

## Make Submission

Consultee Miss Rebecca McMaster (77714)

Email Address bec.mcmaster@gmail.com

Address 42a Rose St

Somerfield Christchurch

8024

Event Name Long-Term Plan 2018-28 Consultation

Submission by Miss Rebecca McMaster (77714)

**Submission ID** 2018-28 LTP -1455

**Response Date** 26/03/18 2:33 PM

Consultation Point Long-Term Plan 2018-28 (View)

**Status** Submitted

Submission Type Web

Version 0.1

Long-Term Plan 2018-28

Comments on any other policies or strategies in the Full Draft Long-Term Plan.

#### Comments.

I would strongly suggest there are no further consents approved for bottled water plants in ourregion.

I support the new Climate Change Integration programme, but I ask for it to also include a focus onlong term mitigation goals and receive substantially more funding. I also ask ECan to develop thisprogramme further into a climate change portfolio alongside your other five major portfolios.



# **Make Submission**

Consultee Miss Rebecca McMaster (77714)

Email Address bec.mcmaster@gmail.com

Company / Organisation McMaster R

Address 42a Rose St

Somerfield Christchurch

8024

Event Name Long-Term Plan 2018-28 Consultation

Submission by McMaster R (Miss Rebecca McMaster - 77714)

**Submission ID** 2018-28 LTP -1468

**Response Date** 26/03/18 2:48 PM

Consultation Point Biodiversity and Biosecurity (View)

**Status** Submitted

Submission Type Web

Version 0.1

**Biodiversity and Biosecurity Support/Oppose** 

See page 8 of the Consultation Document.

Please select one of the following:

I generally agree with the activity proposed for

Biodiversity and Biosecurity.

**Biodiversity and Biosecurity Comments** 

### Please provide any comments.

Within the draft LTP this statement is made (direct quote) "In the long-term, climate change will increasingly affect the portfolio. Changes in climate affect habitats and the biodiversitythat exists within them. Increasing flood intensity may alter river habitats and warmer temperatures allow new pests toestablish."The above statement acknowledges that climate change poses unique threats to our biodiversity. It would be reticent of us to not take action now. I hope the projected effects of climate change are being planned for now.



## Make Submission

Consultee Miss Rebecca McMaster (77714)

Email Address bec.mcmaster@gmail.com

Address 42a Rose St

Somerfield Christchurch

8024

**Event Name** Long-Term Plan 2018-28 Consultation

Submission by Miss Rebecca McMaster (77714)

**Submission ID** 2018-28 LTP -1450

**Response Date** 26/03/18 2:30 PM

Consultation Point Public Transport Options (View)

**Status** Submitted

Submission Type Web

Version 0.1

#### **Public Transport Options**

Public Transport is one of the programmes in the Transport and Urban Development portfolio. For the first year of the Long-Term Plan 2018-28 we are proposing changes to the Public Transport programme as outlined in the **Consultation Document**.(on page 14)

To make comment on the Public Transport propsed changes please complete the selection panel below.

To make comment on the the whole Transport and Urban Development portfolio, please use the tab on the left.

Please review the options in the Consultation Option 4 – none of the above. (Please provide Document and indicate which option you support: comment/ideas for an alternative solution)

### **Public Transport Comments**

#### Please provide any comments.

As for your three proposed options for public transport, I don't support any of the options presented.I don't think that Total Mobility Services should be reduced. This seems shortsighted and doesn'tseem to be demonstrated by a decline in the demand for this service. The proposed bus fare increases seem at odds with your aims to have more people using publictransport (which I support). Has there been any analysis done on what increased fare charges willdo to uptake of the service. I suspect it will decline if the prices increase. My partner exclusivelyuses the bus service to commute to the city for his work. At present the cost of the bus service isalmost exactly the same cost to run our vehicle on the same route. This seems out of balance. Especially given the Council's future aims of becoming a zero carbon city. You mention in the consultation documents that the parking in the central city is relatively low andthat this could be contributing to high vehicle rates in the central city. I see this as an

opportunity totip the balance between public transport and private car use. Increase the parking charges in thecentral city. I realise there has been a period of trying to attract people back into the central city with suchinitiatives as the one hour free parking in Council carparks. This is appreciated, however I expectthis period doesn't need to extend much longer. Perhaps the one hour free parking could extend, but the price per hour increases. I understand this is probably outside of ECan's remit, and sits with the CCC, however I'm sure this discussion could be had. I support minimising, altering, and reducing the six lowest performing bus routes with publicconsultation. Discontinuing routes only when the affected community agrees they are not useful. I support the move towards light rail. Particularly electric rail, so to minimise the city's carbonfootprint.