

From: [Andrew Gillian](#)
To: [Environment Canterbury](#)
Subject: Annual Plan Submission
Date: Tuesday, 19 February 2019 6:16:54 p.m.

Andrew Gillian just submitted the survey 'Draft Annual Plan 2019/20 Feedback' with the responses below.

Draft 2019/20 Annual Plan Feedback

The work of Environment Canterbury is reported on under six portfolios as outlined in the draft Annual Plan document 2019/20. Our website outlines many of the areas we work in, in more detail. Please give your feedback on the activity planned in the comments section under each portfolio and tell us what you support/don't support about the planned activity.

I generally support the plan for Regional Leadership

No

Please provide any comments below

The leadership has totally lost touch with what they are there to do, whom they represent and why they are doing it. The rate payers are not an endless source of money, many thousands of people cannot afford to make ends meet now, an increase year on year is not a sustainable model.

I generally support the plan for Freshwater Management

Yes

Please provide any comments below

Yes I do but, giving the resource away for commercial gain is not acceptable, given the resource is not unlimited what happens when it runs out? Who meets the future costs? Not ecanz, the rate payers do.

I generally support the plan for Biodiversity and Biosecurity

No

Please provide any comments below

This is not ecanz area and should be left to specialist central government offices to take care of.

I generally support the plan for Hazards, Risk and Resilience

Yes

Please provide any comments below

Yes but I doubt the rate payers cannot afford to meet these costs!

I generally support the plan for Air Quality

Yes

Please provide any comments below

Yes but ecanz do not, increasing the frequency of public transport clearly demonstrates this.

I generally support the plan for Transport and Urban Development

No

Please provide any comments below

A 3.0% proposed increase on public transport funding to increase the frequency is not needed, what is needed is buses at busy times and less buses at off peak. An overall frequency approach is not needed. Lets see the present occupancy numbers that justify an increase. I see daily, buses with a high number of empty seats, away from peak travel times, increasing the frequency will at best spread the off peak occupancy. This will give little reward and only increase the cost to rate payers. I would like to see this become a rate payer vote! A 2.4% for inflation, which takes account of increasing operating costs including fuel prices. I very much doubt ecanz could predict this, rather a stab in the dark at best?, given most top shelf NZ economist can not do this. Nice try ecanz but does this now mean an annual inflation on fuel costs that may never happen and if it does not happen then the rate payers will receive a credit for a at best stab in the dark prediction? Correct me if I am wrong but a rate is exactly that, an actual cost, not a stab in the dark. If you feel it is going to happen then prove it with facts backed with the stats that say inflation will be X.

Overall feedback on the draft Annual Plan 2019/20

I generally support the draft Annual Plan 2019/20

No

Please provide any comments below

Out of touch, out of control!

Fees and Charges Policy

I generally support the proposed new charge for non-compliant incident response

No

Please provide any comments below

Out of touch, out of control!

I generally support the proposed new charge for annual water-use data management

No

Please provide any comments below

Out of touch, out of control!

I generally support the changes to the existing schedule of fees and charges

No

Please provide any comments below

Out of touch, out of control!

If you have any additional comments on the Fees and Charges Policy please provide them below.

Out of touch, out of control!

Revenue and Financing Policy

I generally support the proposed changes to the Revenue and Financing Policy to amend the land value/land area split for Regional Targeted Pest Management rates

No Answer

Please provide any comments below

Out of touch, out of control!

I generally support the proposed changes to the Revenue and Financing Policy to create a rating area (including the Port Hills) to support the vision for a pest-free Banks Peninsula

No

Please provide any comments below

Out of touch, out of control!

If you have any additional comments on the Revenue and Financing Policy please provide them below.

Out of touch, out of control!

Supporting documents

Attach any supporting documents (if applicable)

No Answer

If you are submitting a video submission, please add your Youtube or Dropbox link in the box below

No Answer

Public Hearing

I wish to speak to Council on my submission

No Answer

Public Information

All information contained in a submission under the Local Government Act 2002, including names and addresses for service, becomes public information. Your information is held and administered by Environment Canterbury in accordance with the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 and the Privacy Act 1993. This means that your information may be disclosed to other people who request it in accordance with the terms of these Acts. All submissions are public documents and copies and/or a summary including your name, address and submission will be published on our website. It is therefore important you let us know if your form includes any information including your name and contact details you consider should not be disclosed. Please let us know in the comments box below.

Please provide comments below

Out of touch, out of control!

How did you find out about this consultation?

Postcard