

Make Submission

Consultee	Ms Denise George (77743)
Email Address	denisegeorge@xtra.co.nz
Company / Organisation	George D
Address	2/16 Plover Street Southshore Christchurch 8062
Event Name	Long-Term Plan 2018-28 Consultation
Submission by	George D (Ms Denise George - 77743)
Submission ID	2018-28 LTP -1554
Response Date	26/03/18 4:22 PM
Consultation Point	Hazards, Risk and Resilience (View)
Status	Submitted
Submission Type	Web
Version	0.1
Hazards, Risk and Resilience Support/Oppose	
See page 10 of the Consultation Document.	
Please select one of the following:	I generally agree with the activity proposed for Hazards, Risk and Resilience.

Hazards, Risk and Resilience Comments

Please provide any comment.

I would like to see a flood protection programme for Southshore and South New Brighton's estuary edge being actively considered and implemented taking into account the work undertaken by the local community as we consider this a necessity to protect lives and assets in this area.

Make Submission

Consultee	Ms Denise George (77743)
Email Address	denisegeorge@xtra.co.nz
Company / Organisation	George D
Address	2/16 Plover Street Southshore Christchurch 8062
Event Name	Long-Term Plan 2018-28 Consultation
Submission by	George D (Ms Denise George - 77743)
Submission ID	2018-28 LTP -1578
Response Date	26/03/18 4:49 PM
Consultation Point	Transport and Urban Development (View)
Status	Submitted
Submission Type	Web
Version	0.1

Transport and Urban Development Support/Oppose

See page 13 of the Consultation Document.

Please select one of the following: I generally disagree with the activity proposed for Transport and Urban Development.

Transport and Urban Development Comments

Please provide any comments

In regard to public transport in general and particularly for Southshore. You talk of providing a sustainable service but since the major review in 2013 where many routes were changed regardless of what the community wanted you have failed to deliver a service that is convenient and available to some communities, then wonder why patronage is falling. What is needed is a complete review where you really listen to people in the community and what their needs are.

With regard to axing the 135 New Brighton to Burwood route, regardless of returns have you not considered the effect of the people who have to attend the hospital for appointments and visiting. Burwood is now a major hospital that covers old age care and orthopedics, most of those going there are either elderly and/or not mobile and often have no other means of transport. The area around New Brighton, including Southshore, has a large elderly population, how are they going to get to the hospital?

A question to consider, when claiming that this service returns less than 10% of the fares received did you add in the amount of government subsidy you receive for gold card holders in those return figures

because it has to be realised that a large majority of those passengers using that service to the hospital would be using a gold card.

Surely a public transport system should be just that, transport for the public and you have to accept that there is a moral obligation to ensure everyone can reach places they need to go. Not all routes will be cost effective but surely those that give a high return could be used to subsidise other essential routes. Personally since the axing of the No 5 Line to Southshore my use of public transport is 20% of what it was, the No 60 bus is not convenient does not go where I want to and is too lengthy a ride.