

From: [Arpad Nagy](#)
To: [Environment Canterbury](#)
Subject: Annual Plan Submission
Date: Wednesday, 20 February 2019 9:58:16 a.m.

Arpad Nagy just submitted the survey 'Draft Annual Plan 2019/20 Feedback' with the responses below.

Draft 2019/20 Annual Plan Feedback

The work of Environment Canterbury is reported on under six portfolios as outlined in the draft Annual Plan document 2019/20. Our website outlines many of the areas we work in, in more detail. Please give your feedback on the activity planned in the comments section under each portfolio and tell us what you support/don't support about the planned activity.

I generally support the plan for Regional Leadership

Yes

Please provide any comments below

I can't bring any example, however, I know a large organisation has to make plans, has to work around budgets, so I'm aware of the importance of these things.

I generally support the plan for Freshwater Management

No

Please provide any comments below

I don't follow these organisation closely enough to be able to comment on this.

I generally support the plan for Biodiversity and Biosecurity

Yes

Please provide any comments below

As I have a very small knowledge about detail I have the same comment as in the first question.

I generally support the plan for Hazards, Risk and Resilience

No

Please provide any comments below

N/A

I generally support the plan for Air Quality

No

Please provide any comments below

N/A

I generally support the plan for Transport and Urban Development

No

Please provide any comments below

N/A

Overall feedback on the draft Annual Plan 2019/20

I generally support the draft Annual Plan 2019/20

Yes

Please provide any comments below

Looks like a well tough plan, but I'm not completely convinced about all aspect of it, especially the fee increase for many reason.

Fees and Charges Policy

I generally support the proposed new charge for non-compliant incident response

No

Please provide any comments below

I have not found relevant information in the draft about this question. Please let me know where can I do.

I generally support the proposed new charge for annual water-use data management

No

Please provide any comments below

Same as above I went through a couple of times now....

I generally support the changes to the existing schedule of fees and charges

No

Please provide any comments below

I'm only referring to the proposed swing mooring annual fee increase, as it is the only one which is affecting my pocket. Based on the fact what I found in the "Fees and charges policy" brochure and also the information what I recently gathered from Ian Fox (acting harbor master) during a public meeting fallowed by an email, I'm strongly disagree with this increase. The very first thing what I noticed in the Ian's speech, the average time spent on each mooring per year. Well, as he mentioned most of the cases are very simple and barely passing even an hour, but some others are way more complicated and well exceeds the avarege. In my experience (during my last year's mooring certification) most of extra time induced due the badly specified mooring requirements. All extra time what anyone had to spend on my case was occurred by that, even tough I wouldn't imagine that took longer than the mentioned varege. Secondly, I really appreciate the fact the council has

overheads and operating costs what try to recover however this cost is in present if no swing mooring owners around whatsoever. So in my perspective this cost is should stay separate from the annual mooring fee as it is barely associated with any activity what mooring owners receive. This is a very sad fact pretty much same as I can't exclude my rent from my annual tax just because I have to live somewhere. Overheads nothing to do with service or allocated time from someone working hours..... It's really not a coffee shop...

If you have any additional comments on the Fees and Charges Policy please provide them below.

No Answer

Revenue and Financing Policy

I generally support the proposed changes to the Revenue and Financing Policy to amend the land value/land area split for Regional Targeted Pest Management rates

No

Please provide any comments below

Well, I just want need to say again, I don't feel fair to throw the overheads to the fees. Keep operation costs separate, find other way do source fundus....

I generally support the proposed changes to the Revenue and Financing Policy to create a rating area (including the Port Hills) to support the vision for a pest-free Banks Peninsula

No

Please provide any comments below

I don't know

If you have any additional comments on the Revenue and Financing Policy please provide them below.

No Answer

Supporting documents

Attach any supporting documents (if applicable)

No Answer

If you are submitting a video submission, please add your Youtube or Dropbox link in the box below

No Answer

Public Hearing

I wish to speak to Council on my submission

Yes

Public Information

All information contained in a submission under the Local Government Act 2002, including names and addresses for service, becomes public information. Your information is held and administered by Environment Canterbury in accordance with the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 and the Privacy Act 1993. This means that your information may be disclosed to other people who request it in accordance with the terms of these Acts. All submissions are public documents and copies and/or a summary including your name, address and submission will be published on our website. It is therefore important you let us know if your form includes any information including your name and contact details you consider should not be disclosed. Please let us know in the comments box below.

Please provide comments below

No Answer

How did you find out about this consultation?

Other

If other, please state.

Public meeting about the planned swing mooring fee review.