

File: CRC190445

To Consents Hearings  
Environment Canterbury  
PO Box 345  
Christchurch

Submitter Details

Sue McLaughlin



I do wish to be heard In support of my submission.

If others make a similar submission, I will consider presenting a joint case with them at the hearing.

I oppose the above application.

The reason for making my submission are: Adverse effects on the environment.

1 The proposed activity will have adverse effects on the environment, including cumulative effects, which are more than minor. These adverse effects include (but not limited to).

A Flooding effects, including increased risk, frequency and extent.

B Other effects on private properties

C effects on drainage

D erosion effects

E Effects on character and amenity including visual and recreational amenity values

F Visual Effects

G Water Qualities

H Sediment and Silt

I Overland Flow Paths

2 SMP documents A and B appear to have some Factual Errors which need clarification.

3 Developments effects appears to focus on downstream and not does not include up stream effects.

4 Stormwater outlets on Rural urban Fringe land before 2004 appear to have not been recognized with monetary value for the current land owners.

5 Canterbury Water Management Strategy . The proposed activity is contrary to the vision and principles of the CWMS.

File: CRC190445

To Consents Hearings  
Environment Canterbury  
PO Box 345  
Christchurch

Submitter Details

Sue McLaughlin



I do wish to be heard in support of my submission.

If others make a similar submission, I will consider presenting a joint case with them at the hearing.

- 6 Earthworks effects on water quality, flooding and erosion and overland flow paths.
- 7 Stormwater containment and quality within the stormwater ponds, swales as well secondary flow paths.
- 8 The documents do not appear to discuss the implications of the Applicants consent and the UDA with regard to future planning.
- 9 Quality of water within the Styx Catchment.
- 10 Community involvement.
- 11 Rural Urban Fringe land appears to identify open zones and key drainage systems but in some cases the land is not owned by the applicant – to be expanded.
- 12 Increased development growth within the Styx will also exacerbate the adverse effects associated with the lack of and cleaning of waterways concerned.
- 13 Earthquake damage drainage systems and the repairs strategy.
- 14 Earthquake Damage or development mitigation do not appear to be addressed with the CCC LTP or SMP south of Marshland Road.
- 15 The associated effects would not amount to sustainable management of natural and physical resources, and therefore the proposed activity is contrary to the Section of 5 of the RMA.

Note: I have made two submissions over the last 7 years. The first being in 2012 furnished by Wynn Williams on my behalf. The second in 2016 by me. Clarification is sought as to the ongoing process of the CCC Global Stormwater Consent and if it is going to continue rolling over and if so why?

File: CRC190445

To Consents Hearings  
Environment Canterbury  
PO Box 345  
Christchurch

Submitter Details

Sue McLaughlin



I do wish to be heard in support of my submission.

If others make a similar submission, I will consider presenting a joint case with them at the hearing.

I wish the consent authority to make the following decision.

That the Consent authority decline the application.

Alternatively, should the application be granted, the resource consent should be subject to the conditions that adequately mitigate the adverse effects of the activity. Including

conditions that ensure flooding problems are remedied before new discharge commences and that such flooding control will be carried out for the duration of the discharge.