

CANTERBURY REGIONAL COUNCIL  
*Kaunihera Taiao ki Waitaha*

# AGENDA|2017

..... **COUNCIL** .....

Thursday 29 June 2017

Time: 11.00am

Venue: Council Chamber,  
200 Tuam Street, Christchurch



## **473<sup>rd</sup> Meeting of the Canterbury Regional Council**

### **Membership**

**Chair** David Bedford

**Deputy Chair** Steve Lowndes

**Councillors** David Caygill, Iaeen Cranwell, Rod Cullinane, Elizabeth Cunningham, Tom Lambie, Claire McKay, Lan Pham, Cynthia Roberts, Peter Scott, Peter Skelton and John Sunckell

## CANTERBURY REGIONAL COUNCIL

### COUNCIL MEETING

#### TABLE OF CONTENTS

<b>1. Karakia.....</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>2. Apologies .....</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>3. Conflicts of Interest .....</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>4. Deputations and Petitions .....</b>	<b>5</b>
4.1. Christchurch Youth Action Plan .....	5
<b>5. Minutes .....</b>	<b>5</b>
5.1. Council.....	5
5.1.1. Council minutes - 15 June 2017 .....	5
<b>6. Matters Arising.....</b>	<b>14</b>
<b>7. Committee Reports.....</b>	<b>15</b>
7.1. Standing Committees .....	15
<b>8. Matters for Council Decision .....</b>	<b>19</b>
8.1. 2017/18 Annual Plan adoption.....	19
8.2. Setting the Rates for the 2017/18 Financial Year .....	23
<b>9. Public Excluded recommendation .....</b>	<b>55</b>
<b>10. Extraordinary and Urgent Business .....</b>	<b>56</b>
<b>11. Notices of Motion.....</b>	<b>56</b>
<b>12. Questions .....</b>	<b>56</b>
<b>13. Next Meeting .....</b>	<b>56</b>
<b>14. Closing Karakia.....</b>	<b>56</b>

- 1. Karakia**
- 2. Apologies**
- 3. Conflicts Of Interest**
- 4. Deputations And Petitions**
  - 4.1. Christchurch Youth Action Plan**
- 5. Minutes**
  - 5.1. Council**
    - 5.1.1. Council minutes - 15 June 2017**



## **Minutes of 472nd meeting of the Canterbury Regional Council held in the Council Chamber, 200 Tuam Street, Christchurch on Thursday, 15 June 2017 at 10.10 a.m.**

### **Contents**

1. Opening Karakia
2. Apologies
3. Conflicts of Interest
4. Deputations and Petitions
5. Minutes
6. Matters Arising
7. Committee Reports
8. Matters for Council Decision
  - 8.1 Hearing Commissioners' Recommendations on Proposed Plan Change 5
  - 8.2 Canterbury Regional Pest Management Plan Appointment of Hearing Panel
  - 8.3 Canterbury Water Management Strategy Zone Committees' Annual Reports
9. Extraordinary and Urgent Business
10. Notices of Motion
11. Questions
12. Next Meeting
13. Closing Karakia

### **Present**

Councillors Steve Lowndes (Deputy Chair), David Caygill, Rod Cullinane, Elizabeth Cunningham, Tom Lambie, Claire McKay, Lan Pham, Dr Cynthia Roberts, Peter Scott, Peter Skelton, and John Sunckell.

### **Management and officers present**

Bill Bayfield (Chief Executive), Jill Atkinson (Director Strategy and Planning), Stefanie Rixecker (Director Science), Don Rule (Chief Operating Officer), Catherine Schache (General Counsel), Katherine Trought (Director Communications and External Relations), Cindy Butt (Team Leader Governance) and Louise McDonald (Senior Administration Officer)

Report writers and other staff were also in attendance.

### **Welcome**

Cr Lowndes welcomed everyone to the meeting and confirmed that the following documents circulated separately from the agenda had been received:

- Item 7.2.1 Report from the meeting of the Canterbury Regional Transport Committee
- Item 8.1 Plan Change 5 attachments:
  1. Report of the Hearing Commissioners
  2. Recommendations on submission points
  3. Recommended Plan Change 5 provisions
  4. Recommended Plan Change 5 – map volume
- Item 8.3 Banks Peninsula Zone Committee – Immediate Steps Biodiversity Projects

1. **Opening karakia**

Cr Pham opened the meeting with a karakia.

2. **Apologies**

Apologies were received from Chairman David Bedford and Councillor Iaeen Cranwell

3. **Conflicts of interest**

No declarations of interest were declared.

4. **Deputations and petitions**

There were no deputations or petitions.

5. **Minutes**

**5.1 Council**

**5.1.1 Council meeting held 25 May 2017**

*Refer pages 5 to 18 of the agenda*

**Resolved**

**That the Council:**

- 1. Confirms as a true and correct record and adopts the minutes of the meeting held on 25 May 2017.**

Cr Lowndes / Cr Roberts  
CARRIED

**Resolved**

**That the Council:**

- 1. Confirms as a true and correct record and adopts the minutes of part of the meeting held, with the public excluded, on 25 May 2017.**

Cr Lowndes / Cr Pham  
CARRIED

**5.1.2 Council meeting held 8 June 2017**

*Refer pages 19 to 21 of the agenda.*

**Resolved**

**That the Council:**

- 1. Confirms as a true and correct record and adopts the minutes of the meeting held on 8 June 2017.**

Cr Lowndes / Cr Lambie  
CARRIED

6. **Matters Arising**

There were no matters arising from the minutes.

## **7. Committee Reports**

### **7.1 Standing Committees**

#### **7.1.1 Performance, Audit and Risk Committee**

*Refer pages 23 to 34 of the agenda*

Cr Cullinane presented this report and advised that there was a recommendation to the council regarding section 17A LGA reviews for public transport and consents service delivery reviews.

#### **Resolved**

**That the Council:**

- 1. Receives and confirms as a correct the record of the Performance, Audit and Risk Committee meeting held on 1 June 2017;**
- 2. Receives and confirms as a correct the record of the public excluded Performance, Audit and Risk Committee meeting held on 1 June 2017;**
- 3. Resolved that the Public Transport and Consents Service delivery reviews recommendations relating to no change in governance, funding or delivery arrangements be adopted; and notes that one further review for the science service is also in progress and will be presented to the Performance, Audit and Risk Committee in July.**
- 4. Notes the resolutions made by the Committee under delegated authority; and;**
- 5. Receives the summary of the financial reports for the year to 31 March 2017.**

Cr Cullinane / Cr Scott  
CARRIED

### **7.2 Canterbury Regional Transport Committee**

*Refer pages 35 to 41 of the agenda and the report circulated separately.*

Cr Scott presented this report and explained the two recommendations to the Regional Council from the Committee.

Item 9 was about a review of the Regional Land Transport Plan with proposed new investment opportunities. The five priority issues identified were:

- Travel time reliability
- Accessibility
- Condition and suitability of assets
- Safety
- Resilience

Item 10 considered two variations to the Regional Land Transport Plan. The committee supported the variation (Clarence to Oaro Improvements) and were recommending this variation to the Regional Council.



The Committee item also considered another variation (Waimakariri Bridge Improvement). As this was considered significant the Committee resolved to appoint a panel to prepare and oversee public consultation on this variation. The panel will report back to the Regional Transport Committee that will then make a recommendation to the Regional Council.

**Resolved**

**That the Council:**

- 1. receives the report of the meeting of the Canterbury Regional Transport Committee held on 26 May 2017.**
- 2. agrees in principle to the draft section of the Regional Land Transport Plan 2015-25 setting out new investment priorities.**
- 3. approves, pursuant to section 18B of the Land Transport Management Act 2013, the following variation to the Regional Transport Plan 2015-2015:**
  - a. Clarence to Oaro Improvements**

Cr Scott / Cr Roberts  
CARRIED

**7.3 Joint Committee**

*Refer page 42 to 48 of the agenda*

Cr Sunckell presented this item that included a valedictory from Robert Upton, the Group Welfare Manager who was retiring after 25 years of service to Civil Defence.

**Resolved**

**That the Council receives the report of the Canterbury Civil Defence Emergency Management Joint Committee meeting held on 26 May 2017.**

Cr Sunckell / Cr Cunningham  
CARRIED

**8. Matters for Council decision**

**8.1 Hearing Commissioners' recommendations on Proposed Plan Change 5**

*Refer pages 49 to 53 of the agenda and attachments that were circulated separately.*

Cr Skelton presented this item and provided a summary of the process that involved public submissions. He paid tribute to the skilled and professional way in which the hearing panel of David Sheppard, Rob van Voorthuysen and Edward Ellison conducted the hearings and produced their report.

There was a tremendous of hard work and dedication to this Plan Change and Cr Skelton thanked all those involved, including the science team lead by Ken Taylor

and Tim Davie, supported by Helen Shaw and Ognjen Mojsilovic. The planning team led by Peter Constantine and Olivia Cook assisted by Devon Christensen, Craig Davison, Olivia Smith and Raymond Ford.

Nic Newman, as zone facilitator for both Waitaki zone committees, Ian Brown and Brett Aldridge's contribution was also recognised.

Cr Skelton thanked the external consultants who had key roles in the process: Matthew McCallum-Clark, Melissa Robson and Philip Maw.

The work of the multi-stakeholder matrix for good management governance group that developed by good farming practices was an important part of the development of the Plan Change and Cr Skelton thanked Cr Lambie for his leadership and Cr Caygill for his contribution to the governance group.

Councillors thanked Cr Skelton for the leadership and wisdom that he brought to the Plan Change. The inclusion of provisions for the protection of mahinga kai were especially welcomed.

It was acknowledged that the computer model that converts the good management narrative into the portal and the irrigation proxies may be a challenge for the community.

## **Resolved**

### **That the Council:**

- 1. Receives the Report and Recommendations of the independent hearing commissioners on the provisions of and submissions on proposed Plan Change 5 to the Canterbury Land and Water Regional Plan, comprising the following parts:**
  - Report and Recommendations of the Hearing Commissioners – (Attachment 1).**
  - Appendix A - Recommendations on submission points (Attachment 2).**
  - Appendix B, Part 1 - Recommended Plan Change 5 provisions (Attachment 3)**
  - Appendix B, Part 2 – Recommended Plan Change 5 Map Volume (Attachment 4)**
- 2. Adopts the Report and Recommendations of the hearing commissioners (as set out in Attachments 1 – 4), as the Council's decision on the provisions of and submissions on proposed Plan Change 5 to the Canterbury Land and Water Regional Plan in accordance with Clauses 10(1), 10(2), and 10(4)(aaa) of Schedule 1 to the Resource Management Act 1991.**
- 3. Resolves to publicly notify the Council's decision on the provisions of and submissions on proposed Plan Change 5 to the Canterbury Land and Water Regional Plan on 24 June 2017.**

Cr Skelton / Cr Roberts  
CARRIED

## 8.2 **Canterbury Regional Pest Management Plan Appointment of Hearing Panel**

*Refer pages 54 to 57 of the agenda*

Cr Skelton introduced this item.

### **Resolved**

**That the Council:**

- 1. Acting under clause 32 of Schedule 7 of the Local Government Act 2002:**
  - a. Appoints Councillor Tom Lambie, Councillor Cynthia Roberts and Councillor Iaeen Cranwell as members of the hearing panel on the Proposal for the Canterbury Regional Pest Management Plan under the Biosecurity Act 1993;**
  - b. Delegates to the persons named in (a) above all the powers, functions and duties of the Council set out in Sections 72 to 74 (excluding Section 72(5)) and Sections 100D (6)(b) of the Biosecurity Act 1993, in respect of the Proposal for the Canterbury Regional Pest Management Plan;**
  - c. Delegates to the persons named in (a) above the powers, functions and duties of the Council set out in Sections 75(1) and (2) of the Biosecurity Act 1993 to prepare a written report on the Plan.**
  - d. Directs that the persons named in (a) above provide recommendations to Council as to Council's decision on the Plan.**
  - e. Appoints Councillor Tom Lambie as Chairperson of the hearing panel.**
  - f. Authorises the Chairperson acting alone to exercise any powers, functions and duties delegated by (b) above in respect of the conduct of the hearing.**
  - g. Authorises the Chairperson to have a casting vote when there is an equality of votes when exercising any of the powers, functions and duties delegated by (b) and (c) above.**
  - h. Notes that an additional hearing panel member will be appointed before the hearing of submissions on the Proposal for the Canterbury Regional Pest Management Plan under the Biosecurity Act 1993.**

Cr Skelton / Cr Scott  
CARRIED

## 8.3 **Canterbury Water Management Strategy Zone Committees Annual Reports**

*Refer pages 58 to 70 of the agenda*

### **Banks Peninsula**

Cr Lowndes welcomed Paula Smith chair of the Banks Peninsula Zone Committee to the meeting, noting the apology from the deputy chair Pam Richardson.

Ms Smith presented the Annual Report of the Banks Peninsula Zone Committee and the separate report on the committee's Immediate Steps Biodiversity Projects.

She pointed out that the Banks Peninsula zone is quite different from the other zones in Canterbury. With steep, short streams, contamination from sedimentation is a problem in the Banks Peninsula zone.

### **Christchurch West Melton**

Arapata Reuben was welcomed to the meeting. Mr Reuben presented the Christchurch West Melton Zone Committee's Annual report and observed that this zone was very urbanised. A challenge for the committee is to increase awareness in the community that water quality is an urban problem, not just a rural problem.

Committee highlights included working with rūnanga, community and school groups. He acknowledged the modelling work done by the HydroECo Research Group of the University of Canterbury on the sedimentation coming into the Addington Catchment.

The contribution and commitment from the Christchurch City Council was acknowledged.

### **Selwyn Waihora**

Allen Lim was welcomed to the meeting. He presented the Selwyn Waihora zone committee's Annual Report highlighting the key achievements that included Immediate Steps projects to protect and enhance biodiversity.

He explained that the drought and the low levels of the Selwyn River had raised community awareness and people are wanting to be involved. Seminars are being arranged so people can participate and provide ideas.

On behalf of the Council Cr Lowndes thanked the chairs for their leadership noting that the work of the zone committees was the engine room of the Canterbury Water Management Strategy.

### **Resolved**

#### **That the Council:**

- 1. Receives with appreciation the Banks Peninsula Zone Committee Annual Report 2016 and congratulates the Zone Committee on its efforts.**
- 2. Receives with appreciation the Christchurch West-Melton Zone Committee Annual Report 2016 and congratulates the Zone Committee on its efforts.**
- 3. Receives with appreciation the Selwyn-Waihora Committee Annual Report 2016 and congratulates the Zone Committee on its efforts.**

Cr Lowndes / Cr Cunningham  
CARRIED

## **9. Extraordinary and Urgent Business**

There was no urgent business.

10. **Notices of motion**

There were no notices of motion.

11. **Questions**

There were no questions

12. **Next meeting**

Thursday 29 June 2017 to adopt the Annual Plan and set the rates

13. **Closing karakia**

Cr Scott closed the meeting with a karakia at 11.46 am.

**Confirmed**

Date \_\_\_\_\_ Chairperson \_\_\_\_\_

## **6. Matters Arising**

## 7. Committee Reports

### 7.1. Standing Committees

#### 7.1.1. Regulation Hearing Committee - 15 June 2017



<b>Date of Meeting</b>	29 June 2017
<b>Author</b>	Vivienne Ong Senior Administration Officer

### Purpose

To receive the report of the Regulation Hearing Committee from the meeting held on 15 June 2017.

### Attachment

1. Unconfirmed minutes from the Regulation Hearing Committee meeting held on 15 June 2017.

### Recommendations

**That the Council:**

1. receives the report of the meeting of the Regulation Hearing Committee meeting held on 15 June 2017; and
2. notes the resolutions made by the Committee under delegated authority.



**REGULATION HEARING COMMITTEE**

Minutes of the meeting held in the Council Chamber,  
200 Tuam Street, Christchurch, on  
Thursday, 15 June 2017 at 8.30am

**CONTENTS**

- 1.0 APOLOGIES
- 2.0 CONFLICTS OF INTEREST
- 3.0 MINUTES OF PREVIOUS MEETING – 27 APRIL 2017
- 4.0 MATTERS ARISING FROM PREVIOUS MINUTES
- 5.0 DEPUTATIONS AND PETITIONS
- 6.0 DECISION ITEMS
  - 6.1 **Appointment of Decision Maker to decide a request to extend a lapse date for resource consent CR122256 held by Mr BL, Ms LH & Ms DA Baggot and Ms CE McKay**
  - 6.2 **Appointment of Decision Maker to decide an objection to decision on resource consent CRC175779 – Mr GS & Mrs JM Lovett**
- 7.0 PROCEDURAL ITEMS
  - 7.1 EXTRAORDINARY AND URGENT BUSINESS
  - 7.2 NEXT MEETING
  - 7.3 CLOSURE

**PRESENT**

Councillors Peter Skelton (Chairperson), Elizabeth Cunningham, Tom Lambie and Lan Pham

**OFFICERS PRESENT**

Alison Cooper, Consents Hearings Officer and Vivienne Ong, Senior Administration Officer

**1. APOLOGIES**

There were no apologies

**2. CONFLICTS OF INTEREST**

No conflicts of interest were declared.

**3. MINUTES OF PREVIOUS MEETING – 27 APRIL 2017**

**Resolved:**

***That the Committee confirms as a true and correct record, and adopts the minutes of, the meeting held on 27 April 2017.***

Cr Cunningham / Cr Pham  
CARRIED

**4. MATTERS ARISING**

The agenda item after 8.0, Good Decisions Resource Management Act Certification, will be discussed after the Decisions Items of this meeting.

There were no other matters arising from the minutes.

**5. DEPUTATIONS AND PETITIONS**

There were no deputations or petitions.

**6. DECISION ITEMS**

**6.1 Appointment of Decision Maker to decide a request to extend a lapse date for resource consent CR122256 held by Mr BL, Ms LH & Ms DA Baggott and Ms CE McKay**

**Resolved:**

*That the Committee, in regard to a decision to extend a lapse date for resource consent CR122256 held by Mr BL, Ms LH & Ms DA Baggott and Ms CE McKay*

- (a) Appoints Ken Lawn as a Hearings Commissioner under s34A of the Resource Management Act 1991; and*
- (b) Delegates Ken Lawn pursuant to s34A(1) Resource Management Act 1991, the function, powers and duties required to: deal with any preliminary matters; and decide the request for an extension to lapse date.*

*Cr Lambie / Cr Cunningham*  
**CARRIED**

**6.2 Appointment of Decision Maker to decide an objection to decision on resource consent CRC175779 – Mr GS & Mrs JM Lovett**

**Resolved:**

*That the Committee in regard to an objection to decision on resource consent application CRC175779 by Mr GS & Mrs JM Lovett*

- (a) Appoints Ken Lawn as a Hearings Commissioner under s34A of the Resource Management Act 1991; and*
- (b) Delegates to Ken Lawn pursuant to s34A(1) Resource Management Act 1991, the function, powers and duties required to: deal with any preliminary matters; hear and decide the objection to decision*

*Cr Pham / Cr Cunningham*  
**CARRIED**

## 7. EXTRAORDINARY AND URGENT BUSINESS

### 7.1 Good Decisions Resource Management Act Certification

Further to the list of hearing commissioners that had been distributed. It was decided to add Cr Cunningham, Cr Caygill and Cr Pham back onto the list (with an asterisk noting they were Environment Canterbury Councillors).

**ACTION:**

Alison Cooper to add additional councillors to the hearing commissioners list and redistribute.

### 7.2 Training Provision for New Hearing Commissioners

Cr Cunningham had an informal discussion with Arihia Bennett, CEO, Ngāi Tahu; regarding sharing of costs for training of new hearing commissioners; reporting there should be no difficulty in such an arrangement.

Cr Skelton informally had the same discussion with Bill Bayfield, CE, Environment Canterbury; also reporting there should be no difficulty in providing such an opportunity.

It was thought a budget of \$20,000 would cover training costs.

**ACTION:**

Cr Cunningham to make further enquiries regarding budget, and formalising the arrangement with a letter to Phil Burgess, Environment Canterbury Consents Manager

## 8. NEXT MEETING

The date of the next meeting is to be confirmed

As Cr Skelton would be on leave 22 June – 12 July 2017 a temporary Chair was nominated.

**Resolved:**

*That Cr Lambie be temporary Chair whilst Cr Skelton is on leave*

Cr Cunningham / Cr Pham  
CARRIED

## 9. CLOSURE

Chairman Skelton declared the meeting closed at 8.45am.


**CONFIRMED**

**DATE:** \_\_\_\_\_

**CHAIRPERSON:** \_\_\_\_\_

## 8. Matters For Council Decision

### 8.1. 2017/18 Annual Plan adoption

<b>Council Report</b>	 Environment Canterbury Regional Council <i>Kaunihera Taiao ki Waitaha</i>
-----------------------	---

<b>Date of Meeting</b>	29 June 2017
<b>Portfolio</b>	Regional Leadership
<b>Portfolio Councillor</b>	David Bedford
<b>Author</b>	Katherine Harbrow Chief Financial Officer
<b>Endorsed by</b>	Catherine Schache General Counsel Miles McConway Director Finance & Corporate Services

### Purpose

1. The Purpose of this report is to seek Council's approval and adoption of the 2017/18 Annual Plan, including the Council's financial statements and level of service changes.
2. Adopting the Annual Plan is an important step for setting the rates for the new financial year.
3. There is a separate resolution which Council will need to make to set the 2017/18 rates. The Annual Plan needs to be first adopted before setting the rates.

### Value proposition

4. The Annual Plan represents the contract that Environment Canterbury has with the community. Feedback was incorporated into this Annual Plan, the third year of the current 2015-25 Long-Term Plan.

### Recommendations

#### That the Council:

1. **Adopts the 2017/18 Annual Plan**
2. **Delegates authority to the Council's CE to make alterations of minor effect or to correct any minor errors to the approved 2017/18 Annual Plan**

## Proposal

### Background

5. The 2017/18 financial year is the third year of the current 2015-25 Long-Term Plan (LTP).
6. The Council at its 23 February 2017 meeting decided to engage with the community on proposed adjustments to the 2015-25 Long Term Plan, rather than to undertake formal consultation, due to no significant or material differences from the content of the Long Term Plan being proposed in the draft 2017/18 Annual Plan.
7. The opportunity for the community to engage with Council was advertised and information provided on the Council website to enable feedback to be received by 21 April 2017.
8. This engagement information included Part A of the Annual Plan, which outlines Council's priorities, services and costs, and Part B, which comprised rating tables and prospective financial statements including the balance sheet and cash flow.
9. 74 pieces of written feedback were received and as electronic feedback was available for the first time, the overwhelming majority of feedback was received via this method.
10. 18 members of the community met with Councillors in May.
11. In addition to the broader community approach the Councillors incorporated feedback from scheduled external stakeholder meetings. These meetings included partner organisations such as the Councils and rūnanga of the region.
12. General themes arising were:
  - a. Return to full democracy
  - b. Better management of our water resource – quality and quantity
  - c. Increased focus and funding for compliance
  - d. Strategic and long term approach to public transport – light rail
13. The 74 pieces of written feedback and the feedback from the River Liaison Groups through Environment Canterbury's River Engineers regarding river and drainage rating districts were split of the portfolios:
  - a. Regional Leadership (30)
  - b. Water Management (58)
  - c. Natural Habitats (34)
  - d. Public Transport (36)
  - e. Cleaner Air (20)

- f. Reaching Compliance (31)
  - g. Keeping us Safe (20)
  - h. Rates (25)
  - i. In addition, feedback included references to the cost of farmers delivering direct environmental benefit, and Environment Canterbury advertising.
14. The draft 2017/18 Annual Plan indicated a total rates revenue increase of 2.94% compared to 2016/17. This was a reduction of 3.3% from that originally estimated for year three of the current 2015-25 LTP.
15. Based on the feedback received adjustments have been made, particularly in response to the submissions received on resource management and compliance work, that have resulted in the total rate requirement increasing by 3.5%. This was a reduction of 2.74% from that originally estimated for year three of the current 2015-25 LTP.
16. We also used the Annual Plan engagement process to seek feedback from the community to inform the thinking for the development of the 2018-28 LTP. The key topics were:
- a. Addressing climate change
  - b. Addressing water quality and quantity issues
  - c. Public Transport - including investigation into rail options
  - d. Hazard Management
  - e. Addressing land intensification.
17. A review of the Council's fees and charges has been completed in the 2017/18 Annual Plan. No changes resulted from this review.
18. There are no changes to rating policy that require amendment of the revenue and funding policy.

### **Financial implications**

19. This Annual Plan reflects carry forwards of funding from the 2016/17 financial year to ensure previously agreed work can be completed in the 2017/18 financial year. This carry-forward funding is associated with specific third party budgeted expenditure and has been considered by the Council as part of the 2017/18 Annual Plan process.

## **Legal compliance and risk assessment**

20. Staff are satisfied that all legislative requirements associated with the 2017/18 Annual Plan process and related policies have been met. There is no known risk requiring the Councillors' consideration prior to the Annual Plan approval.

## **Significance and engagement**

21. There are only minor changes proposed from the 2015-25 Long Term Plan. There is nothing of a structural nature and therefore significance is considered low.
22. The Council made a formal decision regarding the 2017/18 Annual Plan engagement approach. This approach reflected the Significance & Engagement Policy 2014, the Local Government Act requirements and the Council's commitment to working in partnership.

## **Consistency with council policy**

23. The final recommendations in this paper are consistent with Council policy as stated above.

## **Communication**

24. Following adoption of the 2017/18 Annual Plan the Council will "set the rate" for the 2017/18 financial year. The Council's decision and its effect on rates will be explained in a short media release which will be emailed out after the meeting.
25. Letters will be sent to all providers of feedback advising of the Council decision arising from their feedback.

## **Attachment (circulated separately)**

1. 2017/18 Annual Plan



## 8.2. Setting the Rates for the 2017/18 Financial Year

<b>Council Report</b>	 Environment Canterbury Regional Council <i>Kaunihera Taiao ki Waitaha</i>
<b>Date of Meeting</b>	29 June 2017
<b>Portfolio</b>	Regional Leadership
<b>Portfolio Councillor</b>	David Bedford
<b>Author</b>	Katherine Harbrow Chief Financial Officer
<b>Endorsed by</b>	Catherine Schache General Counsel Miles McConway Director Finance & Corporate Services

### Purpose

To enable Canterbury Regional Council to set the rates for the 2017/18 financial year following the adoption of the 2017/18 Annual Plan. The Council now needs to formally adopt the rates resolution setting the rates for the period 1 July 2017 to 30 June 2018.

### Value proposition

Rates are required to be set in order to be legitimately levied on the community so that the Council's activities can be funded.

### Recommendations

1. **Resolves:** to
  - a) **Appoint under Section 53(1) of the Local Government (Rating) Act 2002 those territorial authorities set out in resolutions 3 www, 3 xxx and 3 zzz to collect those instalments of rates on the due dates and to apply those penalties on unpaid rates set out alongside their names in those resolutions. Noting that the rates collected by Mackenzie District Council include the rates in relation to that part of the Waitaki District that is in the Canterbury Regional Council boundary); and**
  - b) **Delegate under Section 27 of the Local Government (Rating) Act 2002 to those territorial authorities the function of keeping and maintaining a rating information database and rates records.**

**2. Delegates authority pursuant to the Local Government (Rating) Act 2002 to the Chief Executive, Director Finance and Corporate Services and the Chief Financial Officer (any one of them acting alone) to:**

- a) apply penalties (stated under resolution 3 xxx and 3 zzz) on unpaid rates in accordance with Sections 57 and 58, and
- b) approve applications for rates postponement and rates remissions in accordance with Council's policy, and
- c) carry out all other functions permissible under the Act that are not required to be a Council responsibility:

**3. Resolves the setting of the rates for the 2017/18 financial year and sets the following rates (including GST), due dates for payment, and penalties under the Local Government (Rating) Act 2002, on rating units in the region for the financial year commencing on 1 July 2017 and ending on 30 June 2018. These rates are set in accordance with Canterbury Regional Council's 2015-25 Long Term Plan and the Funding Impact Statement which forms part of the 2017/18 Annual Plan as follows:**

- a) That a Uniform Annual General Charge (Fixed Rate) be set, for all rateable land at \$23.83 per rating unit.

TYPE OF RATE CATEGORIES OF RATEABLE LAND	RATE	CALCULATION BASIS	2017/18 REVENUE SOUGHT \$
<b>Uniform Annual General Charge</b>			
Kaikōura	\$23.83	fixed amount per rating Unit	66,897
Hurunui	\$23.83	fixed amount per rating Unit	180,814
Waimakariri	\$23.83	fixed amount per rating Unit	588,939
Christchurch	\$23.83	fixed amount per rating Unit	3,804,318
Selwyn	\$23.83	fixed amount per rating Unit	558,148
Ashburton	\$23.83	fixed amount per rating Unit	350,427
Timaru	\$23.83	fixed amount per rating Unit	493,610
Mackenzie	\$23.83	fixed amount per rating Unit	105,433
Waimate	\$23.83	fixed amount per rating Unit	79,909
Waitaki	\$23.83	fixed amount per rating Unit	43,684

- b) That a general rate be set for all rateable land based on capital value at different rates in the dollar under section 131 Local Government (Rating) Act 2002 as set out in the table below:

TYPE OF RATE CATEGORIES OF RATEABLE LAND	RATE	CALCULATION BASIS	2017/18 REVENUE SOUGHT \$
<b>General Rate</b>			
Kaikōura	\$17.80	per \$100,000 Capital Value	261,329

Hurunui	\$17.25	per \$100,000 Capital Value	1,164,548
Waimakariri	\$17.09	per \$100,000 Capital Value	2,601,316
Christchurch	\$17.39	per \$100,000 Capital Value	16,476,567
Selwyn	\$17.47	per \$100,000 Capital Value	3,509,854
Ashburton	\$17.93	per \$100,000 Capital Value	2,965,649
Timaru	\$18.36	per \$100,000 Capital Value	2,180,953
Mackenzie	\$19.39	per \$100,000 Capital Value	623,933
Waimate	\$17.12	per \$100,000 Capital Value	798,814
Waitaki	\$18.07	per \$100,000 Capital Value	353,621

- c) That Passenger Transport Services targeted rates be set at a rate in the dollar or at a fixed rate amount per rating unit as set out in the table below:

TYPE OF RATE CATEGORIES OF RATEABLE LAND Subcategorised as the following targeted rates:	RATE	CALCULATION BASIS	2017/18 REVENUE SOUGHT \$
<b>Targeted Public Passenger Transport Services Rate</b>			
Kaikōura (Kaikōura Vehicle Trust)	\$0.86	per \$100,000 Capital Value	5,009
Christchurch – City	\$25.02	per \$100,000 Capital Value	22,348,936
Christchurch – Kainga	\$8.76	per \$100,000 Capital Value	85,250
Christchurch (Governors Bay Vehicle Trust)	\$2.51	per \$100,000 Capital Value	6,011
Selwyn (Ellesmere Vehicle Trust)	\$0.25	per \$100,000 Capital Value	6,512
Ashburton (Total Mobility only)	\$1.08	per \$100,000 Capital Value	31,893
Timaru – City	\$14.43	per \$100,000 Capital Value	624,813
Timaru (Geraldine Vehicle Trust)	\$1.96	per \$100,000 Capital Value	9,318
Timaru –Temuka	\$5.23	per \$100,000 Capital Value	27,974
Mackenzie – Twizel (Twizel-Tekapo Vehicle Trust)	\$1.44	per \$100,000 Capital Value	5,306
Mackenzie – Tekapo (Twizel-Tekapo Vehicle Trust)	\$0.51	per \$100,000 Capital Value	1,707
Mackenzie (Fairlie Vehicle Trust)	\$4.79	per \$100,000 Capital Value	4,984
Waimate (Total Mobility only)	\$2.54	per \$100,000 Capital Value	9,688
<b>Uniform Targeted Public Passenger Transport Services Rate</b>			
Hurunui (Cheviot Vehicle Trust)	\$5.28	fixed amount per rating Unit	5,009
Waimakariri - Urban	\$51.29	fixed amount per rating Unit	781,624
Waimakariri - Rural	\$6.12	fixed amount per rating Unit	57,843
Selwyn – Urban	\$65.77	fixed amount per rating Unit	689,092
Selwyn – Rural	\$8.33	fixed amount per rating Unit	96,057
Selwyn (Malvern Community Vehicle Trust)	\$0.98	fixed amount per rating Unit	5,009
Timaru (Pleasant Point Vehicle Trust)	\$3.77	fixed amount per rating Unit	5,009
Waimate (Waitaki Vehicle Trust)	\$3.25	fixed amount per rating Unit	475
Waitaki (Waitaki Vehicle Trust)	\$3.25	fixed amount per rating Unit	4,509

- d) That Air Quality targeted rates be set for a rate in the dollar as set out in the table below:

TYPE OF RATE CATEGORIES OF RATEABLE LAND Subcategorised as the following targeted rates:	RATE	CALCULATION BASIS	2017/18 REVENUE SOUGHT \$
<b>Targeted Air Quality Rate</b>			
Waimakariri	\$2.06	per \$100,000 Capital Value	137,816
Christchurch	\$2.09	per \$100,000 Capital Value	1,901,125
Ashburton	\$2.17	per \$100,000 Capital Value	73,424
Timaru	\$2.22	per \$100,000 Capital Value	112,345
Waimate	\$2.07	per \$100,000 Capital Value	9,839

- e) That Air Quality Heating Assistance targeted rates be set for at a rate in the dollar as set out in the table below:

TYPE OF RATE CATEGORIES OF RATEABLE LAND Subcategorised as the following targeted rates:	RATE	CALCULATION BASIS	2017/18 REVENUE SOUGHT \$
<b>Targeted Air Quality Heating Assistance Rate</b>			
Rangiora	nil	per \$100,000 Capital Value	-
Kaipoi	nil	per \$100,000 Capital Value	-
Christchurch	nil	per \$100,000 Capital Value	-
Ashburton	nil	per \$100,000 Capital Value	-
Timaru	\$4.80	per \$100,000 Capital Value	213,354
Geraldine	\$3.88	per \$100,000 Capital Value	23,693
Waimate	\$4.99	per \$100,000 Capital Value	23,693

- f) That a Clean Heat Loan targeted rate be set differentially for different categories of land at different fixed amounts as set out in the table below:

TYPE OF RATE CATEGORIES OF RATEABLE LAND Subcategorised as the following targeted rates:	DIFFERENTIAL RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN CATEGORIES	RATE	CALCULATION BASIS	2017/18 REVENUE SOUGHT \$
<b>Targeted Differential Clean Heat Loan Rate</b>				
Loan Advanced - Band AD: 1000 to 1200	the provision of service to the rating unit	\$100.00	the extent of provision of service to the rating unit	100
Loan Advanced - Band AC: 1200 to 1400	the provision of service to the rating unit	\$120.00	the extent of provision of service to the rating unit	240
Loan Advanced - Band AB: 1400 to 1600	the provision of service to the rating unit	\$140.00	the extent of provision of service to the rating unit	700
Loan Advanced - Band AA: 1600 to 1800	the provision of service to the rating unit	\$160.00	the extent of provision of service to the rating unit	1,280
Loan Advanced - Band A: 1800 to 2000	the provision of service to the rating unit	\$180.00	the extent of provision of service to the rating unit	1,620
Loan Advanced - Band B: 2000 to 2200	the provision of service to the rating unit	\$200.00	the extent of provision of service to the rating unit	2,400
Loan Advanced - Band C: 2200 to 2400	the provision of service to the rating unit	\$220.00	the extent of provision of service to the rating unit	2,860
Loan Advanced - Band D: 2400 to 2600	the provision of service to the rating unit	\$240.00	the extent of provision of service to the rating unit	14,880
Loan Advanced - Band E: 2600 to 2800	the provision of service to the rating unit	\$260.00	the extent of provision of service to the rating unit	16,640
Loan Advanced - Band F: 2800 to 3000	the provision of service to the rating unit	\$280.00	the extent of provision of service to the rating unit	25,760
Loan Advanced - Band G: 3000 to 3200	the provision of service to the rating unit	\$300.00	the extent of provision of service to the rating unit	28,200
Loan Advanced - Band H: 3200 to 3400	the provision of service to the rating unit	\$320.00	the extent of provision of service to the rating unit	32,320
Loan Advanced - Band I: 3400 to 3600	the provision of service to the rating unit	\$340.00	the extent of provision of service to the rating unit	57,800
Loan Advanced - Band J: 3600 to 3800	the provision of service to the rating unit	\$360.00	the extent of provision of service to the rating unit	43,920
Loan Advanced - Band K: 3800 to 4000	the provision of service to the rating unit	\$380.00	the extent of provision of service to the rating unit	52,060
Loan Advanced - Band L: 4000 to 4200	the provision of service to the rating unit	\$400.00	the extent of provision of service to the rating unit	44,400

Loan Advanced - Band M: 4200 to 4400	the provision of service to the rating unit	\$420.00	the extent of provision of service to the rating unit	84,840
Loan Advanced - Band N: 4400 to 4600	the provision of service to the rating unit	\$440.00	the extent of provision of service to the rating unit	29,480
Loan Advanced - Band O: 4600 to 4800	the provision of service to the rating unit	\$460.00	the extent of provision of service to the rating unit	33,580
Loan Advanced - Band P: 4800 to 5000	the provision of service to the rating unit	\$480.00	the extent of provision of service to the rating unit	43,200
Loan Advanced - Band Q: 5000 to 5200	the provision of service to the rating unit	\$500.00	the extent of provision of service to the rating unit	37,000
Loan Advanced - Band R: 5200	the provision of service to the rating unit	\$520.00	the extent of provision of service to the rating unit	169,520

- g) That Civil Defence Emergency Management targeted rates be set at a rate in the dollar as set out in the table below:

TYPE OF RATE CATEGORIES OF RATEABLE LAND Subcategorised as the following targeted rates:	RATE	CALCULATION BASIS	2017/18 REVENUE SOUGHT \$
<b>Targeted Civil Defence Emergency Management Rate</b>			
Kaikōura	\$1.35	per \$100,000 Capital Value	19,887
Hurunui	\$1.31	per \$100,000 Capital Value	88,623
Waimakariri	\$1.30	per \$100,000 Capital Value	197,962
Christchurch	\$1.32	per \$100,000 Capital Value	1,253,876
Selwyn	\$1.33	per \$100,000 Capital Value	267,102
Ashburton	\$1.36	per \$100,000 Capital Value	225,688
Timaru	\$1.40	per \$100,000 Capital Value	165,972
Mackenzie	\$1.48	per \$100,000 Capital Value	47,482
Waimate	\$1.30	per \$100,000 Capital Value	60,790

- h) That Canterbury Water Management Strategy Environmental Infrastructure Local targeted rates be set at a rate in the dollar as set out in the table below:

TYPE OF RATE CATEGORIES OF RATEABLE LAND Subcategorised as the following targeted rates:	RATE	CALCULATION BASIS	2017/18 REVENUE SOUGHT \$
<b>Targeted CWMS Environmental Infrastructure Local Rate</b>			
Selwyn	\$0.06	per \$100,000 Capital Value	11,825
Ashburton	\$0.06	per \$100,000 Capital Value	9,987

- i) That Canterbury Water Management Strategy targeted rates be set at a rate in the dollar as set out in the table below:

TYPE OF RATE CATEGORIES OF RATEABLE LAND Subcategorised as the following targeted rates:	RATE	CALCULATION BASIS	2017/18 REVENUE SOUGHT \$
<b>Targeted Canterbury Water Management Strategy Rate</b>			
Kaikōura	\$17.05	per \$100,000 Capital Value	250,427
Hurunui	\$16.53	per \$100,000 Capital Value	1,115,968
Waimakariri	\$16.37	per \$100,000 Capital Value	2,492,800
Christchurch	\$16.67	per \$100,000 Capital Value	15,789,233

Selwyn	\$16.74	per \$100,000 Capital Value	3,363,438
Ashburton	\$17.18	per \$100,000 Capital Value	2,841,934
Timaru	\$17.59	per \$100,000 Capital Value	2,089,973
Mackenzie	\$18.57	per \$100,000 Capital Value	597,905
Waimate	\$16.40	per \$100,000 Capital Value	765,490
Waitaki	\$17.32	per \$100,000 Capital Value	338,870

- j) That Waimakariri River Regional Park targeted rates be set at a rate in the dollar as set out in the table below:

TYPE OF RATE CATEGORIES OF RATEABLE LAND Subcategorised as the following targeted rates:	RATE	CALCULATION BASIS	2017/18 REVENUE SOUGHT \$
<b>Targeted Waimakariri River Regional Park Rate</b>			
Waimakariri	\$0.86	per \$100,000 Capital Value	130,557
Christchurch	\$0.87	per \$100,000 Capital Value	792,259
Selwyn	\$0.88	per \$100,000 Capital Value	176,155

- k) That Ashley / Rakahuri River Regional Park targeted rates be set at a rate in the dollar as set out in the table below:

TYPE OF RATE CATEGORIES OF RATEABLE LAND Subcategorised as the following targeted rates:	RATE	CALCULATION BASIS	2017/18 REVENUE SOUGHT \$
<b>Targeted Ashley/Rakahuri River Regional Park Rate</b>			
Waimakariri	\$0.13	per \$100,000 Capital Value	20,274
Christchurch	\$0.14	per \$100,000 Capital Value	123,030

- l) That a Tekapo Regional Park targeted rate be set at a uniform fixed amount per rating unit as set out in the table below:

TYPE OF RATE CATEGORIES OF RATEABLE LAND Subcategorised as the following targeted rates:	RATE	CALCULATION BASIS	2017/18 REVENUE SOUGHT \$
<b>Uniform Targeted Tekapo Regional Park Rate</b>			
Mackenzie	\$0.86	fixed amount per rating Unit	17,791
Timaru	\$0.86	fixed amount per rating Unit	3,800

- m) That a Rabbit Pest Control targeted rate be set differentially for different categories of land at a rate per hectare of land as set out in the table below:

TYPE OF RATE CATEGORIES OF RATEABLE LAND Subcategorised as the following targeted rates:	RATE	CALCULATION BASIS TYPE OF RATE	2017/18 REVENUE SOUGHT \$
<b>Targeted Differential Rabbit Pest Control Rate Banks Peninsula Rabbit Rating District</b>			
Negligible location of the rating unit	\$0.32	per Hectare of Land	3,864

Low Plains	location of the rating unit	\$0.40	per Hectare of Land	15,456
Moderate	location of the rating unit	\$1.31	per Hectare of Land	19,320

- n) That Possum Pest Control targeted rates be set at a rate in the dollar or a rate per hectare of land as set out in the table below:

TYPE OF RATE CATEGORIES OF RATEABLE LAND Subcategorised as the following targeted rates:	RATE	CALCULATION BASIS	2017/18 REVENUE SOUGHT \$
<b>Targeted Possum Pest Control Rate</b>			
Banks Peninsula Pest Rating District (Christchurch)	\$0.83	per Hectare of Land	51,064
Banks Peninsula Pest Rating District (Christchurch)	\$7.42	per \$100,000 Land Value	51,064

- o) That Animal & Plant Inspection Targeted rates be set at a rate in the dollar as set out in the table below:

TYPE OF RATE CATEGORIES OF RATEABLE LAND Subcategorised as the following targeted rates:	RATE	CALCULATION BASIS	2017/18 REVENUE SOUGHT \$
<b>Targeted Animal &amp; Plant Inspection Rate</b>			
Kaikōura Pest Rating District (Kaikōura)	\$16.94	per \$100,000 Land Value	85,875
Kaikōura Pest Rating District (Hurunui)	\$16.38	per \$100,000 Land Value	11,810
Amuri Pest Rating District (Hurunui)	\$5.07	per \$100,000 Land Value	103,129
Waikari Pest Rating District (Hurunui)	\$5.60	per \$100,000 Land Value	65,010
Ashley Pest Rating District (Hurunui)	\$1.58	per \$100,000 Land Value	7,053
Ashley Pest Rating District (Waimakariri)	\$1.73	per \$100,000 Land Value	74,748
Selwyn Pest Rating District (Christchurch)	\$1.32	per \$100,000 Land Value	39,988
Selwyn Pest Rating District (Selwyn)	\$1.41	per \$100,000 Land Value	102,728
Banks Peninsula Pest Rating District (Christchurch)	\$11.99	per \$100,000 Land Value	91,240
Ashburton Pest Rating District (Ashburton)	\$0.60	per \$100,000 Land Value	57,037
Mackenzie Pest Rating District (Mackenzie)	\$11.63	per \$100,000 Land Value	35,302
Mackenzie Pest Rating District (Waimate)	\$10.43	per \$100,000 Land Value	680
Mackenzie Pest Rating District (Waitaki)	\$11.10	per \$100,000 Land Value	706
South Canterbury Pest Rating District (Timaru)	\$1.42	per \$100,000 Land Value	52,078
South Canterbury Pest Rating District (Mackenzie)	\$1.49	per \$100,000 Land Value	13,572
South Canterbury Pest Rating District (Waimate)	\$1.33	per \$100,000 Land Value	34,991
Omarama Pest Rating District (Waitaki)	\$6.29	per \$100,000 Land Value	17,298
Kurow Pest Rating District (Mackenzie)	\$6.72	per \$100,000 Land Value	111
Kurow Pest Rating District (Waimate)	\$6.03	per \$100,000 Land Value	20,458
Kurow Pest Rating District (Waitaki)	\$6.42	per \$100,000 Land Value	24,895
Hurunui (Nassella) Pest Rating District	\$5.68	per \$100,000 Land Value	206,903

- p) That Animal & Plant Monitoring targeted rates be set at a rate in the dollar as set out in the table below:

TYPE OF RATE CATEGORIES OF RATEABLE LAND Subcategorised as the following targeted rates:	RATE	CALCULATION BASIS	2017/18 REVENUE SOUGHT \$
--	------	-------------------	---------------------------------



<b>Targeted Animal &amp; Plant Monitoring Rate</b>			
Kaikōura	\$1.20	per \$100,000 Land Value	6,096
Hurunui	\$1.16	per \$100,000 Land Value	43,162
Waimakariri	\$1.16	per \$100,000 Land Value	50,241
Christchurch	\$1.15	per \$100,000 Land Value	43,554
Selwyn	\$1.23	per \$100,000 Land Value	89,395
Ashburton	\$1.26	per \$100,000 Land Value	119,331
Timaru	\$1.23	per \$100,000 Land Value	45,304
Mackenzie	\$1.29	per \$100,000 Land Value	15,758
Waimate	\$1.16	per \$100,000 Land Value	34,456
Waitaki	\$1.24	per \$100,000 Land Value	8,274

- q) That Catchment Works and Services targeted rates be set at a rate in the dollar as set out in the table below:

TYPE OF RATE			
CATEGORIES OF RATEABLE LAND	RATE	CALCULATION BASIS	2017/18 REVENUE SOUGHT \$
Subcategorised as the following targeted rates:			
Targeted Catchment Works and Services Rate			
Kaikoura	\$4.89	per \$100,000 Capital Value	71,868
Hurunui	\$0.72	per \$100,000 Capital Value	48,289
Waimakariri	\$1.21	per \$100,000 Capital Value	184,257
Christchurch	\$0.64	per \$100,000 Capital Value	609,253
Selwyn	\$1.21	per \$100,000 Capital Value	242,848
Ashburton	\$1.54	per \$100,000 Capital Value	254,367
Timaru	\$2.26	per \$100,000 Capital Value	268,455
Mackenzie	\$2.13	per \$100,000 Capital Value	68,577
Waimate	\$1.83	per \$100,000 Capital Value	85,565
Waitaki	\$0.32	per \$100,000 Capital Value	6,233

- r) That a Little River Wairewa Catchment Works targeted rate be set at a uniform fixed amount per rating unit as set out in the table below:

TYPE OF RATE			
CATEGORIES OF RATEABLE LAND	RATE	CALCULATION BASIS	2017/18 REVENUE SOUGHT \$
Subcategorised as the following targeted rates:			
Little River Wairewa Rating District			
Class A	\$82.97	fixed amount per rating Unit	35,429

- s) That a Waimakariri Eyre Cust Catchment Works targeted rate be set differentially for different categories of land at a rate in the dollar as set out in the table below:

<b>TYPE OF RATE</b> <b>CATEGORIES OF RATEABLE LAND</b> <b>Subcategorised as the following targeted rates:</b>	<b>DIFFERENTIAL RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN CATEGORIES</b>	<b>RATE</b>	<b>CALCULATION BASIS</b>	<b>2017/18 REVENUE SOUGHT \$</b>
---	---	-------------	--------------------------	----------------------------------

<b>Targeted Differential Catchment Works Rate Waimakariri Eyre Cust Rating District</b>				
Class A (Waimakariri)	location of the rating unit	\$8.31	per \$100,000 Capital Value	204,396
Class B	location of the rating unit	\$5.54	per \$100,000 Capital Value	138,049
Class C	location of the rating unit	\$2.22	per \$100,000 Capital Value	10,488
Class D	location of the rating unit	\$1.66	per \$100,000 Capital Value	9,143
Class E	location of the rating unit	\$1.11	per \$100,000 Capital Value	13,781
Class F	location of the rating unit	\$0.55	per \$100,000 Capital Value	15,561
Class A (Christchurch)	location of the rating unit	\$8.45	per \$100,000 Capital Value	31,845
Class B	location of the rating unit	\$5.64	per \$100,000 Capital Value	86,032
Class C	location of the rating unit	\$2.25	per \$100,000 Capital Value	99,784
Class D	location of the rating unit	\$1.69	per \$100,000 Capital Value	1,298,893
Class E	location of the rating unit	\$1.13	per \$100,000 Capital Value	3,792
Class F	location of the rating unit	\$0.56	per \$100,000 Capital Value	41,479
Class B (Selwyn)	location of the rating unit	\$5.67	per \$100,000 Capital Value	1,440
Class C	location of the rating unit	\$2.27	per \$100,000 Capital Value	2,266
Class D	location of the rating unit	\$1.70	per \$100,000 Capital Value	4,685
Class E	location of the rating unit	\$1.13	per \$100,000 Capital Value	23,615
Class F	location of the rating unit	\$0.57	per \$100,000 Capital Value	18,456

- t) That a Waimakariri Flood Protection Catchment Works targeted rate be set differentially for different categories of land at a rate in the dollar as set out in the table below:

<b>TYPE OF RATE CATEGORIES OF RATEABLE LAND Subcategorised as the following targeted rates:</b>	<b>DIFFERENTIAL RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN CATEGORIES</b>	<b>RATE</b>	<b>CALCULATION BASIS</b>	<b>2017/18 REVENUE SOUGHT \$</b>
<b>Targeted Differential Catchment Works Rate Waimakariri Flood Protection Project</b>				
Class A (Waimakariri)	location of the rating unit	\$0.91	per \$100,000 Capital Value	23,712
Class B	location of the rating unit	\$0.18	per \$100,000 Capital Value	12,566
Class A (Christchurch)	location of the rating unit	\$0.92	per \$100,000 Capital Value	765,891
Class B	location of the rating unit	\$0.18	per \$100,000 Capital Value	11,872
Class A (Selwyn)	location of the rating unit	\$0.93	per \$100,000 Capital Value	23,478
Class B	location of the rating unit	\$0.19	per \$100,000 Capital Value	5,963

- u) That an Ashley River Catchment Works targeted rate be set differentially for different categories of land at a rate in the dollar as set out in the table below:

<b>TYPE OF RATE CATEGORIES OF RATEABLE LAND Subcategorised as the following targeted rates:</b>	<b>DIFFERENTIAL RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN CATEGORIES</b>	<b>RATE</b>	<b>CALCULATION BASIS</b>	<b>2017/18 REVENUE SOUGHT \$</b>
<b>Targeted Differential Catchment Works Rate Ashley River Rating District</b>				
Class A	location of the rating unit	\$21.26	per \$100,000 Capital Value	125,112
Class B	location of the rating unit	\$14.17	per \$100,000 Capital Value	23,806

Class C	location of the rating unit	\$10.63	per \$100,000 Capital Value	43,425
Class D	location of the rating unit	\$5.67	per \$100,000 Capital Value	417
Class U1	location of the rating unit	\$8.50	per \$100,000 Capital Value	295,682
Class U2	location of the rating unit	\$8.50	per \$100,000 Capital Value	41,790
Class U3	location of the rating unit	\$8.50	per \$100,000 Capital Value	48,882

- v) That a Selwyn River Catchment Works targeted rate be set differentially for different categories of land at a rate in the dollar as set out in the table below:

TYPE OF RATE CATEGORIES OF RATEABLE LAND Subcategorised as the following targeted rates:	DIFFERENTIAL RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN CATEGORIES	RATE	CALCULATION BASIS	2017/18 REVENUE SOUGHT \$
<b>Targeted Differential Catchment Works Rate Selwyn River Rating District</b>				
Class A	location of the rating unit	\$63.97	per \$100,000 Capital Value	39,760
Class B	location of the rating unit	\$57.58	per \$100,000 Capital Value	67,998
Class C	location of the rating unit	\$51.18	per \$100,000 Capital Value	18,035
Class D	location of the rating unit	\$38.38	per \$100,000 Capital Value	25,928
Class E	location of the rating unit	\$25.59	per \$100,000 Capital Value	18,389
Class F	location of the rating unit	\$9.60	per \$100,000 Capital Value	8,738
Class U1	location of the rating unit	\$57.58	per \$100,000 Capital Value	4,314
Class U2	location of the rating unit	\$25.59	per \$100,000 Capital Value	684

- w) That a Lake Ellesmere Catchment Works targeted rate be set differentially for different categories of land at a rate in the dollar as set out in the table below:

TYPE OF RATE CATEGORIES OF RATEABLE LAND Subcategorised as the following targeted rates:	DIFFERENTIAL RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN CATEGORIES	RATE	CALCULATION BASIS	2017/18 REVENUE SOUGHT \$
<b>Targeted Differential Catchment Works Rate Lake Ellesmere Rating District</b>				
Class A (Christchurch)	location of the rating unit	\$86.50	per \$100,000 Capital Value	10,941
Class B	location of the rating unit	\$72.08	per \$100,000 Capital Value	1,535
Class C	location of the rating unit	\$46.13	per \$100,000 Capital Value	2,470
Class D	location of the rating unit	\$11.53	per \$100,000 Capital Value	1,176
Class E	location of the rating unit	\$5.77	per \$100,000 Capital Value	471
Class A (Selwyn)	location of the rating unit	\$86.94	per \$100,000 Capital Value	43,600
Class B	location of the rating unit	\$72.45	per \$100,000 Capital Value	13,418
Class C	location of the rating unit	\$46.37	per \$100,000 Capital Value	67,095
Class E	location of the rating unit	\$5.80	per \$100,000 Capital Value	8,663

- x) That an Ashburton Rivers 1999 Stopbank Catchment Works targeted rate be set differentially for different categories of land at a rate in the dollar as set out in the table below:

TYPE OF RATE CATEGORIES OF RATEABLE LAND Subcategorised as the following targeted rates:	DIFFERENTIAL RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN CATEGORIES	RATE	CALCULATION BASIS	2017/18 REVENUE SOUGHT \$
<b>Targeted Differential Catchment Works Rate Ashburton Rivers 1999 Stopbank Rating District</b>				
Class A	location of the rating unit	\$6.97	per \$100,000 Capital Value	214,236
Class B	location of the rating unit	\$3.48	per \$100,000 Capital Value	8,960
Class C	location of the rating unit	\$1.74	per \$100,000 Capital Value	6,427

- y) That a Ashburton Rivers Catchment Works targeted rate be set differentially for different categories of land at a rate in the dollar as set out in the table below:

TYPE OF RATE CATEGORIES OF RATEABLE LAND Subcategorised as the following targeted rates:	DIFFERENTIAL RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN CATEGORIES	RATE	CALCULATION BASIS	2017/18 REVENUE SOUGHT \$
<b>Targeted Differential Catchment Works Rate Ashburton Rivers Rating District</b>				
Class AA	location of the rating unit	\$44.65	per \$100,000 Capital Value	27,261
Class AB	location of the rating unit	\$41.91	per \$100,000 Capital Value	24,901
Class AL	location of the rating unit	\$39.17	per \$100,000 Capital Value	180,726
Class BL	location of the rating unit	\$27.62	per \$100,000 Capital Value	11,747
Class CL	location of the rating unit	\$20.76	per \$100,000 Capital Value	58,013
Class DL	location of the rating unit	\$13.91	per \$100,000 Capital Value	33,752
Class EL	location of the rating unit	\$6.85	per \$100,000 Capital Value	11,210
Class FL	location of the rating unit	\$2.35	per \$100,000 Capital Value	3,528
Class U1	location of the rating unit	\$3.72	per \$100,000 Capital Value	103,360
Class AU	location of the rating unit	\$237.11	per \$100,000 Capital Value	14,702
Class BU	location of the rating unit	\$142.32	per \$100,000 Capital Value	103,141
Class CU	location of the rating unit	\$94.78	per \$100,000 Capital Value	2,283
Class DU	location of the rating unit	\$71.02	per \$100,000 Capital Value	181

- z) That a North Rakaia Drainage Catchment Works targeted rate be set at a rate in the dollar as set out in the table below:

TYPE OF RATE CATEGORIES OF RATEABLE LAND Subcategorised as the following targeted rates:	DIFFERENTIAL RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN CATEGORIES	RATE	CALCULATION BASIS	2017/18 REVENUE SOUGHT \$
<b>Targeted Differential Catchment Works Rate</b>				
<b>North Rakaia River Rating District</b>				
Class A	location of the rating unit	\$266.30	per \$100,000 Land Value	99,566

- aa) That a Prices Valley Drainage Catchment Works targeted rate be set differentially for different categories of land at a rate in the dollar as set out in the table below:

TYPE OF RATE CATEGORIES OF RATEABLE LAND Subcategorised as the following targeted rates:	DIFFERENTIAL RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN CATEGORIES	RATE	CALCULATION BASIS	2017/18 REVENUE SOUGHT \$
<b>Targeted Differential Catchment Works Rate</b>				
<b>Prices Valley Drainage District</b>				
Class A	location of the rating unit	\$235.23	per \$100,000 Capital Value	953
Class C	location of the rating unit	\$62.73	per \$100,000 Capital Value	380
Class D	location of the rating unit	\$31.36	per \$100,000 Capital Value	194
Class E	location of the rating unit	\$15.68	per \$100,000 Capital Value	194

- bb) That a Sefton Ashley Catchment Works targeted rate be set differentially for different categories of land at a rate in the dollar as set out in the table below:

TYPE OF RATE CATEGORIES OF RATEABLE LAND Subcategorised as the following targeted rates:	DIFFERENTIAL RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN CATEGORIES	RATE	CALCULATION BASIS	2017/18 REVENUE SOUGHT \$
<b>Targeted Differential Catchment Works Rate</b>				
<b>Sefton Ashley Rating District</b>				
Class A	location of the rating unit	\$18.06	per \$100,000 Capital Value	10,565
Class B	location of the rating unit	\$9.03	per \$100,000 Capital Value	3,208

- cc) That a Lower Hinds River Catchment Works targeted rate be set at a rate in the dollar as set out in the table below:

TYPE OF RATE CATEGORIES OF RATEABLE LAND Subcategorised as the	DIFFERENTIAL RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN CATEGORIES	RATE	CALCULATION BASIS	2017/18 REVENUE SOUGHT \$
--	--	------	-------------------	---------------------------

following targeted rates:				
<b>Targeted Differential Catchment Works Rate Lower Hinds River Rating District</b>				
Class Main	location of the rating unit	\$10.79	per \$100,000 Capital Value	57,441

dd) That a Upper Hinds River Catchment Works targeted rate be set differentially for different categories of land at a rate in the dollar as set out in the table below:

TYPE OF RATE CATEGORIES OF RATEABLE LAND Subcategorised as the following targeted rates:	DIFFERENTIAL RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN CATEGORIES	RATE	CALCULATION BASIS	2017/18 REVENUE SOUGHT \$
<b>Targeted Differential Catchment Works Rate Upper Hinds River Rating District</b>				
Class A	location of the rating unit	\$55.29	per \$100,000 Capital Value	29,622
Class B	location of the rating unit	\$49.76	per \$100,000 Capital Value	40,298
Class C	location of the rating unit	\$11.06	per \$100,000 Capital Value	2,455

ee) That a Orari –Waihi – Temuka Catchment Works targeted rate be set differentially for different categories of land at a rate in the dollar as set out in the table below:

TYPE OF RATE CATEGORIES OF RATEABLE LAND Subcategorised as the following targeted rates:	DIFFERENTIAL RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN CATEGORIES	RATE	CALCULATION BASIS	2017/18 REVENUE SOUGHT \$
<b>Targeted Differential Catchment Works Rate Orari-Waihi-Temuka Rating District</b>				
Class A	location of the rating unit	\$81.65	per \$100,000 Capital Value	34,386
Class B	location of the rating unit	\$57.16	per \$100,000 Capital Value	86,195
Class C	location of the rating unit	\$38.11	per \$100,000 Capital Value	189,832
Class D	location of the rating unit	\$19.05	per \$100,000 Capital Value	181,721
Class E	location of the rating unit	\$8.17	per \$100,000 Capital Value	56,550
Class F	location of the rating unit	\$2.72	per \$100,000 Capital Value	15,067

ff) That a Waihao –Wainono Flood and Drainage Works targeted rate be set differentially for different categories of land at a rate in the dollar as set out in the table below:

TYPE OF RATE CATEGORIES OF RATEABLE LAND Subcategorised as the following targeted rates:	DIFFERENTIAL RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN CATEGORIES	RATE	CALCULATION BASIS	2017/18 REVENUE SOUGHT \$
---	--	------	-------------------	---------------------------

Targeted Differential Catchment Works Rate Waihao-Wainono Flood & Drainage District				
Class A	location of the rating unit	\$104.58	per \$100,000 Land Value	85,977
Class B	location of the rating unit	\$13.60	per \$100,000 Land Value	7,649

gg) That a Opihi River Catchment Works targeted rate be set differentially for different categories of land at a rate in the dollar as set out in the table below:

TYPE OF RATE CATEGORIES OF RATEABLE LAND Subcategorised as the following targeted rates:	DIFFERENTIAL RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN CATEGORIES	RATE	CALCULATION BASIS	2017/18 REVENUE SOUGHT \$
Targeted Differential Catchment Works Rate Opihi River Rating District				
Class A (Timaru)	location of the rating unit	\$74.55	per \$100,000 Capital Value	29,742
Class B	location of the rating unit	\$70.82	per \$100,000 Capital Value	80,438
Class C	location of the rating unit	\$55.91	per \$100,000 Capital Value	101,240
Class D	location of the rating unit	\$41.00	per \$100,000 Capital Value	11,031
Class E	location of the rating unit	\$14.91	per \$100,000 Capital Value	27,853
Class F	location of the rating unit	\$5.22	per \$100,000 Capital Value	22,701
Class U1	location of the rating unit	\$74.55	per \$100,000 Capital Value	5,878
Class U2	location of the rating unit	\$29.82	per \$100,000 Capital Value	11,721
Class U3	location of the rating unit	\$14.91	per \$100,000 Capital Value	3,239
Class U4	location of the rating unit	\$5.22	per \$100,000 Capital Value	2,518
Class U4A	location of the rating unit	\$10.44	per \$100,000 Capital Value	6,337
Class B (Mackenzie)	location of the rating unit	\$74.78	per \$100,000 Capital Value	8,156
Class C	location of the rating unit	\$59.04	per \$100,000 Capital Value	83,949
Class D	location of the rating unit	\$43.29	per \$100,000 Capital Value	1,514
Class E	location of the rating unit	\$15.74	per \$100,000 Capital Value	8,143
Class F	location of the rating unit	\$5.51	per \$100,000 Capital Value	53,172
Class U3	location of the rating unit	\$15.74	per \$100,000 Capital Value	14,756

hh) That a Lower Pareora River Catchment Works targeted rate be set differentially for different categories of land at a rate in the dollar as set out in the table below:

TYPE OF RATE CATEGORIES OF RATEABLE LAND Subcategorised as the following targeted rates:	DIFFERENTIAL RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN CATEGORIES	RATE	CALCULATION BASIS	2017/18 REVENUE SOUGHT \$
Targeted Differential Catchment Works Rate Lower Pareora River Rating District				
Class A (Timaru)	location of the rating unit	\$139.37	per \$100,000 Capital Value	1,232
Class B	location of the rating unit	\$104.52	per \$100,000 Capital Value	3,507
Class C	location of the rating unit	\$69.68	per \$100,000 Capital Value	18,136
Class D	location of the rating unit	\$41.81	per \$100,000 Capital Value	2,869
Class E	location of the rating unit	\$20.90	per \$100,000 Capital Value	970
Class F	location of the rating unit	\$6.97	per \$100,000 Capital Value	674
Class U1	location of the rating unit	\$83.62	per \$100,000 Capital Value	25,264



Class U2	location of the rating unit	\$13.94	per \$100,000 Capital Value	4,520
Class B (Waimate)	location of the rating unit	\$97.49	per \$100,000 Capital Value	17,457
Class C	location of the rating unit	\$64.99	per \$100,000 Capital Value	9,427
Class D	location of the rating unit	\$39.00	per \$100,000 Capital Value	7,567
Class E	location of the rating unit	\$19.50	per \$100,000 Capital Value	5,028
Class F	location of the rating unit	\$6.50	per \$100,000 Capital Value	771

- ii) That a Kapua Drainage Catchment Works targeted rate be set differentially for different categories of land at a rate in the dollar as set out in the table below:

TYPE OF RATE CATEGORIES OF RATEABLE LAND Subcategorised as the following targeted rates:	DIFFERENTIAL RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN CATEGORIES	RATE	CALCULATION BASIS	2017/18 REVENUE SOUGHT \$
<b>Targeted Differential Catchment Works Rate Kapua Drainage District</b>				
Class A	location of the rating unit	\$335.43	per \$100,000 Capital Value	537
Class C	location of the rating unit	\$167.72	per \$100,000 Capital Value	612

- jj) That a Lower Waitaki River Catchment Works targeted rate be set differentially for different categories of land at a rate in the dollar as set out in the table below:

TYPE OF RATE CATEGORIES OF RATEABLE LAND Subcategorised as the following targeted rates:	DIFFERENTIAL RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN CATEGORIES	RATE	CALCULATION BASIS	2017/18 REVENUE SOUGHT \$
<b>Targeted Differential Catchment Works Rate Lower Waitaki River Rating District</b>				
Class A (Waimate)	location of the rating unit	\$57.49	per \$100,000 Capital Value	53,637
Class B	location of the rating unit	\$28.75	per \$100,000 Capital Value	23,975
Class A (Waitaki)	location of the rating unit	\$60.78	per \$100,000 Capital Value	23,754
Class B	location of the rating unit	\$30.39	per \$100,000 Capital Value	6,064
Class U1	location of the rating unit	\$30.39	per \$100,000 Capital Value	740

- kk) That a Waiau River – Bourne Catchment Works targeted rate be set at a rate in the dollar as set out in the table below:

TYPE OF RATE CATEGORIES OF RATEABLE LAND Subcategorised as the following targeted rates:	DIFFERENTIAL RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN CATEGORIES	RATE	CALCULATION BASIS	2017/18 REVENUE SOUGHT \$
<b>Targeted Differential Catchment Works Rate Waiau River-Bourne Rating District</b>				

Class A	location of the rating unit	\$2,975.72	per \$100,000 Capital Value	17,259
---------	-----------------------------	------------	-----------------------------	--------

- ll) That a Waiau River – Rotherham Catchment Works targeted rate be set differentially for different categories of land at a uniform rate in the dollar as set out in the table below:

TYPE OF RATE CATEGORIES OF RATEABLE LAND Subcategorised as the following targeted rates:	DIFFERENTIAL RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN CATEGORIES	RATE	CALCULATION BASIS	2017/18 REVENUE SOUGHT \$
<b>Targeted Differential Catchment Works Rate Waiau River-Rotherham Rating District</b>				
Class A	location of the rating unit	\$314.91	per \$100,000 Capital Value	2,236
Class B	location of the rating unit	\$204.69	per \$100,000 Capital Value	29,391

- mm) That a Waiau Township Area Catchment Works targeted rate be set at a rate in the dollar as set out in the table below:

TYPE OF RATE CATEGORIES OF RATEABLE LAND Subcategorised as the following targeted rates:	DIFFERENTIAL RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN CATEGORIES	RATE	CALCULATION BASIS	2017/18 REVENUE SOUGHT \$
<b>Targeted Differential Catchment Works Rate Waiau Township Area Rating District</b>				
Class A	location of the rating unit	\$59.23	per \$100,000 Capital Value	42,550

- nn) That a Kaikoura River Catchment Works targeted rate be set differentially for different categories of land at a rate in the dollar as set out in the table below:

TYPE OF RATE CATEGORIES OF RATEABLE LAND Subcategorised as the following targeted rates:	DIFFERENTIAL RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN CATEGORIES	RATE	CALCULATION BASIS	2017/18 REVENUE SOUGHT \$
<b>Targeted Differential Catchment Works Rate Kaikoura River Rating District</b>				
Class A	location of the rating unit	\$78.46	per \$100,000 Capital Value	197,504
Class B	location of the rating unit	\$47.08	per \$100,000 Capital Value	2,920
Class C	location of the rating unit	\$31.38	per \$100,000 Capital Value	1,420
Class D	location of the rating unit	\$19.62	per \$100,000 Capital Value	1,510
Class E	location of the rating unit	\$15.69	per \$100,000 Capital Value	7,167
Class F	location of the rating unit	\$7.85	per \$100,000 Capital Value	9,157
Class U1	location of the rating unit	\$78.46	per \$100,000 Capital Value	35,166
Class U2	location of the rating unit	\$19.62	per \$100,000 Capital Value	1,573

Class U3	location of the rating unit	\$11.77	per \$100,000 Capital Value	14,851
Class U4	location of the rating unit	\$7.85	per \$100,000 Capital Value	27,424

oo) That a Kowai River - Leithfield Catchment Works targeted rate be set at a rate in the dollar as set out in the table below:

TYPE OF RATE CATEGORIES OF RATEABLE LAND Subcategorised as the following targeted rates:	DIFFERENTIAL RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN CATEGORIES	RATE	CALCULATION BASIS	2017/18 REVENUE SOUGHT \$
<b>Targeted Differential Catchment Works Rate Kowai River - Leithfield Rating District</b>				
Class A	location of the rating unit	\$5.20	per \$100,000 Capital Value	5,745

pp) That a North Kowai Catchment Works targeted rate be set differentially for different categories of land at a rate in the dollar as set out in the table below:

TYPE OF RATE CATEGORIES OF RATEABLE LAND Subcategorised as the following targeted rates:	DIFFERENTIAL RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN CATEGORIES	RATE	CALCULATION BASIS	2017/18 REVENUE SOUGHT \$
<b>Targeted Differential Catchment Works Rate North Kowai Rating District</b>				
Class A	location of the rating unit	\$23.65	per \$100,000 Capital Value	3,594
Class B	location of the rating unit	\$11.83	per \$100,000 Capital Value	2,150

qq) That a Conway River Catchment Works targeted rate be set at a rate in the dollar as set out in the table below:

TYPE OF RATE CATEGORIES OF RATEABLE LAND Subcategorised as the following targeted rates:	DIFFERENTIAL RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN CATEGORIES	RATE	CALCULATION BASIS	2017/18 REVENUE SOUGHT \$
<b>Targeted Differential Catchment Works Rate Conway River Rating District</b>				
Class A	location of the rating unit	\$480.60	per \$100,000 Capital Value	5,168

rr) That a Sefton Town Catchment Works targeted rate be set at a rate in the dollar as set out in the table below:

TYPE OF RATE CATEGORIES OF	DIFFERENTIAL RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN CATEGORIES	RATE	CALCULATION BASIS	2017/18 REVENUE
----------------------------	--	------	-------------------	-----------------

<b>RATEABLE LAND</b> Subcategorised as the following targeted rates:				<b>SOUGHT \$</b>
<b>Targeted Differential Catchment Works Rate</b> <b>Sefton Town Rating District</b>				
Class A	location of the rating unit	\$34.90	per \$100,000 Capital Value	2,300

ss) That a Washdyke Catchment Works targeted rate be set differentially for different categories of land at a rate in the dollar as set out in the table below:

<b>TYPE OF RATE CATEGORIES OF RATEABLE LAND</b> Subcategorised as the following targeted rates:	<b>DIFFERENTIAL RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN CATEGORIES</b>	<b>RATE</b>	<b>CALCULATION BASIS</b>	<b>2017/18 REVENUE SOUGHT \$</b>
<b>Targeted Differential Catchment Works Rate</b> <b>Washdyke Rating District</b>				
Class A	location of the rating unit	\$63.72	per \$100,000 Capital Value	45,397
Class B	location of the rating unit	\$38.23	per \$100,000 Capital Value	19,869

tt) That a Halswell River Drainage Catchment Works targeted rate be set differentially for different categories of land at a rate in the dollar as set out in the table below:

<b>TYPE OF RATE CATEGORIES OF RATEABLE LAND</b> Subcategorised as the following targeted rates:	<b>DIFFERENTIAL RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN CATEGORIES</b>	<b>RATE</b>	<b>CALCULATION BASIS</b>	<b>2017/18 REVENUE SOUGHT \$</b>
<b>Targeted Differential Catchment Works Rate</b> <b>Halswell River Drainage District</b>				
Class B (Christchurch)	location of the rating unit	\$61.60	per \$100,000 Land Value	144,919
Class C	location of the rating unit	\$43.49	per \$100,000 Land Value	38,486
Class D	location of the rating unit	\$28.99	per \$100,000 Land Value	19,328
Class E	location of the rating unit	\$4.35	per \$100,000 Land Value	8,305
Class F	location of the rating unit	\$10.87	per \$100,000 Land Value	12,085
Class A (Selwyn)	location of the rating unit	\$75.94	per \$100,000 Land Value	14,161
Class B	location of the rating unit	\$64.55	per \$100,000 Land Value	168,756
Class C	location of the rating unit	\$45.56	per \$100,000 Land Value	89,556
Class D	location of the rating unit	\$30.37	per \$100,000 Land Value	17,473
Class E	location of the rating unit	\$4.56	per \$100,000 Land Value	24,501
Class F	location of the rating unit	\$11.39	per \$100,000 Land Value	10,706
Class U1	location of the rating unit	\$75.94	per \$100,000 Land Value	31,760
Class U2	location of the rating unit	\$15.19	per \$100,000 Land Value	8,361

uu) That a Halswell Earthquake Recovery Catchment Works targeted rate be set differentially for different categories of land at a rate in the dollar as set out in the table below:

TYPE OF RATE CATEGORIES OF RATEABLE LAND Subcategorised as the following targeted rates:	DIFFERENTIAL RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN CATEGORIES	RATE	CALCULATION BASIS	2017/18 REVENUE SOUGHT \$
<b>Targeted Differential Catchment Works Rate Halswell Earthquake Recovery District</b>				
Class B (Christchurch)	location of the rating unit	\$4.52	per \$100,000 Land Value	10,631
Class C	location of the rating unit	\$3.19	per \$100,000 Land Value	2,823
Class D	location of the rating unit	\$2.13	per \$100,000 Land Value	1,418
Class E	location of the rating unit	\$0.32	per \$100,000 Land Value	609
Class F	location of the rating unit	\$0.80	per \$100,000 Land Value	887
Class A (Selwyn)	location of the rating unit	\$5.57	per \$100,000 Land Value	1,039
Class B	location of the rating unit	\$4.73	per \$100,000 Land Value	12,380
Class C	location of the rating unit	\$3.34	per \$100,000 Land Value	6,570
Class D	location of the rating unit	\$2.23	per \$100,000 Land Value	1,282
Class E	location of the rating unit	\$0.33	per \$100,000 Land Value	1,797
Class F	location of the rating unit	\$0.84	per \$100,000 Land Value	785
Class U1	location of the rating unit	\$5.57	per \$100,000 Land Value	2,330
Class U2	location of the rating unit	\$1.11	per \$100,000 Land Value	613

vv) That a Rangitata River Catchment Works targeted rate be set differentially for different categories of land at a rate in the dollar as set out in the table below:

TYPE OF RATE CATEGORIES OF RATEABLE LAND Subcategorised as the following targeted rates:	DIFFERENTIAL RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN CATEGORIES	RATE	CALCULATION BASIS	2017/18 REVENUE SOUGHT \$
<b>Targeted Differential Catchment Works Rate Rangitata River Rating District</b>				
Class A	location of the rating unit	\$96.23	per \$100,000 Land Value	163,087
Class B	location of the rating unit	\$57.74	per \$100,000 Land Value	41,585
Class C	location of the rating unit	\$38.49	per \$100,000 Land Value	13,983
Class D	location of the rating unit	\$19.25	per \$100,000 Land Value	5,120
Class AA	location of the rating unit	\$1,145.16	per \$100,000 Land Value	5,153

ww) That a Staveley Storm Channel Catchment Works targeted rate be set differentially for different categories of land at a rate in the dollar as set out in the table below:

TYPE OF RATE CATEGORIES OF RATEABLE	DIFFERENTIAL RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN CATEGORIES	RATE	CALCULATION BASIS	2017/18 REVENUE SOUGHT \$
-------------------------------------	--	------	-------------------	---------------------------

LAND Subcategorised as the following targeted rates:				
<b>Targeted Differential Catchment Works Rate Staveley Storm Channel Rating District</b>				
Class A	location of the rating unit	\$2.29	per \$100,000 Land Value	179
Class B	location of the rating unit	\$1.83	per \$100,000 Land Value	111
Class C	location of the rating unit	\$0.69	per \$100,000 Land Value	35

xx) That an Upper Chatterton & Hanmer West Catchment Works targeted rate be set differentially for different categories of land at a rate in the dollar as set out in the table below:

TYPE OF RATE CATEGORIES OF RATEABLE LAND Subcategorised as the following targeted rates:	DIFFERENTIAL RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN CATEGORIES	RATE	CALCULATION BASIS	2017/18 REVENUE SOUGHT \$
<b>Targeted Differential Catchment Works Rate Upper Chatterton &amp; Hanmer West Rating District</b>				
Class A	location of the rating unit	\$36.68	per \$100,000 Land Value	2,353
Class B	location of the rating unit	\$23.58	per \$100,000 Land Value	1,364
Class C	location of the rating unit	\$15.72	per \$100,000 Land Value	3,573
Class D	location of the rating unit	\$44.54	per \$100,000 Land Value	15,554
Class U	location of the rating unit	\$72.05	per \$100,000 Land Value	7,056

yy) That a Makikihi River Catchment Works targeted rate be set differentially for different categories of land at a rate in the dollar as set out in the table below:

TYPE OF RATE CATEGORIES OF RATEABLE LAND Subcategorised as the following targeted rates:	DIFFERENTIAL RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN CATEGORIES	RATE	CALCULATION BASIS	2017/18 REVENUE SOUGHT \$
<b>Targeted Differential Catchment Works Rate Makikihi River Rating District</b>				
Class A	location of the rating unit	\$84.19	per \$100,000 Land Value	1,490
Class B	location of the rating unit	\$33.67	per \$100,000 Land Value	113
Class C	location of the rating unit	\$8.42	per \$100,000 Land Value	37

zz) That a Dry Creek Catchment Works targeted rate be set differentially for different categories of land at a rate in the dollar as set out in the table below:

TYPE OF RATE CATEGORIES OF RATEABLE LAND	DIFFERENTIAL RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN CATEGORIES	RATE	CALCULATION BASIS	2017/18 REVENUE SOUGHT \$
--	--	------	-------------------	---------------------------

Subcategorised as the following targeted rates:				
<b>Targeted Differential Catchment Works Rate Dry Creek Rating District</b>				
Class A	location of the rating unit	\$34.97	per \$100,000 Land Value	5,122
Class B	location of the rating unit	\$24.48	per \$100,000 Land Value	4,402
Class C	location of the rating unit	\$5.25	per \$100,000 Land Value	836

aaa) That a Lower Pahau River Catchment Works targeted rate be set at a rate in the dollar as set out in the table below:

TYPE OF RATE CATEGORIES OF RATEABLE LAND Subcategorised as the following targeted rates:	DIFFERENTIAL RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN CATEGORIES	RATE	CALCULATION BASIS	2017/18 REVENUE SOUGHT \$
<b>Targeted Differential Catchment Works Rate Lower Pahau River Rating District</b>				
Class A	location of the rating unit	\$332.28	per \$100,000 Land Value	10,328

bbb) That a Lower Hurunui Catchment Works targeted rate be set at a rate per hectare of land as set out in the table below:

TYPE OF RATE CATEGORIES OF RATEABLE LAND Subcategorised as the following targeted rates:	DIFFERENTIAL RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN CATEGORIES	RATE	CALCULATION BASIS	2017/18 REVENUE SOUGHT \$
<b>Targeted Differential Catchment Works Rate Lower Hurunui Rating District</b>				
Class A	location of the rating unit	\$8.85	per Hectare of Land	3,457

ccc) That a Lower Flats Groyne Waiau Catchment Works targeted rate be set differentially for different categories of land at a rate per hectare of land as set out in the table below:

TYPE OF RATE CATEGORIES OF RATEABLE LAND Subcategorised as the following targeted rates:	DIFFERENTIAL RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN CATEGORIES	RATE	CALCULATION BASIS	2017/18 REVENUE SOUGHT \$
<b>Targeted Differential Catchment Works Rate Lower Flats Groyne Waiau Rating District</b>				

Class A	location of the rating unit	\$21.09	per Hectare of Land	154
Class B	location of the rating unit	\$14.76	per Hectare of Land	1,231
Class C	location of the rating unit	\$10.54	per Hectare of Land	2,074

ddd) That a Lyndon Catchment Works targeted rate be set differentially for different categories of land at a rate per hectare of land as set out in the table below:

TYPE OF RATE CATEGORIES OF RATEABLE LAND Subcategorised as the following targeted rates:	DIFFERENTIAL RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN CATEGORIES	RATE	CALCULATION BASIS	2017/18 REVENUE SOUGHT \$
<b>Targeted Differential Catchment Works Rate Lyndon Rating District</b>				
Class A	location of the rating unit	\$24.41	per Hectare of Land	439
Class B	location of the rating unit	\$14.64	per Hectare of Land	659
Class C	location of the rating unit	\$10.74	per Hectare of Land	60

eee) That a Waiau River Spotswood Catchment Works targeted rate be set differentially for different categories of land at a rate per hectare of land as set out in the table below:

TYPE OF RATE CATEGORIES OF RATEABLE LAND Subcategorised as the following targeted rates:	DIFFERENTIAL RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN CATEGORIES	RATE	CALCULATION BASIS	2017/18 REVENUE SOUGHT \$
<b>Targeted Differential Catchment Works Rate Waiau River Spotswood Rating District</b>				
Class A	location of the rating unit	\$15.25	per Hectare of Land	3,661
Class B	location of the rating unit	\$13.73	per Hectare of Land	364

fff) That a Pahau River Catchment Works targeted rate be set differentially for different categories of land at a rate per hectare of land as set out in the table below:

TYPE OF RATE CATEGORIES OF RATEABLE LAND Subcategorised as the following targeted rates:	DIFFERENTIAL RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN CATEGORIES	RATE	CALCULATION BASIS	2017/18 REVENUE SOUGHT \$
<b>Targeted Differential Catchment Works Rate Pahau River Rating District</b>				
Class A	location of the rating unit	\$3.76	per Hectare of Land	937



Class B	location of the rating unit	\$3.44	per Hectare of Land	954
Class C	location of the rating unit	\$2.24	per Hectare of Land	459
Class D	location of the rating unit	\$1.42	per Hectare of Land	318
Class E	location of the rating unit	\$1.34	per Hectare of Land	250

ggg) That a Lower Rakaia River Catchment Works targeted rate be set differentially for different categories of land at a rate per hectare of land as set out in the table below:

TYPE OF RATE CATEGORIES OF RATEABLE LAND Subcategorised as the following targeted rates:	DIFFERENTIAL RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN CATEGORIES	RATE	CALCULATION BASIS	2017/18 REVENUE SOUGHT \$
<b>Targeted Differential Catchment Works Rate Lower Rakaia River Rating District</b>				
Class A	location of the rating unit	\$341.54	per Hectare of Land	5,079
Class B	location of the rating unit	\$17.08	per Hectare of Land	39,723
Class C	location of the rating unit	\$13.66	per Hectare of Land	10,033
Class D	location of the rating unit	\$10.25	per Hectare of Land	7,020
Class E	location of the rating unit	\$6.83	per Hectare of Land	5,811
Class F	location of the rating unit	\$3.42	per Hectare of Land	307

hhh) That an Ashburton-Hinds Drainage Catchment Works targeted rate be set differentially for different categories of land at a rate per hectare of land as set out in the table below:

TYPE OF RATE CATEGORIES OF RATEABLE LAND Subcategorised as the following targeted rates:	DIFFERENTIAL RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN CATEGORIES	RATE	CALCULATION BASIS	2017/18 REVENUE SOUGHT \$
<b>Targeted Differential Catchment Works Rate Ashburton-Hinds Drainage District</b>				
Class A	location of the rating unit	\$7.30	per Hectare of Land	106,526
Class B	location of the rating unit	\$5.11	per Hectare of Land	25,891
Class C	location of the rating unit	\$3.65	per Hectare of Land	21,842
Class D	location of the rating unit	\$2.19	per Hectare of Land	3,497
Class E	location of the rating unit	\$1.46	per Hectare of Land	5,156
Class F	location of the rating unit	\$0.73	per Hectare of Land	4,045
Class U1	location of the rating unit	\$30.26	per Hectare of Land	5,626

iii) That a Seadown Drainage Catchment Works targeted rate be set differentially for different categories of land at a rate per hectare of land as set out in the table below:

TYPE OF RATE CATEGORIES OF RATEABLE LAND Subcategorised as the following targeted rates:	DIFFERENTIAL RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN CATEGORIES	RATE	CALCULATION BASIS	2017/18 REVENUE SOUGHT \$
<b>Targeted Differential Catchment Works Rate</b>				
<b>Seadown Drainage District</b>				
Class A	location of the rating unit	\$44.70	per Hectare of Land	16,881
Class B	location of the rating unit	\$26.82	per Hectare of Land	12,322
Class C	location of the rating unit	\$8.94	per Hectare of Land	4,810
Class D	location of the rating unit	\$4.47	per Hectare of Land	401

jjj) That an Otaio River Catchment Works targeted rate be set differentially for different categories of land at a rate per hectare of land as set out in the table below:

TYPE OF RATE CATEGORIES OF RATEABLE LAND Subcategorised as the following targeted rates:	DIFFERENTIAL RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN CATEGORIES	RATE	CALCULATION BASIS	2017/18 REVENUE SOUGHT \$
<b>Targeted Differential Catchment Works Rate</b>				
<b>Otaio River Rating District</b>				
<b>Class A</b>	location of the rating unit	\$67.38	per Hectare of Land	26,206
<b>Class B</b>	location of the rating unit	\$26.95	per Hectare of Land	3,215

kkk) That a Kaikoura Drainage Catchment Works targeted rate be set differentially for different categories of land at a rate per hectare of land as set out in the table below:

TYPE OF RATE CATEGORIES OF RATEABLE LAND Subcategorised as the following targeted rates:	DIFFERENTIAL RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN CATEGORIES	RATE	CALCULATION BASIS	2017/18 REVENUE SOUGHT \$
<b>Kaikoura River Rating District</b>				
Class A	location of the rating unit	\$78.46	per \$100,000 Capital Value	197,504
Class B	location of the rating unit	\$47.08	per \$100,000 Capital Value	2,920
Class C	location of the rating unit	\$31.38	per \$100,000 Capital Value	1,420
Class D	location of the rating unit	\$19.62	per \$100,000 Capital Value	1,510
Class E	location of the rating unit	\$15.69	per \$100,000 Capital Value	7,167
Class F	location of the rating unit	\$7.85	per \$100,000 Capital Value	9,157
Class U1	location of the rating unit	\$78.46	per \$100,000 Capital Value	35,166
Class U2	location of the rating unit	\$19.62	per \$100,000 Capital Value	1,573
Class U3	location of the rating unit	\$11.77	per \$100,000 Capital Value	14,851
Class U4	location of the rating unit	\$7.85	per \$100,000 Capital Value	27,424

- III) That a Cleardale Catchment Works targeted rate be set differentially for different categories of land at a rate per hectare of land as set out in the table below:

	Nil
--	-----

- mmm) That a Rakaia Double Hill Catchment Works targeted rate be set per the table below:

TYPE OF RATE CATEGORIES OF RATEABLE LAND Subcategorised as the following targeted rates:	DIFFERENTIAL RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN CATEGORIES	RATE	CALCULATION BASIS	2017/18 REVENUE SOUGHT \$
<b>Targeted Differential Catchment Works Rate Rakaia Double Hill Rating District</b>				
Class A	location of the rating unit	\$459.47	the extent of provision of service to the rating unit	22,882
Class B	location of the rating unit	\$459.47	the extent of provision of service to the rating unit	5,803
Class C	location of the rating unit	\$459.47	the extent of provision of service to the rating unit	6,823
Class D	location of the rating unit	\$459.47	the extent of provision of service to the rating unit	6,823
Class E	location of the rating unit	\$459.47	the extent of provision of service to the rating unit	2,012
Class F	location of the rating unit	\$459.47	the extent of provision of service to the rating unit	1,002
Class H	location of the rating unit	\$459.47	the extent of provision of service to the rating unit	602

- nnn) That a Buttericks Road Drainage Catchment Works targeted rate be set per the table below:

TYPE OF RATE CATEGORIES OF RATEABLE LAND Subcategorised as the following targeted rates:	DIFFERENTIAL RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN CATEGORIES	RATE	CALCULATION BASIS	2017/18 REVENUE SOUGHT \$
<b>Targeted Differential Catchment Works Rate Omarama Stream Rating District</b>				
Class A (prorated)	location of the rating unit	nil	the extent of provision of service to the rating unit	-

- ooo) That a Chertsey Road Drainage Catchment Works targeted rate be set per the table below:

TYPE OF RATE CATEGORIES OF RATEABLE LAND Subcategorised as the following targeted rates:	DIFFERENTIAL RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN CATEGORIES	RATE	CALCULATION BASIS	2017/18 REVENUE SOUGHT \$
---	--	------	-------------------	---------------------------------

Targeted Differential Catchment Works Rate				
Chertsey Road Drainage District				
Class A (prorated)	location of the rating unit	\$2.30	the extent of provision of service to the rating unit	229

ppp) That a Lower Makikihi River Catchment Works targeted rate be set per the table below:

TYPE OF RATE CATEGORIES OF RATEABLE LAND Subcategorised as the following targeted rates:	DIFFERENTIAL RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN CATEGORIES	RATE	CALCULATION BASIS	2017/18 REVENUE SOUGHT \$
Targeted Differential Catchment Works Rate				
Lower Makikihi River Rating District				
Class A (prorated)	location of the rating unit	\$18.04	the extent of provision of service to the rating unit	1,804

qqq) That an Esk Valley Catchment Works targeted rate be set per the table below:

TYPE OF RATE CATEGORIES OF RATEABLE LAND Subcategorised as the following targeted rates:	DIFFERENTIAL RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN CATEGORIES	RATE	CALCULATION BASIS	2017/18 REVENUE SOUGHT \$
Targeted Differential Catchment Works Rate				
Esk Valley Rating District				
Class A (prorated)	location of the rating unit	\$11.52	the extent of provision of service to the rating unit	1,152

rrr) That a Mount Harding Creek Catchment Works targeted rate be set per the table below:

TYPE OF RATE CATEGORIES OF RATEABLE LAND Subcategorised as the following targeted rates:	DIFFERENTIAL RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN CATEGORIES	RATE	CALCULATION BASIS	2017/18 REVENUE SOUGHT \$
Targeted Differential Catchment Works Rate				
Mount Harding Creek Rating District				
Class A (prorated)	location of the rating unit	\$18.37	the extent of provision of service to the rating unit	1,837

sss) That a Omarama Stream Catchment Works targeted rate be set per the table below:

TYPE OF RATE CATEGORIES OF RATEABLE LAND Subcategorised as the following targeted rates:	DIFFERENTIAL RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN CATEGORIES	RATE	CALCULATION BASIS	2017/18 REVENUE SOUGHT \$
<b>Targeted Differential Catchment Works Rate</b>				
<b>Omarama Stream Rating District</b>				
Class A (prorated)	location of the rating unit	nil	the extent of provision of service to the rating unit	-

ttt) That a Pentecotico River Catchment Works targeted rate be set per the table below:

TYPE OF RATE CATEGORIES OF RATEABLE LAND Subcategorised as the following targeted rates:	DIFFERENTIAL RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN CATEGORIES	RATE	CALCULATION BASIS	2017/18 REVENUE SOUGHT \$
<b>Targeted Differential Catchment Works Rate</b>				
<b>Penticotico River Rating District</b>				
Class A (prorated)	location of the rating unit	nil	the extent of provision of service to the rating unit	-

uuu) That a Twizel River Catchment Works targeted rate be set per the table below:

TYPE OF RATE CATEGORIES OF RATEABLE LAND Subcategorised as the following targeted rates:	DIFFERENTIAL RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN CATEGORIES	RATE	CALCULATION BASIS	2017/18 REVENUE SOUGHT \$
<b>Targeted Differential Catchment Works Rate</b>				
<b>Twizel River Rating District</b>				
Class A (prorated)	location of the rating unit	nil	the extent of provision of service to the rating unit	-

vvv) That in the 2017/18 financial year the rates be due in instalments as set out in the table below

Due Dates						
Territorial Authority	Instalment No. 1	Instalment No. 2	Instalment No. 3	Instalment No. 4	Instalment No. 5	Instalment No. 6
Kaikōura	20-Sep-17	20-Dec-17	20-Mar-18	20-Jun-18		
Hurunui	21-Aug-17	20-Nov-17	20-Feb-18	21-May-18		
Waimakariri	20-Aug-17	20-Nov-17	20-Feb-18	20-May-18		
Christchurch - Area 1	15-Aug-17	15-Nov-17	15-Feb-18	15-May-18		
Christchurch - Area 2	15-Sep-17	15-Dec-17	15-Mar-18	15-Jun-18		
Christchurch - Area 3	31-Aug-17	30-Nov-17	28-Feb-18	31-May-18		

Selwyn	19-Aug-17	18-Nov-17	17-Feb-18	19-May-18		
Ashburton	20-Aug-17	20-Oct-17	20-Dec-17	20-Feb-18	20-Apr-18	20-Jun-18
Timaru	20-Sep-17	20-Dec-17	20-Mar-18	20-Jun-18		
Waimate	25-Aug-17	24-Nov-17	23-Feb-18	25-May-18		
MacKenzie	20-Sep-17	20-Dec-17	20-Mar-18	20-Jun-18		
Waitaki	31-Jan-18					

www) That further penalties of 10% shall be added to any portion of rates assessed in the 2017/18 financial year that are not paid by the due date as set out in the table below.

Penalty Dates						
Territorial Authority	Instalment No. 1	Instalment No. 2	Instalment No. 3	Instalment No. 4	Instalment No. 5	Instalment No. 6
Kaikōura	20-Sep-17	20-Dec-17	20-Mar-18	20-Jun-18		
Hurunui	22-Aug-17	21-Nov-17	21-Feb-18	22-May-18		
Waimakariri	27-Aug-17	27-Nov-17	27-Feb-18	27-May-18		
Christchurch - Area 1	18-Aug-17	21-Nov-17	20-Feb-18	18-May-18		
Christchurch - Area 2	20-Sep-17	20-Dec-17	20-Mar-18	20-Jun-18		
Christchurch - Area 3	5-Sep-17	5-Dec-17	5-Mar-18	6-Jun-18		
Selwyn	2-Sep-17	2-Dec-17	2-Mar-18	2-June-18		
Ashburton	21-Aug-17	21-Oct-17	21-Dec-17	Ashburton	21-Aug-17	21-Oct-17
Timaru	21-Sep-17	21-Dec-17	21-Mar-18	21-Jun-18		
Waimate	28-Aug-17	27-Nov-17	26-Feb-18	28-May-18		
MacKenzie	22-Sep-17	22-Dec-17	22-Mar-18	22-Jun-18		
Waitaki	1-Feb-18					

Territorial Authority	Additional penalty dates	Further Additional Penalties
Kaikōura	prior years o/s 20/07 following	then 20/01 following
Hurunui	prior years o/s 1/7 following	then 1/01 following
Waimakariri	prior years o/s 1/7 following	then 1/01 following
Christchurch - Area 1	prior years o/s 1/10 following	then 1/04 following
Christchurch - Area 2	prior years o/s 1/10 following	then 1/04 following
Christchurch - Area 3	prior years o/s 1/10 following	then 1/04 following
Selwyn	prior years o/s 1/7 following	
Ashburton	prior years o/s 31/8 following	continuing annually
Timaru	prior years o/s 20/09 following	then 20/03 following
Waimate	prior years o/s 1/1 following	continuing 6 mths following
MacKenzie	prior years o/s 1/7 following	then 1/01 following
Waitaki	prior years o/s 1/1 following	

xxx) That penalties of 10% shall be added to the balance of rates levied in any previous financial year, including any additional charges previously imposed which remain unpaid, and an additional 10% shall continue thereafter to all arrears and additional charges that remain unpaid (with the exception of current instalments) at six monthly intervals, by the date fixed for that purpose by the territorial authority collecting rates on behalf of the Canterbury Regional Council for that district.

yyy) That the discount for the early payment of rates be set in accordance with the policy of the territorial authority collecting the rates on behalf of the Canterbury Regional Council.

zzz) The following schemes have Targeted Catchment Rates applied by Canterbury Regional Council:

Group No.1	Buttericks Rd	Green Street Creek	Penticotico River
	Chertsey Rd	Lower Makikihi River	Seadown Rd Drain
	Esk Valley	Mount Harding Creek	
	Twizel River	Omarama Stream	
Group No.2	Rakaia Double Hill		
Regional Authority Due Date			
	Group No.1	Group No.2	
All Targeted Rates issued directly by Canterbury Regional Council shall be due and payable			
On the following dates	<b>20-Nov-17</b>	<b>20-Feb-18</b>	
Regional Authority Penalty Date			
	Group No.1	Group No.2	
All Targeted Rates issued directly by Canterbury Regional Council and outstanding			
On the following dates	<b>21-Nov-17</b>	<b>21-Feb-18</b>	

## Proposal

### Background

The Council has a range of rates that are required to be set for the 2017/18 year. The following table and subsequent commentary describes these in more detail.

Type of Rate	Basis for Rating
<b>Fixed rate annual general charge</b>	Rating unit
<b>General rates</b>	Capital value
<b>Canterbury Water Management Strategy</b>	Capital value
<b>Catchment Works and services</b>	Capital value, land value, land area, the provision of services or fixed targeted rate
<b>Civil Defence</b>	Capital value
<b>Air Quality</b>	Capital value and/or provision of services
<b>Passenger Transport</b>	Capital value or fixed targeted rate
<b>Regional Parks</b>	Capital value or fixed targeted rate
<b>Pest Management</b>	Land value and/or land area

The rating basis for each rate type is consistent with the Revenue and Financing Policy adopted as Part B of the Environment Canterbury Long Term Plan 2015-25.

All figures are GST inclusive.

The types of rates are described in more detail as follows.

### Fixed Rate Annual General Charge

Recommendation (4)(a) details the Fixed rate Annual General Charge to be collected by ratepayers from each District for 2017/18.

Fixed Rate Annual General Charges are set to cover the costs of public information, ratepayer servicing activities, community engagement, Council archives and part of the Air Quality activities which are deemed to benefit the entire region and are set on all properties throughout the region on a per rating unit basis.

### **General Rates**

Recommendation (4)(b) details the General rates to be collected by ratepayers from each District for 2017/18.

General rates are set to cover the costs of all activities which are deemed to benefit the entire region and are set on all properties throughout the region, based on capital value.

### **Canterbury Water Management Strategy rate**

The Canterbury Water Management Strategy Environmental Infrastructure rate is a targeted rate set on districts deemed to benefit and is based on capital value.

The Canterbury Water Management Strategy rate is a targeted rate set on all properties throughout the region based on capital value.

### **Catchment works and services targeted rates for river catchment programmes**

Catchment Works and Services targeted rates are set for each property according to capital value and/or at a fixed rate per rating unit within each district deemed to benefit from catchment works.

### **Catchment works targeted rates**

Catchment works targeted rates are set largely on the basis of capital values according to the classes laid down in the classification list for each rating district. The intention of the classification is to closely match the benefits received from works with the value of the property protected.

Land value and area are also used as alternatives to capital value (but to a lesser degree) where this is seen to produce a better apportionment of benefit. Provision of service to a rating unit is also used however this is only in a few instances.

### **Civil Defence Emergency Management targeted rates**

Civil Defence Emergency Management targeted rates are set according to capital values uniformly over the region deemed to benefit.

Environment Canterbury works with the CDEM Group to deliver emergency management services to the region.

### **Air Quality targeted rates**



The Air Quality rate and the Air Quality heating assistance rate are targeted rates set for properties in Rangiora, Kaiapoi, Christchurch, Ashburton, Timaru, Geraldine and Waimate based on capital values.

The Clean Heat Loan rate is a targeted differential rate set on individual properties based on the value of the installation provided.

### **Passenger Transport targeted rates**

Passenger Transport targeted rates provide for the estimated costs of passenger transport services within parts of the region, together with an equitable allocation of the administrative support cost to each district. They are set as a rate based on capital value or on a uniform fixed amount per rating unit in the defined areas of the districts deemed to benefit.

### **Regional Park targeted rates**

The Waimakariri River Regional Park and the Ashley Rakahuri Regional Park targeted rates are set on properties according to capital value over the districts deemed to benefit.

The Tekapo Regional Park targeted rate is set on a fixed amount per rating unit basis uniformly over the districts deemed to benefit.

### **Pest Management targeted rates**

Pest management targeted rates are levied as per the Pest Management Strategy and Revenue and Financing Policy based on the provision of services to the rating district for inspections, and to the region for monitoring.

Rabbit destruction targeted rates, where these are levied, are made in accordance with the regional pest classification adopted by the Council on 1 February 1991 and according to the classes and relativities (points of benefit) detailed therein.

Possum control targeted rates are based on the land value and land area of rural rateable land.

Bovine Tb vector control, and Animal and Plant targeted rates are based on land value in the Districts or part districts deemed to benefit from the control work.

### **Changes to rating areas**

There are no new rating areas this year. There were two specific rating changes which differ from the basis on which the rates were set for the 2016/17 financial year. These are:

- a. minor changes to the Mt Harding Creek Catchment Rating District by increasing the number of rate payers involved
- b. establish funding of the Tuahiwi Community Vehicle Trust into the Waimakariri Transport rates.

## **Financial implications**

The Financial Implications of all the proposed rates are shown in the tables that form part of the proposed resolutions.

## **Legal compliance and risk assessment**

The rates proposed to be set are in accordance with the Local Government Act 2002 and the Local Government (Rating) Act 2002. The rates recommendations below are to be read in conjunction with the 2015-25 Long Term Plan and the 2017-2018 Annual Plan.

The Council is legally required to set rates to ensure it has revenue to undertake its activities. The risk of making the proposed resolution is assessed as low.

## **Significance and Engagement**

Engagement with stakeholders, partners, ratepayers and the community has been undertaken, with the purpose of seeking feedback to the draft 2017/18 Annual Plan. This requirement has been met.

## **Consistency with council policy**

Rates proposed to be set are consistent with Council revenue and financing policies as outlined in Part B of the 2015-25 Long-Term Plan.

## **Communication**

We used a variety of avenues to seek feedback to the draft 2017/18 Annual Plan. Activity undertaken included: direct emails to corporate stakeholders, Territorial Authority Chairs, CEO's and previous submitters. We held stakeholder meetings. We placed adverts in community newspapers, we ran 3 weeks' worth of adverts in Stuff.co.nz and distributed the April issue of Living Here to 330,000 households. Social media was also used.

We received a total of 1,027 visits to our have-your-say page on our website.

Feedback was received from the following:

- 28% from stuff.co.nz adverts
- 24% from organic searches
- 20% referred from other pages (some internal, some external sites)
- 14% direct to page (i.e. typed in the full url – potentially from print ads or Living Here copy)
- 14% from Facebook

## 9. Public Excluded Recommendation

### Council paper

---

#### General Information

<b>Meeting Date</b>	29 June 2017
<b>Councillor</b>	David Bedford
<b>Author</b>	Louise McDonald Senior Administration Officer

#### Recommendations

1. That the public be excluded from the following part of the proceedings of this meeting, namely:

- a) **Six Monthly Report to Ministers**

The general subject of the matter to be considered while the public is excluded, the reason for passing this resolution and the specific grounds under section 48(1) of the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 for the passing of this resolution are as follows:

Item No.	Report	General subject matter	Reason for passing this resolution in relation to each matter	Ground(s) under section 48(1) for the passing of this resolution
1	Six Monthly report to Ministers		Good reason to withhold exists under section 7	Section 48(1)(a)

This resolution is made in reliance on section 48(1) of the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 and the particular interest or interests protected by section 6 or section 7 of that Act which would be prejudiced by the holding of the whole or relevant part of the proceeding of the meeting in public are as follows:

Item No.	
1	<p>That the public conduct of the whole or the relevant part of the proceedings of the meeting would be likely to result in the disclosure of information the public disclosure of which would be contrary to the provisions of a specified enactment (Section 48(1)(b)(i))</p> <p>Protect information which is subject to an obligation of confidence or which any person has been or could be compelled to provide under the authority of any enactment, where the making available of the information would be likely otherwise to damage the public interest; (Section 7(2)(c)(ii))</p>

2. That appropriate officers remain to provide advice to the Committee.

**10. Extraordinary And Urgent Business**

**11. Notices Of Motion**

**12. Questions**

**13. Next Meeting**

**14. Closing Karakia**