

Make Submission

Consultee Mrs Clare Marshall (58186)

Email Address clare.marshall@xtra.co.nz

Address 21 Pine Ave

South Brighton Christchurch

8061

Event Name Long-Term Plan 2018-28 Consultation

Submission by Mrs Clare Marshall (58186)

Submission ID 2018-28 LTP -143

Response Date 6/03/18 11:38 AM

Consultation Point Public Transport Options (View)

Status Submitted

Submission Type Web

Version 0.1

Public Transport Options

Public Transport is one of the programmes in the Transport and Urban Development portfolio. For the first year of the Long-Term Plan 2018-28 we are proposing changes to the Public Transport programme as outlined in the **Consultation Document**.(on page 14)

To make comment on the Public Transport propsed changes please complete the selection panel below.

To make comment on the the whole Transport and Urban Development portfolio, please use the tab on the left.

Please review the options in the Consultation Document and indicate which option you support:

Option 2 – As Option 1 but larger rates increase, no fare increase: larger (targeted) rates increase, no fare increase, reduced Total Mobility subsidy and six routes discontinued.

Public Transport Comments

Please provide any comments.

A city with a functional, efficient public transport system is a vibrant, healthy city. I do not think increasing fare prices is the right thing to do. It penalises those who are already helping this city by using the

Use of the bus service benefits ALL residents of the city, and therefore rates from all residents should fund this service rather than putting a higher premium on those who currently use it (and thus increasing the likelihood that they'll stop). Those who choose to sit in their car and drive to work benefit directly (less congestion on the roads) and indirectly from others using the bus (despite longer journey times

and frustrating waits for services which do not run frequently enough). They should therefore contribute equally to it's upkeep (with the obvious exception of the user-pays system of a bus ticket currently in use).

Our family use both - some of us use public transport, some of us drive (as there is no viable way to complete our required journeys by bus or bike).

We are also NOT in favour of discontinuing 6 routes - use smaller buses on these routes instead and maintain frequency (otherwise usage drops off completely). If everyone's rates contribute to the bus network, then everyone in the city should have a bus service running near their place of residence/work.



Make Submission

Consultee Mrs Clare Marshall (58186)

Email Address clare.marshall@xtra.co.nz

Address 21 Pine Ave

South Brighton Christchurch

8061

Event Name Long-Term Plan 2018-28 Consultation

Submission by Mrs Clare Marshall (58186)

Submission ID 2018-28 LTP -144

Response Date 6/03/18 11:45 AM

Consultation Point Freshwater Management (View)

Status Submitted

Submission Type Web

Version 0.1

Freshwater Management Support/Oppose

See page 6 of the Consultation Document.

Please select one of the following:

I generally disagree with the activity proposed for

Freshwater Management.

Freshwater Management Comments

Please provide any comments.

Whilst Ecan continues to allow huge amounts of water to be taken from our natural water systems for the purposes of irrigation (primarily for the dairy industry), all other attempts to "manage" our freshwater are a farce. Those who extract water should pay for it, it is not a "free" resource. Our family would be happy to pay for our water usage should water meters be introduced to the city, as long as those taking thousands of litres by the hour to irrigate are also paying. The capitalist/democratic model of our country is in a permanent state of imbalance while precious resources such as water are given away "free" and the true cost of products (dairy) is distorted so unfairly - to the detriment of our environment and all those who live in it.

A rate on water for ALL (including the dairy industry) will a) fund all further "freshwater management" needs of our region and b) much reduce the need for "management" in the first place.