

From: DARRYL & KATHRYN SNOOK
To: CSNDC@ccc.govt.nz
Cc: [Hearings](#)
Subject: Snook resource consent CRC160056- a discharge consent for the comprehensive stormwater network
Date: Friday, 31 August 2018 1:49:04 a.m.

To consents authority

I wish to have my original submission on consent CRC160056 retained and considered as a submission to this application for CRC190445 as I believe the original consent should not have been replaced as the issues associated with consent CRC 160056 have been removed in this new consent therefore the CCC is not addressing the remediation required post earthquake to the Styx River arm of the stormwater plan.

Kathryn Snook

CON520: SUBMISSION ON RESOURCE CONSENT APPLICATION

(SECTION 96 RESOURCE MANAGEMENT ACT 1991)

TO: Consents Hearings
Environment Canterbury
P O Box 345
CHRISTCHURCH 8140

OR: Email: mailroom@ecan.govt.nz

OR: Fax: (03) 365 3194

OR: submit by completing an on-line form at:
<http://www.ecan.govt.nz/news-and-notices/notices/>.

FILE: CRC160056

SUB031019

Submissions close: **5.00pm on Monday
29th February 2016**

Submitter Details

Full Name of Submitter: Kathryn & Darryl Snook on behalf of the Snook Family Trust

Postal Address For Service: _____

Contact Phone No(s): _____

Fax/Email: _____

tick ☐ Please tick this box if you do not want to receive any communication on the process, and hearing via email

Contact Person: Kathryn Snook send via post

Name of applicant: Christchurch City Council

Consent Application: CRC160056 - to discharge water and contaminants from the existing and future reticulated stormwater networks within Christchurch City Council boundaries and settlements of Banks Peninsula

1. ☐ I / We support the application X ☒ I / We oppose the application ☐ I / We are neutral to the application
(neither support or oppose)

2. The reasons for making my submission are: *(state in summary the nature of your submission, giving reasons)*

I make this submission to oppose CRC160056 in its entirety on behalf of the Snook Family Trust. We reside at 900a Lower Styx Road in Brooklands and are affected by the SMP by way of downstream flooding at the lower end of the Styx River. I was involved in a submission against the initial Styx River CRC131249 Resource consent application that now appears in this document. Since acceptance of that resource consent we have experienced flooding from the Styx River.

This causes me to have concerns that the proposed models used in this consent do not adequately reflect the real situation.

I have concerns about the lack of flood mitigation targets in our area due to the Red Zone classification of neighbouring properties and the associated retreat. We are green TC3 and live in Brooklands.

I have concerns that the monitoring process mentioned in this consent is inadequate monitor the effects of this discharge of water into the Styx River as a result of the impact of this consent.

I find the overall conclusion 'reduced the existing flood risk' is incorrect when applied to our property and this is supported by the Golder & Associates June 15 document referred to by this consent.

We are an existing CCC resident and the CCC has obligations set out in the District Plan 5.1.1 to ensure reduced risk to people, property, infrastructure and the environment from the effects of natural hazards. In actual fact as a result of this consent they are contravening their own policy 5.2.5 which prevents any activity from worsening, adding or transferring hazard. I would describe this consent as transferring the upstream developments' stormwater flooding hazard to the downstream properties.

The Coastal Stormwater Management plan which covers much of the stormwater in our area is not available and appears it will be included at a later date. This gives little confidence that the excessive water generated from this consent in our area will be properly managed.

I am concerned about the lack of maintenance of the rivers, streams, drains and ponds that are referred to in this consent. I see a conflict of interest with the CCC trying to maintain an ecologically friendly river and wetlands environment when in fact they require these designated waterways to act as drains in a storm.

Please attach additional pages if required

3. I wish the consent authority to make the following decision: *(give details, including the general nature of any conditions sought)*

We wish the consent authority to decline CRC 160056 until the CCC has included adequate mitigation measures for all areas that are at increased risk of flooding as a result of this consent.

Please attach additional pages if required

4. ☒ I / We **do** wish to be heard in support of my/our submission*
(Note: this means you wish to speak in support of your submission at the hearing)

*If others make a similar submission, I will consider presenting a joint case with them at the hearing X ☐ Yes ☐ No

- ☐ I / We **do not** wish to be heard in support of my/our submission
(Note: this means that you cannot speak at the hearing, however you will retain your right to appeal any decision to the Environment Court on any decision made by the Council.)

5. ☐ I/We **am** a trade competitor for the purposes of section 308B of the Resource Management Act 1991.

I / We **am** / **am not** directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that

- (a) adversely affects the environment; and
(b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.

Kathryn Snook

Signature of submitter or duly authorised agent on behalf of submitter

Date 28 / 2 /16

Notes to the submitter:

1. The person making this submission must send a copy to the applicant as soon as reasonably practicable after serving Environment Canterbury
2. A copy of all submissions received will be provided to the applicant.
3. Please be aware that third parties may request a copy of submissions received and that request is subject to the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987.