

**Submission Number:** 55

**Response ID:** 1401707

**First Name:** David

**Last Name:** Hawke

---

westlandica55 just submitted the survey 'Representation Review Submission' with the responses below.

### **Submission on Proposal to the Environment Canterbury Representation Review 2018**

Please note that this form is only for submissions on the Proposal to the Environment Canterbury Representation Review 2018.

#### **Public Information**

All information contained in a submission under section 19M of the Local Electoral Act 2001, including names and addresses for service, becomes public information. Your information is held and administered by Environment Canterbury in accordance with the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 and the Privacy Act 1993. This means that your information may be disclosed to other people who request it in accordance with the terms of these Acts. It is therefore important you let us know if your form includes any information you consider should not be disclosed.

#### **1. The proposal is for 7 constituencies. Do you consider that the number of constituencies provides effective representation for communities of interest?**

Yes

#### **Please provide your comments below (optional)**

Even though the rural constituencies have substantial urban areas associated with them, my knowledge of people living in those communities confirms that they are oriented to the surrounding rural area

#### **2. Do you consider the proposed names of each constituency and the boundaries of each constituency are clear and appropriate for representation purposes?**

Yes

#### **Please provide any comments below (optional)**

No Answer

#### **3. Do you consider that the number of councillors proposed to be elected from each constituency is appropriate to provide fair representation for electors in each constituency?**

Yes

#### **Please provide any comments below (optional)**

I can imagine that the South Canterbury folk will consider themselves hard done by. Doing some calculations shows that bumping their representation to two councillors will severely violate the 10% rule. So, I think the number of councillors should stay as proposed.

**4. If you have any suggestions for changes to the proposal, please provide them below.**

One change that COULD be made is moving the Banks Peninsula Ward of Christchurch City into the Mid Canterbury constituency, on the grounds that the community of interest for Banks Peninsula has a significant rural component. However Banks Peninsula Ward also has a strong link to Christchurch City, via the former borough of Lyttelton. So, overall, no strong views.

**Public Hearings**

**Please tick those that apply**

I do not wish to be heard in support of my submission;