

PROPOSED CANTERBURY AIR REGIONAL PLAN

**Addendum to the Summary of Decisions Requested Report Notified on 27 June 2015
PROVISION ORDER**

Addendum Notified Thursday 23 July 2015

Further Submissions on Submissions in Addendum Close Thursday 6 August 2015

SUMMARY OF DECISIONS REQUESTED ADDENDUM GUIDELINES

1. This is a summary of the decisions requested by submitters.
2. Anyone making a further submission should refer to a copy of the original submission, rather than rely solely on the summary.
3. Please refer to the following pages for the ID number of Submitters and Addresses for Service.
4. Environment Canterbury is using a new database system to record submissions, this means that the Summary of Decisions Requested will appear different to previous versions. Please use the guide below to understand the coding on the variation.

Plan Provision	Point ID	Sub ID	Submitter Name	Support/ Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
Proposed Variation 1 to the Proposed Canterbury Land and Water Regional Plan	V1pLWRP-1	51901	Mr S Bruerton	Support	Retain Variation 1. Submitter seeks specific measurable items for good management practice, not just undefined statements.

Plan Provision tells you where in the plan the submission point is coded to

Point ID is now the "coding" of the submission point

Submitter ID is now a 5 Digit Number

Submitter List

Person ID	Submitter	Contact Name	Address
63146	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	Ms Justine Ashley	c/- Justine Ashley Planz Consultants Limited PO Box 1845 Christchurch 8140
64057	Mr C Knowles		22 Richill Street Belfast 8051
63098	Mr R T Dodds		416 Wai-iti Road Gleniti Timaru 7910
64062	Shaun and Emma		EMAIL ADDRESS ONLY emma.shaun@clear.net.nz
63131	St George's Hospital Limited	Mr Jeff Bluett	C/- Golder Associates NZ Ltd Attn Mr J Bluett PO Box 2281 Christchurch 8140
63163	TIM Nominees Limited	Ms Reina Kumar	Harrison Grierson Consultants Limited PO Box 5760 Auckland 1141
64060	Mr J Kinvig		33 Albert Street Netherby Ashburton 7700

Plan Provision	Point ID	Submitter Name	Support/ Oppose	Summary of Decision Requested
Proposed Canterbury Air Regional Plan	pCARP-3172	Mr C Knowles	Oppose	No decision requested
Proposed Canterbury Air Regional Plan	pCARP-3174	Mr J Kinvig	Oppose	No decision requested
Proposed Canterbury Air Regional Plan	pCARP-3168	Mr R T Dodds	Oppose	Opposes Plan. No decision requested.
6.6	pCARP-3167	TIM Nominees Limited	Support	Retain Policy 6.6.
6.8	pCARP-783	St George's Hospital Incorporated	Oppose	Amend Policy 6.8 as follows: Where activities that discharge into air locate appropriately and where the effects of the discharge are avoided, remedied or mitigated to avoid the potential for reverse sensitivity effects , then a longer consent duration may be available <u>is appropriate</u> to provide <u>for ongoing operational certainty</u> .
6.8	pCARP-3170	St George's Hospital Incorporated	Support	Amend Objective 5.6 as follows: Developments and innovation in technology are enabled to <u>which have the potential</u> to provide solutions to air quality issues <u>are to be recognised and appropriately provided for</u> .
6.12	pCARP-786	St George's Hospital Incorporated	Oppose	Delete Policy 6.12.
6.21	pCARP-721	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	Oppose	Amend Policy 6.21 as follows: Manage any localised adverse effects from <u>Avoid</u> the discharge of contaminants into air from any large scale burning device or industry or trade premise, where the discharge will result in the exceedance, or exacerbation of an existing exceedance, of <u>100%</u> of the guideline values set out in the Ambient Air Quality Guidelines 2002 Update.
6.22	pCARP-722	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	Oppose	Delete Policy 6.22 and amend NESAQ gazetted airsheds to match increased urban areas, as required.
7.14	pCARP-724	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	Oppose	Delete Rule 7.14 and amend NESAQ gazetted airsheds to match increased urban areas, as required. As alternative relief amend Rule 7.14 so as to read: Within a Clean Air Zone polluted airshed as defined under Regulation 17 of the Resource Management (National Environmental Standards for Air Quality) Regulations 2004, the discharge of PM10 into air from a large scale burning device, where concentrations of PM10 will likely equal or exceed micrograms per cubic metre at ground level at or beyond the boundary of the property of origin, is a restricted discretionary activity provided the following condition is met: 1. 100% of the discharge will be off-set within the gazetted-polluted airshed in accordance with Regulation 17 of the Resource Management (National Environmental Standards for Air Quality) Regulation 2004. The exercise of discretion is restricted to the following matters: 1. The proposal to off-set 100% of the emissions within the gazetted-polluted airshed to ensure that there is no net increase of PM10 emissions; and 2. The matters set out in rule 7.2.
Outdoor burning	pCARP-3173	Shaun and Emma	Oppose	Provide for burning of greenwaste in rural areas
Schedule 6: Testing for particulate matter in exhaust gases	pCARP-3171	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	Oppose	Amend Schedule 6 so as to read: Combustion sources having a net energy output of less than or equal to 2MW within a Clean Air Zone or 5MW outside a Clean Air Zone. As a minimum requirement the particulate sampling must comply with either ISO9096:2003(E), ASTM D3685M-98, AS 4323.2-1995, USEPA Method 5, USEPA Method 17 or a current equivalent method that complies with the fundamental sampling requirements of ISO9096:2003(E). Where this methodology is used alone, it will be assumed for compliance purposes that all particulate matter is PM10. In circumstances where additional size specific sampling is necessary to demonstrate compliance with PM10 emission limits in the Plan, the particulate sampling must comply with USEPA.

			<p>Method 201 or USEPA Method 201A or a current equivalent method that complies with the fundamental sampling requirements of that method.</p> <p><u>Combustion sources having a net energy output of more than 2MW within a Clean Air Zone or 5MW outside a Clean Air Zone:</u></p> <p><u>For these larger combustion sources both filterable and condensable particulate matter are to be measured. As a minimum requirement the filterable particulate sampling must comply with either ISO9096:2003(E), ASTM D3685M-98, AS4323.2-1995, USEPA Method 5, USEPA Method 17 or a current equivalent method that complies with the fundamental sampling requirements of ISO9096:2003(E). Where this methodology is used alone it will be assumed for compliance purposes that all filterable particulate matter discharged is PM10. In circumstances where additional sizes specific sampling is necessary to demonstrate compliance with PM10 emission limits in the Plan, the filterable particulate sampling must comply with USEPA Method 201 or USEPA Method 201A or a current equivalent method that complies with the fundamental sampling requirements for that method. The condensable particulate sampling must comply with USEPA Method 202 or a current equivalent method that complies with the fundamental sampling requirements of that method. The test results should specify total particulate matter as the sum of filterable and condensable components ...</u></p>
--	--	--	---

