

## Make Submission

<b>Consultee</b>	S Espiner (77467)
<b>Email Address</b>	seonaid@espiner.co.nz
<b>Address</b>	106 Powells rd Christchurch 7674
<b>Event Name</b>	Long-Term Plan 2018-28 Consultation
<b>Submission by</b>	S Espiner (77467)
<b>Submission ID</b>	2018-28 LTP -956
<b>Response Date</b>	23/03/18 8:38 AM
<b>Consultation Point</b>	Public Transport Options ( <a href="#">View</a> )
<b>Status</b>	Submitted
<b>Submission Type</b>	Web
<b>Version</b>	0.1

### Public Transport Options

Public Transport is one of the programmes in the Transport and Urban Development portfolio. For the first year of the Long-Term Plan 2018-28 we are proposing changes to the Public Transport programme as outlined in the **Consultation Document**.(on page 14)

To make comment on the Public Transport proposed changes please complete the selection panel below.

To make comment on the the whole Transport and Urban Development portfolio, please use the tab on the left.

**Please review the options in the Consultation Document and indicate which option you support:** Option 4 – none of the above. (Please provide comment/ideas for an alternative solution)

### Public Transport Comments

#### Please provide any comments.

I do not support increases to bus fares and suggest that increasing the cost of bus fares may reduce patronage even further--surely not the outcome Ecan hopes for. I suggest that reducing the cost of bus fares might help increase bus use as currently it is similarly expensive to catch the bus as drive a car, and coupled with the fact that catching a bus is extremely time consuming and not particularly direct, there seems little reason to catch the bus. Yet relying on individual cars as transport is not a sustainable solution, and more consideration needs to be given to the future of transport in Christchurch. I acknowledge that this is a major challenge for Ecan with no easy solution, and appreciate the opportunity to make a submission on this topic. I suggest that a deeper understanding of the needs of bus users (and potential bus users) is paramount, particularly regarding routes. It is stated that some routes appear not well utilised, but I suggest that there are perhaps other areas of Canterbury which

would benefit from additional bus routes (for example between Lincoln and Canterbury universities).  
Increasing rates, while decreasing bus fares and bus route options is a solution I would support.

## Make Submission

<b>Consultee</b>	S Espiner (77467)
<b>Email Address</b>	seonaid@espiner.co.nz
<b>Company / Organisation</b>	Espiner S
<b>Address</b>	106 Powells rd Christchurch 7674
<b>Event Name</b>	Long-Term Plan 2018-28 Consultation
<b>Submission by</b>	Espiner S ( S Espiner - 77467)
<b>Submission ID</b>	2018-28 LTP -957
<b>Response Date</b>	23/03/18 8:55 AM
<b>Consultation Point</b>	Transport and Urban Development ( <a href="#">View</a> )
<b>Status</b>	Submitted
<b>Submission Type</b>	Web
<b>Version</b>	0.1

### Transport and Urban Development Support/Oppose

See page 13 of the Consultation Document.

**Please select one of the following:** I generally disagree with the activity proposed for Transport and Urban Development.

### Transport and Urban Development Comments

#### Please provide any comments

I disagree with the proposed increase to bus fares and discontinuation of bus routes (unless replaced or modified). I would like to see more public consultation of bus routes as well as other transport options such as light rail (and cycle ways). More options for public transport which are safer and more convenient is paramount for this city to create a sustainable and use-able public transport system.