

Make Submission

Consultee	Ms Lisa Beardsley (77125)
Email Address	lisa.beardsley@canterbury.ac.nz
Address	110 Roker Street Somerfield Christchurch 8024
Event Name	Long-Term Plan 2018-28 Consultation
Submission by	Ms Lisa Beardsley (77125)
Submission ID	2018-28 LTP -614
Response Date	18/03/18 12:19 PM
Consultation Point	Public Transport Options (View)
Status	Submitted
Submission Type	Web
Version	0.1

Public Transport Options

Public Transport is one of the programmes in the Transport and Urban Development portfolio. For the first year of the Long-Term Plan 2018-28 we are proposing changes to the Public Transport programme as outlined in the **Consultation Document**. (on page 14)

To make comment on the Public Transport proposed changes please complete the selection panel below.

To make comment on the the whole Transport and Urban Development portfolio, please use the tab on the left.

Please review the options in the Consultation Document and indicate which option you support:

Option 2 – As Option 1 but larger rates increase, no fare increase: larger (targeted) rates increase, no fare increase, reduced Total Mobility subsidy and six routes discontinued.

Public Transport Comments

Please provide any comments.

Please stop changing the bus routes, as this is a strong contributor to people choosing not to use the bus. Surely it is possible to use different sizes of buses on routes with different passenger volumes? And it must be possible to analyse passenger usage by time of day, so why not use large buses at peak commuter times and smaller buses during the middle of the day when there may be less passengers? Applying a detailed analysis of bus usage to the size of buses required at different times of the day would surely result in savings.

I do not like the current situation of Christchurch having several different bus companies who have to bid against each other for contracts. This leads to insecurity of employment for bus drivers and associated staff - something I do not support as I want well-trained drivers, who have knowledge of the routes they work; who have a high level of skill in interacting with the bus patrons, including tourists and people whose first language is not English. I also want all drivers to have first aid training and a good understanding of health and safety.

My view is that the Canterbury bus services should be owned by the Christchurch City Council and be run as a public good, break-even service. I think that railcar services from Rolleston and Rangiora should be trialled, with government support. Cycleways should be required to be built in all new subdivisions to link to existing cycleways. Our public transport should be future-proofed for a world with far less private car ownership. This means that we should invest in NZ-built electric buses and return to commuter passenger rail services. We should stop investing in petrol or diesel buses as they will be obsolete soon.

In the immediate future, bus lanes on the motorway or a system for bus priority on the motorway should be set up. Single occupancy cars driving into outer Christchurch via the northern and southern motorways should have to pay a small daily fee for this privilege. This could be handled via the same process as in Singapore, which has been used for decades. Single occupancy cars on the 20 busiest streets in inner Christchurch should also have to pay for this privilege. We should follow the practice of many cities around the world to discourage single occupancy car use, starting now.

Make Submission

Consultee	Ms Lisa Beardsley (77125)
Email Address	lisa.beardsley@canterbury.ac.nz
Address	110 Roker Street Somerfield Christchurch 8024
Event Name	Long-Term Plan 2018-28 Consultation
Submission by	Ms Lisa Beardsley (77125)
Submission ID	2018-28 LTP -616
Response Date	18/03/18 12:53 PM
Consultation Point	Freshwater Management (View)
Status	Submitted
Submission Type	Web
Version	0.1

Freshwater Management Support/Oppose

See page 6 of the Consultation Document.

Please select one of the following: I generally agree with the activity proposed for Freshwater Management.

Freshwater Management Comments

Please provide any comments.

I find it very hard to choose either of the options proposed for Freshwater Management as the lack of information about what is proposed is extraordinary. The language used is full of jargon and is not accessible to the average person. I have chosen the generally agree with the activity proposed for Freshwater Management, as this is something ECAN seems to recognise of being of great concern to rate payers. However I do not agree with any further exploitation of our aquifers, groundwater, lakes, rivers and streams. I do not agree with any further irrigation schemes being approved or funded. I do agree with the proposed Te Waihora resoration and hope that Lake Forsyth will also be considered for immediate restorative action. Lake Forstyth/Wairewa has been polluted for decades and action is needed now.

Please immediately revoke the licences secretly provided to the Cloud Ocean Water company and Rapaki Natural Resources to extract millions of litres of water from the Christchurch aquifer. This is an outrageous breach of trust by ECAN and is completely foolish and illogical.

The Canterbury Water Management Strategy seems to be focussed more on increasing dairy farming rather than protecting drinking water for Canterbury residents. This Strategy will only be acceptable to Canterbury and Christchurch ratepayers if all farmers must report weekly on the nutrient levels of

their pastures and that there is 100 per cent compliance on fencing all rivers, lakes, streams and creeks which cross farmland. There should be very regular public reporting on water quality of all Canterbury waterways and lakes. All farmers, property owners and companies which do not meet these standards should be fined large amounts of money (tens or hundreds of thousands of dollars). People involved in land use must realise they are in a guardianship role, not mere exploitation.

I do not support any further irrigation schemes in Canterbury or indeed Te Waipounamu. Farmers need to raise animals or grow crops in harmony with the climate, rather than demand ever-increasing amounts of water for irrigation. It is plainly stupid not to recognise that our climate is changing and will continue to change and it seems very likely that Canterbury will be less and less suitable for dairy farming due to the climate become drier and rainfall less predictable. Farming in Canterbury based on intensive dairy production is obviously highly problematic and dependent on an artificially created environment. Farming in Canterbury needs to change from an unsuitable and unstable model of monoculture dairying supported by vast amounts of irrigation, to a type of farming suitable for increasing dry conditions. This change will take some time but needs to start now, so please do not indulge in developing more irrigation schemes.

I agree with ECAN's proposals on partnership with iwi and runanga, as generally the tribal view is much longer term, (generations not years), and therefore less exploitative.

Make Submission

Consultee	Ms Lisa Beardsley (77125)
Email Address	lisa.beardsley@canterbury.ac.nz
Address	110 Roker Street Somerfield Christchurch 8024
Event Name	Long-Term Plan 2018-28 Consultation
Submission by	Ms Lisa Beardsley (77125)
Submission ID	2018-28 LTP -613
Response Date	18/03/18 11:50 AM
Consultation Point	Whole Plan (View)
Status	Submitted
Submission Type	Web
Version	0.1
Whole Plan Support/Oppose	
Please select one of the following:	I generally disagree with the activity proposed for the Long-Term Plan.

Whole Plan Comments

Please provide any comments.

The Plan appears to be more about consultation than action. We all know that there are severe problems with Canterbury rivers, lakes and streams. We all know that intensive dairy farming is causing waterway degradation, nutrient overload and impacts on drinking water supplies. These are significant problems which need action to resolve them now.