

Make Submission

| | |
|-------------------------------|---|
| Consultee | Mr Simon Britten (77432) |
| Email Address | home@redletterbox.nz |
| Company / Organisation | Britten S |
| Address | 33 Barnes Road Redwood Christchurch 8051 |
| Event Name | Long-Term Plan 2018-28 Consultation |
| Submission by | Britten S (Mr Simon Britten - 77432) |
| Submission ID | 2018-28 LTP -904 |
| Response Date | 22/03/18 2:17 PM |
| Consultation Point | Freshwater Management (View) |
| Status | Submitted |
| Submission Type | Web |
| Version | 0.1 |

Freshwater Management Support/Oppose

See page 6 of the Consultation Document.

Please select one of the following: I generally disagree with the activity proposed for Freshwater Management.

Freshwater Management Comments

Please provide any comments.

I am concerned about ECan's approach to the health of our community and of our water supply, specifically in relation to the water bottling operation at the Silver Fern / Kaputone sites in Belfast, and especially the drilling of an additional bore ("ECan accused of 'bending the law' over consents for water bottling plants", The Press, 16 March 2018). Comments in the CCC LTP consultation document suggest the City Council has, um, washed their hands ("ECan controls the process for dealing with resource consent applications", and "We cannot regulate without central Government intervention"). What ability does ECan have to do anything other than facilitate the export of water? I don't see how bottling for export aligns with any of the outcomes listed in the LTP consultation document under the Freshwater Management priority (it's not community use, isn't healthy for the ecosystem, and has minimal economic benefit)?

Make Submission

| | |
|-------------------------------|---|
| Consultee | Mr Simon Britten (77432) |
| Email Address | home@redletterbox.nz |
| Company / Organisation | Britten S |
| Address | 33 Barnes Road Redwood Christchurch 8051 |
| Event Name | Long-Term Plan 2018-28 Consultation |
| Submission by | Britten S (Mr Simon Britten - 77432) |
| Submission ID | 2018-28 LTP -905 |
| Response Date | 22/03/18 2:17 PM |
| Consultation Point | Public Transport Options (View) |
| Status | Submitted |
| Submission Type | Web |
| Version | 0.1 |

Public Transport Options

Public Transport is one of the programmes in the Transport and Urban Development portfolio. For the first year of the Long-Term Plan 2018-28 we are proposing changes to the Public Transport programme as outlined in the **Consultation Document**.(on page 14)

To make comment on the Public Transport proposed changes please complete the selection panel below.

To make comment on the the whole Transport and Urban Development portfolio, please use the tab on the left.

Please review the options in the Consultation Document and indicate which option you support: Option 4 – none of the above. (Please provide comment/ideas for an alternative solution)

Public Transport Comments

Please provide any comments.

I'm troubled by the proposal to discontinue six Christchurch bus routes. I'm thinking we should be encouraging higher utilisation of public transport? To propose discontinuation in all three three options seems like a failure of will and of imagination. In my part of town (the north west) surely alternatives could have been considered such as amalgamating the 107 and 108 routes into a single service?