the activity planned in the comments section under each portfolio and tell us what you support/don't support about the planned activity.

I generally support the plan for Regional Leadership

No Answer

Please provide any comments below

No Answer

I generally support the plan for Freshwater Management

No Answer

Please provide any comments below

No Answer

I generally support the plan for Biodiversity and Biosecurity

No Answer

Please provide any comments below

No Answer

I generally support the plan for Hazards, Risk and Resilience

No Answer

Please provide any comments below

No Answer

I generally support the plan for Air Quality

No Answer

Please provide any comments below

No Answer

I generally support the plan for Transport and Urban Development

No

Please provide any comments below

(1) It is unclear exactly how the additional revenue will be spent. The introductory statements suggest rates are being increased to match NZTA increases, which sounds positive, but does not indicate what for. The public transport pages in the draft don't mention this but provide a somewhat vague indication that it is to cover the shortfalls previously funded by reserves, which is less than positive. For the increases involved a little more detail would be reasonable. These pages do not explain what will happen, if anything, to service levels during the year, or what will be delivered aside from the services, in 2018/19. The LTP is no more informative. (2) Linked to this it is not clear when the fare structure will be reviewed, as indicated in the new RPTP, which signals sometime in the 2018-21 period. I suggest this is of utmost urgency. The current fare structure was put in place in 2004 or so and since that time there has been major land use change and associated changes in travel patterns. The current fare structure is inequitable for shorter trip makers, acts as a disincentive for central city trip making (at a time when it needs it) and is acting as a handbrake on total fare revenue. A comparison between Auckland, Wellington and Christchurch of dollars charged per km travelled by bus would be informative in a number of ways, not least how passengers are paying well above other cities and the relevant total costs of operator contracts. A finer grain zonal structure that aligns better to travel distances should be investigated for its potential to stimulate shorter trips (especially to the CBD), more fairly allocate total costs to trip distances and increase overall fare revenue.

Overall feedback on the draft Annual Plan 2019/20

I generally support the draft Annual Plan 2019/20

Yes

Please provide any comments below

No Answer

Fees and Charges Policy

I generally support the proposed new charge for non-compliant incident response

Yes

Please provide any comments below

No Answer

I generally support the proposed new charge for annual water-use data management

Yes

Please provide any comments below

No Answer

I generally support the changes to the existing schedule of fees and charges

Yes

Please provide any comments below

No Answer

If you have any additional comments on the Fees and Charges Policy please provide them below.

No Answer

Revenue and Financing Policy

I generally support the proposed changes to the Revenue and Financing Policy to amend the land value/land area split for Regional Targeted Pest Management rates

Yes

Please provide any comments below

No Answer

I generally support the proposed changes to the Revenue and Financing Policy to create a rating area (including the Port Hills) to support the vision for a pest-free Banks Peninsula

Yes

Please provide any comments below

No Answer

If you have any additional comments on the Revenue and Financing Policy please provide them below.

No Answer

Supporting documents

Attach any supporting documents (if applicable)

No Answer

If you are submitting a video submission, please add your Youtube or Dropbox link in the box below

No Answer

Public Hearing

I wish to speak to Council on my submission

No

Public Information

All information contained in a submission under the Local Government Act 2002,

including names and addresses for service, becomes public information. Your information is held and administered by Environment Canterbury in accordance with the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 and the Privacy Act 1993. This means that your information may be disclosed to other people who request it in accordance with the terms of these Acts. All submissions are public documents and copies and/or a summary including your name, address and submission will be published on our website. It is therefore important you let us know if your form includes any information including your name and contact details you consider should not be disclosed. Please let us know in the comments box below.

Please provide comments below

No Answer

How did you find out about this consultation?

Postcard