

Submitter Details

First Name: **Victoria**
Last Name: **Green**
Street: **79 Gardiners Road**
Suburb: **Bishopdale**
City: **Christchurch**
Country: **New Zealand**
PostCode: **8051**
Daytime Phone: **08004877877**
eMail: **victoriagreen070@gmail.com**

Wishes to be heard:

- Yes
- I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Age *

- Under 18 years
- 18-24 years
- 25-49 years
- 50-64 years
- 65 years and over

Gender *

- Male
- Female
- Other

Correspondence to:

- Submitter
- Agent
- Both

trading organisations.

Do you think we should investigate other ways to raise funding?

A local fuel tax could help us to reduce rates. Would you support us exploring this option to generate more money for transport-related projects?

Comments

An extra funding would be an benefit for these people who are disabilities, include deaf community to provide interpreters at the activities.

Flood protection

Since the earthquakes, houses along parts of the Heathcote/Ōpāwaho River have been more susceptible to flooding. We understand the effect of this on residents. To reduce the risk of homes flooding we propose speeding up our programme to dredge the river between the Woolston Cut and Radley Park and through to Hansen Park.

What do you think of us prioritising this project over other land drainage recovery work, so we can complete it within two rather than three years?

Comments

n/a

Drinking water, wastewater, stormwater and flood protection

We propose prioritising work to maintain our drinking water, stormwater and flood protection infrastructure over work to maintain our wastewater infrastructure.

What do you think of our approach to managing our drinking water, wastewater, stormwater and flood protection assets, and how we're prioritising the work?

Comments

no chorolise please

Transport

Making our city safer for people to travel in, whether by car, bicycle or on foot, has been a priority for this Council. We are keen to press on with these initiatives, to complete the network of cycleways, improve safety at more intersections and make the central city more accessible for everyone. We also want to restore our road condition to a level that is similar to other New Zealand cities over the next 20 years.

What do you think of our approach to managing our transport projects, and how we're prioritising the work?

There has been a lot of feedback expressing community concerns about the dangers presented at a range of intersections (for example, Harewood, Breens and Gardiners Roads) and the state of many streets and footpaths. As a Council we wish to agree on a framework for prioritising intersection improvement and street and footpath renewals, to ensure we meet our residents' needs.

What do you think we should take into account when prioritising the work that needs to be done?

Do you think the priorities should be informed by the local Community Board?

PTD

Comments

Please do not scrap the route of 107 and 108 as my daughter catches the 107 bus to her school daily and I usually catch that 107 to northlands or town.

please keep both routes because there are new subdivisions in between Sawyers Arms and Sytx Mills Roads are developing although people and children who lives there would be relying on buses. - walking to further is not impossible!

Facilities

In this document we list our top priorities. The funding allocated will allow us to improve or complete a wide range of facilities that will benefit Christchurch now and in the future.

Do we have the priorities right? Are there other projects you would prioritise, and if so, what would you defer to free up funding?

In this document we discuss a new funding method for community assets that are not owned by the Council but which benefit the community.

What do you think of using a targeted rating system to help progress non-Council community projects?

Are there projects in your community that could benefit from such an approach?

Comments

Improve accessibility for deaf people by provide flashing lights up on the ceiling for fire alarms at every facilities

Heritage

We are keen to know your views on the almost depleted Central City Landmark Grant Fund, through which we have helped fund work to restore heritage buildings that are in private ownership.

Do you think the Council should continue to contribute \$1.9 million per year to the Landmark Grant Fund for the next three years?

Comments

Any other comments

Comments

Attached Documents

File
No records to display.

Need Help?

Privacy Statement

Submissions are public information