

Record No	TRIM File No	Submission	Wished to be heard	Submitter Name	Major concern	Concerns/comments
SUB031459	C18C/113031	Support	Yes	Christchurch International Airport Ltd.	Bird strike risk to aircraft	Submission relates to the whole of the application. Support the application with amendments to conditions to ensure that bird strike risk to aircraft at the Airport is minimised. Any new stormwater systems or changes to any existing systems within 3 km of the Airport should appropriately be designed and managed.
SUB031460	C18C/115132	Oppose	No	Jacob Wright	Water Quality	This may cause further degradation of the significant water catchments.
SUB031461	C18C/115151	Oppose	No	Fiona Fraser	Water Quality	This proposal will put further strains on the environment which is already badly compromised by human inputs. Do not trust that the Council is capable of adhering to the consent parameters and adequately monitoring them. Annual monitoring requirements should be considered in the conditions and results should be available to public.
SUB031462	C18C/115166	Oppose	Yes	John Davie		No comments.
SUB031463	C18C/116576	Neither	Yes	Halswell Drainage District Liaison Committee	Flooding & consent duration	There are direct effects on their river drainage functions. Have concerns if any existing or new consents granted for land drainage and stormwater discharge into the Halswell River and drainage system. Application for a 35 year consent is too long.
SUB031464	C18C/117481	Oppose	No	Glen Menzies	Water Quality	The proposal will ruin more rivers.
SUB031465	C18C/117486	Oppose	No	Kyle Gregory Sutherland	Water Quality	The proposal does not give enough protection of the natural environment. Clear guidelines should be provided to monitor and banning copper brake pads and covering stormwater pollution from roofs. Retrofitting of existing residential and commercial properties is required. Reviews should be undertaken every year to monitor the processes.
SUB031466	C18C/118524	Oppose	No	Lindsay Walton	Water Quality	Water quality of the river will start to get worse with this proposal as substantial amount of toxic waste will enter the rivers.
SUB031467	C18C/119590	Oppose	Yes	Barry C Robertson	Flooding	Flooding in Heathcote River due to subdivisions in Prestons.
SUB031468	C18C/119775	Neither	Yes	Little River Wairewa Community Trust	Flooding	Seeks a clear decision that defines the boundary of responsibility between ECan and CC for drainage within and in the immediate vicinity of the Little River settlement area. Flooding events and dated infrastructure should be addressed.
SUB031469	C18C/119938	Oppose	No	Dick Ongley	Water Quality	This proposal will worsen the water quality which will jeopardize the use of the Avon River for recreation.
SUB031470	C18C/120691	Oppose	Yes	Opāwaho Heathcote River Network	Water Quality & Flooding	Additional environmental risks and effects due to more than 200 new discharges. AEE completed before these were added to the application. Interests are mainly relates to water quality and flooding in the River. Seeks alterations or additions to some of the draft conditions proposed. Submitter wishes to be involved in the development of Catchment Plans and the proposed Implementation Plan; area-wide measures to reduce contaminants such as Zinc and Copper at source; community engagement projects.
SUB031471	C18C/121179	Oppose	Yes	Avon Ōtākaro Network	Water Quality, Modelling, Stakeholders	Adverse effects will not be minor. More reassurance re proposed compliance enforcement mechanisms are needed from the applicant. Water way care groups haven't identified as key stakeholders. Need to improve the accuracy of the modelling based on Christchurch conditions. Non-infrastructural conditions should be included in the conditions as measurable and enforceable. Haven't identified the exceptional values within the Horseshoe lake for stormwater remediations proposed within the Avon Regeneration Red Zone.
SUB031472	C18C/121181	Oppose	Yes	Avon Ōtākaro Network, Avon Ōtākaro Forest Park, Greening the Red Zone, Travis Wetland Trust	Water Quality	Adverse effects will not be minor. More reassurance re proposed compliance enforcement mechanisms are needed from the applicant. Community River Care Networks haven't identified as key stakeholders.
SUB031473	C18C/121183	Neither	No	Franco Farms Ltd	Stormwater Management	That the Stormwater Management Plan Huriitini/Halswell River is updated to show the 'Nottingham Facility' to the land to the south of Candys Road.
SUB031474	C18C/121187	Oppose	Yes	Snook Family Trust	Water Quality and Quantity, Modelling, Flooding	Believes they are affected by downstream flooding at the lower end of the Styx River. The proposed models used in the consent do not adequately reflect real situation. Concerns about lack of flood mitigation targets in their area and inadequate monitoring process to monitor the effects of the discharge of water into Styx River. Believes consent contravenes CCC's policies and transfers upstream flood hazard to downstream properties. Concerned Coastal Stormwater Management Plan not available, about lack of maintenance of waterways referred to in consent, and CCC has a conflict of interest in trying to maintain waterways ecologically as well as stormwater drains.
SUB031475	C18C/121197	Oppose	Yes	Kathryn Snook	Water Quality and Quantity, Flooding	Concerns that existing issues (as noted by the Styx River working party) relating to Styx River have not been addressed. Adverse effects on the ecology of the river from stormwater contaminants. Adverse impact on the community in the lower reaches of the Styx River.
SUB031476	C18C/121199	Oppose	No	Arawa Canoe Club	Water Quality, Coastal water quality	Potentially contaminated water discharged into rivers and coastal water will impact on their members to train on the river and general public and members on paddling on the river. Should restrict contaminants discharges to rivers and water areas.

SUB031477	C18C/121202	Neither	Yes	Lyttelton Port Company	Infrastructure, Conditions,	Lyttelton Port Company (LPC) believe that the discharges of stormwater from the Port in CCC's network during and after repair and upgrade work should be provided for in condition 1 of the Comprehensive Stormwater Network Discharge consent (CSNDC). Concern about lack of clarity on how stormwater discharges associated with City Depot will be accepted into the City Council network. Submit a new schedule to provide clarity on when stormwater schedules are acceptable from industrial sites. Insufficient clarity on what constitutes site development and site redevelopment. The Whakaraupō/Lyttelton Harbour catchment should be separated from the wider Banks Peninsula SMP and a new standalone SMP should be prepared for the Whakaraupō/Lyttelton Harbour settlements. LPC provide amended definitions and amendments to conditions 1, 4, 7, 41, Schedule 1B, and state the draft conditions would benefit from a thorough review to improve their certainty and internal consistency.
SUB031478	C18C/121205	Neither	Yes	Transpower New Zealand Limited, Wellington	Infrastructure, Conditions,	Concerns that stormwater discharge and works associated with the consent are too close to transmission lines and/or support structures, and have the potential to negatively affect the safe and efficient operation of National Grid infrastructure. Recommends conditions to address potential adverse effects.
SUB031479	C18C/121458	Support	Yes	Adrianna Hess	Green Infrastructure, flooding, water quality, cost	Believes that the required incorporation of Green Infrastructure (GI) into drainage consent planning is the only viable method for implementing this new technology. GI mitigates flooding, filters non-point source pollution, supports biodiversity and the natural hydrological cycle, and the cost is comparable if not equal to conventional concrete.
SUB031480	C18C/121460	Oppose	Yes	New Zealand Steel Ltd	Infrastructure, Conditions,	Concerns about classification of its products in relation stormwater and contaminants. Concerned about how consent will be monitored and managed in relation to controlling the use of metal roofing materials. Provided comments on draft conditions.
SUB031481	C18C/121491	Oppose	No	Southshore Residents Association	Water Quality and Quantity, Stakeholder	Believe discharges into waterways should be reduced and water quality improved. Seek clarification on wording used in conditions and state current suggested conditions are not adequate. Advocate the resurrection of the Community water partnership and more investment in education and community engagement. Concerns about additional discharge sites, lack of CCC commitment to non structural methods and no clear retro-fitting programme to older areas of the site.
SUB031482	C18C/121493	Neither	Yes	New Zealand Defence Force - Wellington	Stakeholder	NZDF requests that their own site specific stormwater consents continue to apply to stormwater discharges from NZDF sites, and that these sites will not be subject to any provisions established through the CSNDC.
SUB031483	C18C/121498	Oppose	Yes	Cashmere Stream Care Group	Water Quality	Concerns about sedimentation of Cashmere Stream. Advocate ongoing monitoring of sediment entering Cashmere Stream.
SUB031484	C18C/121530	Support	Yes	Ravensdown Ltd	Infrastructure, Conditions,	Generally supports application, however seeks conditions that provide clear direction for water quality and quantity targets, and associated lines of accountability for industrial sites in meeting those targets. Consider there is uncertainty around expectations for industrial sites. Comments on draft conditions.
SUB031485	C18C/121537	Support	Yes	Avon Rowing Club Inc	Water Quality & Quantity	Generally support the principle of the application with regard to the both surface water quality and surface water quantity outcomes. A positive progression in improving water quality in the Ōtākaro Avon River catchment and across the wider Christchurch City area with this consent. In terms of water quantity the application seeks to ensure discharge flows are managed on site to ensure flows are attenuated where suitable to reduce stormwater pressures on the waterway.
SUB031486	C18C/121622	Neither	Yes	Land Information New Zealand (LINZ)	Red zone	Support general intention of application. Any plans for stormwater management cannot predetermine or constrain any potential future land uses for Crown owned residential red zone (RRZ) land in the Ōtākaro/Avon River catchment, nor the Regeneration Plan process, nor any negotiations relating to land ownership.
SUB031487	C8C/121636	Oppose	Yes	Mr R J & Mrs P F McGuigan	Water quality and quantity, Flooding	Concerns about the adverse effects the discharge will have on environment, communities and private properties. Concerns about cumulative effects to existing property owners near Styx river, lack of effective community consultation, stormwater design, inadequate monitoring systems and acceptance of population/red zone as triggers. Adverse effects include flooding, drainage, loss of community amenities, contamination of private wells and stormwater design.
SUB031488	C18C/121892	Oppose	Yes	Jan Burney	Water Quality, Flooding & Modelling	Concerns about flood mitigation measures no says that no budget or strategy from the Christchurch City Council in the Long Term Plan or City Plan. The changes in the river flow, and flood banks from earthquake land damage have not been addressed nor has earthquake remedial work been carried to address issues out that could potentially create adverse effects to properties and communities. Concerns about pollutants, industrial pollution and the ecology and water quality of the river. Further concerns on modelling and potential inaccurate - outdated information in the application.
SUB031489	C18C/121900	Support	Yes	Z Energy Ltd, BP Oil Ltd & Mobil Oil NZ Ltd	Water quality, Stormwater Management Plans & contaminated sites	Concerned with the general lack of clarity, guidance, certainty, transparency and connectivity relating to the management context of inputs and effects of discharges from third party properties as a result of this application. These issues include the exclusion of construction and operational stage discharges from Oil Company sites within Christchurch City from the proposal. The consent conditions will not enable a clear and obvious pathway for stormwater from excluded sites (low risk & high risk sites etc)

SUB031490	C18C/121901	Support	Yes	Department of Conservation	Water Quality, Ecology, Stormwater Management Plans, Stake holder, Contaminant modelling, Duration	Discharge of stormwater onto land, into water and into coastal environments may impact DOC interests in relation to NZCPS matters, freshwater fish and their habitats, fish passage, disturbance or damage to freshwater fish spawning sites etc. DOC consider that they should be consulted with when SMP development plans and implementation plans are initiated. Concerns on the uncertainty in the meeting with water quality standards by 2025. DOC needs to be part of the SMP reviews every 10 yrs. Contaminant models must be specific for particular SMP. E. Coli has been excluded for modelling.
SUB031491	C18C/121904	Neither	Yes	Ministry of Education	Stormwater Management Plans, Stakeholders	The lack of clarity around the future and potential effects of the consent on Crown-owned sites administrated by the Ministry particularly where it is proposed to provide stormwater facilities on Ministry land. May have a potential impact on the operation of a school including the safety of teachers and students. Ministry be considered a key stakeholder in the development of stormwater management facilities, particularly where projects include the use of Ministry land.
SUB031492	C18C/121905	Oppose	Yes	Gary Sharlick & Jan Burney	see submission SUB031488	see submission SUB031488
SUB031493	C18C/122297	Oppose	Yes	Avon Heathcote Estuary Ihtai Trust	Water Quality & Quantity, Consent duration	Negative effects: Lack of commitment generally (too much use of the word "reasonable" in the water quality & quantity conditions); Lack of commitment to non-structural methods – there should be a plan/schedule/strategy/budget; No clear retro-fitting programme for older areas of the city. Positive points: CCC have reduced the application length to 25 years (from 35 years); Targets set; and Programme outlined for reducing heavy metals (copper, zinc etc)
SUB031494	C18C/122300, C8C/122311, C18C/122312	Oppose	Yes	Antonio & Kerrie Rodrigues	Ponding, Flooding & Canterbury Earthquakes	Their property lies in a basin surrounded by higher land so that when the Styx River overtops its banks near our property, the water ponds on our land and cannot drain away because the secondary flow paths have altered or been infilled following the Canterbury Earthquakes.
SUB031495	C18C/122559	Oppose	Yes	Combined River Care Networks	Water quality, stakeholder consultation	Do not accept that overall potential adverse effects on the receiving environment will be minor; Question basis for existing environment assessment; lack of commitment by CCC to limit contaminant load; request more stringent conditions; river care networks should be included in consultation for SMPs and EMP;
SUB031496	C18C/123243	Oppose	Yes	Penelope Ann Hargreaves	Flooding, infrastructure, false information	Concerns on the flood risks within the Styx catchment area. Considers that more stormwater discharges will create risks on lives of people, investment & infrastructure in the Catchment. Request to review the 2013 consent which relied on flawed predictions & mitigation.
SUB031497	C18C/124879	Oppose	Yes	Susan McLaughlin	Flooding, water quality, construction-phase issues, community involvement	Concerns about flooding effects, including increased risk, frequency and extent; effects on private properties; effects on drainage; erosion effects, sediment & silt; effects on character and amenity including visual and recreational amenity values; visual effects; water quality; overland flow paths