

From:
To: [Hearings](#)
Cc: CSNDC@ccc.govt.nz
Subject: HPE CM: Notifications Consent Submission: Group 362
Date: Sunday, 19 August 2018 5:53:15 p.m.

Group ID: 362

Name: F K Fraser

Care of:

Mailing address 1:

Mailing address 2:

Suburb:

Town/City:

Post-code:

Country: NZ

Mobile phone:

Work phone:

Home phone:

Email:

Contact by email: Yes

Is a trade competitor: No

Directly affected: Yes

Consent support/hearing details

- CRC190445: oppose | NOT to be heard | will NOT consider joint hearing

Reasons comment:

As a regular kayak paddler on the Avon River and also in coastal waters around Christchurch and Banks Peninsula I am very concerned that this consent will put further strain on an environment which is already badly compromised by human inputs. I am also not convinced that the City Council is able to adequately monitor or manage transgressions to the consent. Any time I have contacted them regarding problems with the Avon River I have never been replied to, which seems to demonstrate a complete lack of concern for the river. I also collect rubbish off beaches when I paddle to them, and usually fill a supermarket bag in a walk up and down the beach. A particularly filthy beach is over by Ripapa Island in Lyttleton Harbour. I am not collecting muesli wrappers and fishing gear, it is mostly construction waste including degraded polystyrene - presumably from the Port land reclamation. Clearly this is not being managed at all by the Council, so why should we trust that they can manage other construction run-off? It is about time we took

responsibility, at source, for the rubbish & chemicals that we produce - not just send them off out into the waterways where they are out of sight and out of mind.

Consent comment:

I don't want the consent issued. However, if a consent is issued, it should be reconsidered annually with monitoring conditions that contain a schedule of actual monitoring that is going to take place in that period with results made public. The consent applicants should be charged accordingly to cover the cost of the monitoring (which they may figure out is more expensive than actually dealing with their discharge).

From:
To: [frea@ms](#)
Cc: CSNDC@ccc.govt.nz
Subject: Notifications Consent Submission: Group 362
Date: Saturday, 25 August 2018 9:06:09 p.m.

Group ID: 362

Name: Fiona

Care of:

Mailing address 1:

Mailing address 2:

Suburb:

Town/City:

Post-code:

Country: NZ

Mobile phone:

Work phone:

Home phone:

Email:

Contact by email: Yes

Is a trade competitor: No

Directly affected: Yes

Consent support/hearing details

- CRC190445: neither | NOT to be heard | will NOT consider joint hearing

Reasons comment:

I have already sent in a lengthy submission, but have not seen an acknowledgement of it. Unfortunately I thought that you would provide a suitable platform to submit, so didn't think to take a copy of the submission. Therefore, the executive summary is: I do not trust that the City Council is capable of adhering to the consent parameters and adequately monitoring them. They have displayed woeful management of the Port land reclamation, just have a look at the debris on the Ripapa Island Beach to verify that.

Consent comment:

The decision should be not to grant consent. If that is not possible then the review period should be annually with stringent monitoring of the consent conditions - and at a suitable cost to the applicant to make them consider not polluting in the first place.