

Make Submission

Consultee Cavanagh (76660)

Email Address reub.cava1@gmail.com

Address Grantley Street

Christchurch

8083

Event Name Long-Term Plan 2018-28 Consultation

Submission by Cavanagh (76660)

Submission ID 2018-28 LTP -179

Response Date 7/03/18 1:26 PM

Consultation Point Public Transport Options (View)

Status Submitted

Submission Type Web

Version 0.1

Public Transport Options

Public Transport is one of the programmes in the Transport and Urban Development portfolio. For the first year of the Long-Term Plan 2018-28 we are proposing changes to the Public Transport programme as outlined in the **Consultation Document**.(on page 14)

To make comment on the Public Transport propsed changes please complete the selection panel below.

To make comment on the the whole Transport and Urban Development portfolio, please use the tab on the left.

Please review the options in the Consultation Document and indicate which option you support:

Option 1 – A combination of routes, fares and (targeted) rates changes: small fare and rates increases, reduced Total Mobility subsidy and six routes discontinued.

Public Transport Comments

Please provide any comments.

The one that affects me is the 135. I think the reduction of faculties at Burwood hospital has lead to a lower patronage. In my opinion, unless you are going to burwood hospital the 135 does not make any sense as a sensible bus route. The 135 has continually been empty and underutilized since its creation. Most of the bus users in New Brighton travel to The Palms or the City Centre. The 135 could have provided another connection from New Brighton to the Palms. Something like this could help assist the 60 Southshore to get to New Brighton and Southshore quicker attracting a better service for New Brighton residences who work in the city.

I do think though, for future thinking, that some of these suburban connector buses could be incorporated into a bigger, longer bus routes. Some of these routes should have gone into the city or different hub.

of 'waiting for the	uld have used these re e patronage to occur'. where they would like	Maybe even ask t	he residences why	ement better route they are not catch	es instead ing these



Make Submission

Consultee Cavanagh (76660)

Email Address reub.cava1@gmail.com

Address Grantley Street

Christchurch

8083

Event Name Long-Term Plan 2018-28 Consultation

Submission by Cavanagh (76660)

Submission ID 2018-28 LTP -180

Response Date 7/03/18 1:27 PM

Consultation Point Public Transport Options (View)

Status Submitted

Submission Type Web

Version 0.1

Public Transport Options

Public Transport is one of the programmes in the Transport and Urban Development portfolio. For the first year of the Long-Term Plan 2018-28 we are proposing changes to the Public Transport programme as outlined in the **Consultation Document**.(on page 14)

To make comment on the Public Transport propsed changes please complete the selection panel below.

To make comment on the the whole Transport and Urban Development portfolio, please use the tab on the left.

Please review the options in the Consultation Document and indicate which option you support:

Option 3 – As Option 1 but larger fare increase, smaller (targeted) rates increase: minimal rates increase, larger fare increase, reduced Total Mobility subsidy and six routes discontinued.

Public Transport Comments

Please provide any comments.

I do not completely agree with discontinuing routes, fare increases, reduced total mobility and rate/tax increases. A lot of those smaller routes do not make any sense to everyday commuters. A reduction in services with price increases (including rates/tax and fares) will turn commuters away in favor of there cars. More care needs to be taken because this could have a negative impact on public transport. It might be a funding issue for Ecan but the public see it as a

I think Ecan and the Greater CHCH public transport group needs to be more innovative. Instead of waiting for patronage, why don't they create patronage.