

Submitter Details

First Name: **Robin**
Last Name: **Howison**
Street: **70 Selwyn Street**
Suburb: **Somerfield**
City: **Christchurch**
Country: **New Zealand**
PostCode: **8024**
Daytime Phone: **021519569**
eMail: **rphowison@gmail.com**

Wishes to be heard:

- Yes
- I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Age *

- Under 18 years
- 18-24 years
- 25-49 years
- 50-64 years
- 65 years and over

Gender *

- Male
- Female
- Other

Correspondence to:

- Submitter
- Agent
- Both

many streets and footpaths. As a Council we wish to agree on a framework for prioritising intersection improvement and street and footpath renewals, to ensure we meet our residents' needs.

What do you think we should take into account when prioritising the work that needs to be done?

Do you think the priorities should be informed by the local Community Board?

Comments

We need more cost effective public transport, currently the cost does not line up with private transport methods.

For example: I caught a bus, it cost me over \$4 to get from my home to the city, a distance of about 4-5km. If I were to take an Uber instead it would cost me around \$10-12 and would be a direct trip, therefore it really makes public transport not cost effective if I am travelling with my wife and children. Travelling via my own private vehicle is cheaper again.

Facilities

In this document we list our top priorities. The funding allocated will allow us to improve or complete a wide range of facilities that will benefit Christchurch now and in the future.

Do we have the priorities right? Are there other projects you would prioritise, and if so, what would you defer to free up funding?

In this document we discuss a new funding method for community assets that are not owned by the Council but which benefit the community.

What do you think of using a targeted rating system to help progress non-Council community projects?

Are there projects in your community that could benefit from such an approach?

Comments

Heritage

We are keen to know your views on the almost depleted Central City Landmark Grant Fund, through which we have helped fund work to restore heritage buildings that are in private ownership.

Do you think the Council should continue to contribute \$1.9 million per year to the Landmark Grant Fund for the next three years?

Comments

Any other comments

Comments

Attached Documents

File
No records to display.