

BEFORE THE CANTERBURY REGIONAL COUNCIL

UNDER THE

Resource Management Act 1991

AND

IN THE MATTER

of application CRC190445 by the Christchurch City Council for a comprehensive resource consent to discharge stormwater from within the Christchurch City area and Banks Peninsula settlements on or into land, into water and into coastal environments

EVIDENCE SUMMARY

DALE ANDREW MCENTEE FOR CHRISTCHURCH CITY COUNCIL

5 November 2018

TABLED AT HEARING

Application: *CAC 190445*

Date: *7 November 2018*

CHRISTCHURCH CITY COUNCIL
PO BOX 73015
Christchurch 8154
Solicitor Acting: Brent Pizzey
Tel 64-3-9415550
Brent.Pizzey@ccc.govt.nz

INTRODUCTION

1. My name is Dale Andrew McEntee. I here summarise key points of my evidence, highlighting areas of agreement and disagreement between my opinion and that expressed in the s42A report.
2. I have one correction to make to my evidence in chief, in paragraph 43 where it says "See paragraphs 25 and 26" this should be "See paragraph 28".

SUMMARY OF EVIDENCE

3. My evidence relates to the compliance history of the Interim Global, South West and Styx consents, and compliance relationship management activities. My role at Council is Resource Consent Compliance Coordinator within the three waters and waste unit, and through that role I receive and respond to compliance monitoring reports from Environment Canterbury and compile the annual reports for the Interim Global, South West and Styx consents.

Compliance history

4. In the nine years since the interim global stormwater consent was granted Council has not been subject to any enforcement under the Act.
5. In paragraph 18 of my evidence in chief you'll find a summary of the Environment Canterbury compliance grading terminology.
6. Matters for which Council has been graded significant non-compliance are industrial site auditing, an activity required on all three catchment consents, and the delayed completion of a first flush basin at a facility called Sutherlands in the South West consent area.
7. In response to industrial site auditing non-compliance Council reviewed and clarified responsibility internally and redirected resources to meet an interim forward programme agreed by the Water Issues Management (**WiM**) meeting in mid-2017. Council continues to fulfil the agreement made via WiM and as a result

Environment Canterbury wrote to Council on 28 June 2018 advising that compliance was being achieved.

8. Council is currently constructing a \$6.76 million stormwater quantity and quality mitigation facility called Sutherlands Basin. Within the catchment of this facility is a development called Redmund Spur, the first stage, which is approximately 5ha, has opened and the first flush basin is not completed yet. As an interim mitigation some of the completed development area was fed through the construction phase stormwater system. The first flush basin will be completed this construction season.
9. Other noteworthy matters that have been graded at lower non-compliance levels are:
 - a. Changes to wet weather monitoring; and
 - b. Poor erosion and sediment control, usually in relation to private developments.
10. With respect to wet weather monitoring Council reached agreement with Environment Canterbury in September 2014 to undertake this in alignment with Council's sediment and aquatic ecology surveys. This resulted in a non-compliance against the interim global consent which was graded as non-compliance no action required.
11. With respect to erosion and sediment control Council is working on professional development opportunities to raise standards with relevant staff. For detail I refer to the evidence of Mr Mark Tipper.

Compliance relationship management activities

12. In order to maintain a positive working relationship around the issues I have already mentioned and all aspects of waterways management numerous Council staff participate in joint initiatives known as the Stormwater Action Team primarily for technical and frontline staff and the Water Issues Management group in order to give effect to the principles and practices agreed in the Stormwater Management Protocol.

13. Finally I note the benefit Council will derive from being able to apply one set of conditions across all catchments of the district. Similarly the Section 42A officer's report notes the challenge involved in compliance auditing under the existing three consents.

DALE ANDREW MCENTEE

5 November 2018