

From: ECInfo
To: [Hayley Kubiak](#)
Subject: FW: Website footer contact form: #3782 EMAIL:01360003491
Date: Thursday, 29 March 2018 10:16:29 AM
Importance: Low

Hello Hayley

This email came in through our Customer Services email. Can you confirm if their submission was received?

Can they speak to their submission?

Thanks

Hebe

----- Original Message -----

From: no-reply@wufoo.com
Received: 28/03/2018 4:03 p.m.
To: ECInfo; Mailbox Customer Services
Subject: Website footer contact form: #3782

Name *	Axel Wilke
Email Address *	axel@viastrada.nz
Contact Number *	0272929810
Enquiry type *	Plan / Rule
Type your enquiry here *	Two queries: 1) I made a submission on Monday on your LTP by email. I expected to get some form of acknowledgement but this hasn't happened yet. I'm thus wondering whether you have received my submission ok? 2) I did not indicate this in my submission, but would it please be possible that I speak to my submission when it comes to a hearing? Kind regards, Axel Wilke
Referring URL	https://www.ecan.govt.nz/your-region/plans-strategies-and-bylaws/

From: Axel Wilke <axel@viastrada.nz>
Sent: Monday, 26 March 2018 10:27 a.m.
To: Mailroom Mailbox
Subject: Submission to Environment Canterbury's Long Term Plan

To whom it may concern

It says on your website: "We are your regional council, working to manage the air, land and water so that it can be enjoyed by everybody. ... By managing the environment sustainably, our region's economic, social and cultural wellbeing can flourish."

Greenhouse gas emissions

Your mission statement is good, as global warming is the greatest threat to the future of mankind and the earth's environment. Yet, there does not appear to be anything in the LTP that addresses this core issue. And ECan does not even appear to have a plan to reduce its own greenhouse gas emissions. Missing what is most important is a failure that gives me grave concern. What else should be top on your agenda that is not being addressed?

Public transport

The choices given with regards to public transport all result in a declining service for people in Christchurch. The three options are fundamentally all the same – cut services. When compared to the issue above, this is going in exactly the wrong direction. As such, I reject all three options; there needs to be a fundamental change in thinking at your end.

For example, Queenstown has recently greatly improved its public transport provision, with funding coming from car parking revenue. This is an excellent collaboration by a regional council and a district council, facilitated by the NZ Transport Agency. It is this kind of thinking that is needed to advance public transport in Christchurch in particular, and Canterbury in general.

A major stumbling block appears to be the split of transport functions across the district and regional agencies. This has never made sense to me and I am strongly in favour of at least the Christchurch transport function of ECan to be transferred to Christchurch City Council.

Summary of proposals

I propose that:

- An additional section be added to the LTP that includes a programme for phasing out carbon emissions from operations plus a significant reduction in methane emissions from agriculture over the 10-year term of the LTP.
- All decisions and actions by ECan during the 10 years of the LTP be evaluated in advance as to the reductions or increases in GHG emissions such decisions and actions could potentially create
- Significant improvements be made to public transport instead of services being cut
- The transport function of ECan covering Christchurch be transferred to Christchurch City Council for more integrated decision making

Regards,
Axel Wilke, Director, Senior Traffic Engineer and Transport Planner
ViaStrada Ltd, Level 1 – 284 Kilmore Street

Christchurch 8011, New Zealand
Mobile: 027 2929 810 Main office number: 03 366 7605