

IN THE MATTER of the Resource Management Act 1991

AND

IN THE MATTER of the Environment Canterbury (Temporary Commissioners and Improved Water Management) Act 2010

AND

IN THE MATTER of proposed Plan Change 6 to the Canterbury Land and Water Regional Plan

**REPORT AND RECOMMENDATIONS**

**OF THE**

**HEARING COMMISSIONERS**

**APPENDIX A**

**Schedule of Recommended Decisions**

## Hearing Commissioners' Recommendations on Submissions

### Proposed Plan Change 6 to the Canterbury Land and Water Regional Plan

#### Interpretation of Schedule

In addition to the 38 primary submissions raising the points listed in this schedule, the CRC received 16 further submissions in support of, or opposition to, primary submission. To the extent that the points raised by further submissions are not identified directly in this schedule, we recommend that they are accepted or rejected according to our recommendations for accepting or rejecting the corresponding submission points.

Further submission points that either support or oppose a primary submission are shown in italics and are grouped immediately below the primary submission point.

#### General

Point ID	Submitter	Decision Requested	Support/Oppose	Recommendation	Reason for Recommendation
<a href="#">PC6 LWRP-59</a>	Sitarz K	No specific decision requested on plan change. However, the submitter considers three statements in the Section 32 Report to be incorrect misleading or incomplete (within sections 4.1.2 Artificial Lake Opening and 4.1.3 Water Quality of Lake Forsyth / Te Roto o Wairewa) and seeks that these are corrected and relevant photographs included. See original submission for detail.	Oppose	Reject	The submission does not give precise details of the amendments sought, but other amendments we are recommending substantially address this issue.
<a href="#">PC6 LWRP-60</a>	Sitarz K	No specific decision requested on plan change. Submitter seeks that an AEE [Assessment of Environmental Effects] be recommended to investigate the [effects of the] canal discharge on the rocky habitat adjacent to the canal [when the lake is artificially opened]. See original submission for detail.	Oppose	Reject	The submission does not give precise details of the amendments sought, but other amendments we are recommending substantially address this issue.
<a href="#">PC6 LWRP-61</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-36</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-68</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-93</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-118</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-143</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-167</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-192</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-215</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-241</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-265</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-290</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-315</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-340</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-365</a>	Sitarz K Stanbury M Orton Mr and Mrs G Herlihy M and M Roberts C Stanbury K Ussher M and L Logan G de Boer J and J Penfold A Tini K and R Bulman J Fitch J Kennedy D E Pedersen J and Vidette S	No specific decision requested on plan change. Submitter seeks that artificial lake openings and closings be reinstated at mid beach to avoid the discharge of canal algae pollutants in the CMA [Coastal Marine Area]. See original submission for detail.	Oppose	Reject	The submission does not give precise details of the amendments sought, but other amendments we are recommending substantially address this issue.
<a href="#">PC6 LWRP-66</a>	Canterbury District Health Board	Supports Plan Change 6 in its entirety - retain as notified.	Support	Accept in part	Except to the extent that, in response to other submissions, we are recommending omissions or amendments to the notified provisions, they are retained as notified.
<a href="#">PC6 LWRP-138</a>	Wairewa Rūnanga Inc and Te Rūnanga O Ngāi Tahu	Submitter supports all the provisions in Plan Change 6 except where they have asked for specific changes.	Support in Part	Accept in part	Except to the extent that, in response to other submissions, we are recommending omissions or amendments to the notified provisions, they are retained as notified.

## Introduction

Point ID	Submitter	Decision Requested	Support/Oppose	Recommendation	Reason for Recommendation
<a href="#">PC6 LWRP-5</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-4</a>	Director General of Conservation Wairewa Runanga Inc	Amend as follows:  The area covered by this sub-region section is shown below. <del>It generally corresponds with the area covered by the Banks Peninsula Water Management Zone Committee.</del> Figure 1 outlines the area covered by the Banks Peninsula Water Management Zone Committee. Figure 2 defines the extent of the Lake Forsyth/Te Roto o Wairewa catchment.	Support in Part	Accept in part	In response to this and other submissions we are recommending an amendment to the effect requested.
<a href="#">PC6 LWRP-6</a>	Director General of Conservation	Amend to use a consistent term such as " <u>Lake Forsyth/Te Roto o Wairewa</u> " throughout the document.	Support in Part	Accept in part	In response to this and other submissions we are recommending an amendment to give effect to the request that consistent terminology should be used throughout the Plan Change.
<a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-5</a>	Wairewa Runanga Inc		Oppose		
<a href="#">PC6 LWRP-7</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-6</a>	Director General of Conservation Wairewa Runanga Inc	Amend introductory text by inserting a new paragraph after 2nd paragraph on page10-2 as follows:  <u>The Wairewa/Lake Forsyth lakebed totalling 651.73 hectares is a stewardship area managed under section 25 of the Conservation Act by the Department of Conservation. It is managed under the Canterbury Conservation Management Strategy "to protect and enhance the life supporting capacity of Canterbury's freshwater ecosystems for their intrinsic state and for their habitat values for birds, fish and invertebrates".</u>	Support in Part	Accept in part	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the section 42A Report. Consequential changes are being recommended as appropriate.
<a href="#">PC6 LWRP-8</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-7</a>	Director General of Conservation Wairewa Runanga Inc	Amend introductory text as follows:  Lake Forsyth / Te Roto o Wairewa is also recognised as a nationally and regionally significant wetland area. Lake Forsyth / Wairewa provides lake-margin and swamp habitats for waterfowl <u>and at least 11 threatened and 6 at risk birds</u> (including the crested grebe), a variety of salt and freshwater marsh plants and invertebrate species.	Support in Part	Accept in part	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the section 42A Report. Consequential changes are being recommended as appropriate.
<a href="#">PC6 LWRP-9</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-8</a>	Director General of Conservation Wairewa Runanga Inc	Amend introductory text as follows:  The lake and its tributaries provide habitats for a variety of fish species including tuna (long finned and short finned eel), inanga, patiki, banded kokopu, and common and upland bullies.	Support in Part	Accept	In response to this and other submissions we are recommending an amendment to the effect requested.
<a href="#">PC6 LWRP-10</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-9</a>	Director General of Conservation Wairewa Runanga Inc	Amend introductory text as follows:  Other issues in the Lake Forsyth/Wairewa catchment ..... and providing for the migratory habits of <del>the</del> tuna so as to enhance mahinga kai <u>and to complete the life cycle of other diadromous fish.</u>	Support in Part	Accept	In response to this and other submissions we are recommending an amendment to the effect requested.

Point ID	Submitter	Decision Requested	Support/Oppose	Recommendation	Reason for Recommendation
<a href="#">PC6 LWRP-15</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-37</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-69</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-94</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-119</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-144</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-168</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-193</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-216</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-242</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-266</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-291</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-316</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-341</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-366</a>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand Stanbury M Orton Mr and Mrs G Herlihy M and M Roberts C Stanbury K Ussher M and L Logan G de Boer J and J Penfold A Tini K and R Bulman J Fitch J Kennedy D E Pedersen J and Vidette S	Insert new bullet after bullet point 5 as follows:  <u>Addressing the equitable balancing of cost for the key action projects, including additional fencing required by rules to achieve outcomes specific to this proposal.</u>	Support in Part	Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the section 42A Report.
<a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-11</a>	Wairewa Runanga Inc		Oppose		
<a href="#">PC6 LWRP-23</a>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Amend Figure 2 so the inset shows the Lake Forsyth/Wairewa catchment within Banks Peninsula Zone, not within the whole of Canterbury map.  OR  Insert a separate map showing the Lake Forsyth/Wairewa catchment within Banks Peninsula Zone.		Accept	In response to this submission we are recommending an amendment to the effect requested.
<a href="#">PC6 LWRP-65</a>	Fish and Game Council North Canterbury	Amend introductory text as follows:  ...and providing for the migratory habits of <del>the</del> tuna so as to enhance mahinga kai <u>and to complete or enhance the life cycle of other diadromous fish.</u>		Accept	In response to this and other submissions we are recommending an amendment to the effect requested.
<a href="#">PC6 LWRP-67</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-10</a>	Fish and Game Council North Canterbury Wairewa Runanga Inc	Insert the following text at the end of paragraph 3 on page 10-2:  <u>These tributaries and Lake Forsythe/Wairewa have salmonid fishery values at a local level. Kaituna River, Okana River, and Okuti River also have secondary spawning values. For game birds, the Lake is classed as a game bird habitat with national and regional levels of significance.</u>	Support in Part	Accept	In response to this submission we are recommending an amendment to the effect requested.

Point ID	Submitter	Decision Requested	Support/Oppose	Recommendation	Reason for Recommendation
<a href="#">PC6 LWRP-101</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-38</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-70</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-95</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-120</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-145</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-169</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-194</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-217</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-243</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-267</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-292</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-317</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-342</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-367</a>	Wairewa Rūnanga Inc and Te Rūnanga O Ngāi Tahu Stanbury M Orton Mr and Mrs G Herlihy M and M Roberts C Stanbury K Ussher M and L Logan G de Boer J and J Penfold A Tini K and R Bulman J Fitch J Kennedy D E Pedersen J and Vidette S	Ensure the naming of the catchment throughout the plan is consistent and reads:  <u>Te Roto o Wairewa / Lake Forsyth</u>		Accept	In response to this and other submissions we are recommending an amendment to the effect requested.
<a href="#">PC6 LWRP-103</a>	Wairewa Rūnanga Inc and Te Rūnanga O Ngāi Tahu	Amend the first line of paragraph 5 page 10-2 by citing the reference used to determine that Te Roto o Wairewa/Lake Forsyth is a nationally and regionally significant wetland.		Accept	In response to this submission we are recommending an amendment to the effect requested.
<a href="#">PC6 LWRP-106</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-26</a>	Wairewa Rūnanga Inc and Te Rūnanga O Ngāi Tahu Fish and Game Council North Canterbury	Insert a new sentence after paragraph 9 (p10-3) as follows:  <u>Nitrogen concentrations in freshwater and in Te Roto o Wairewa/Lake Forsyth are currently low and these low concentrations need to be maintained to avoid exacerbating algal blooms.</u>		Accept	In response to this submission we are recommending an amendment to the effect requested.

### Section 10.1 - Other Regional Plans that apply to the Banks Peninsula Sub-region

Point ID	Submitter	Decision Requested	Support/Oppose	Recommendation	Reason for Recommendation
<a href="#">PC6 LWRP-12</a>	Director General of Conservation	Insert:  <u>Regional Coastal Environment Plan.</u>	Support in Part	Accept	In response to this and other submissions we are recommending an amendment to the effect requested.
<a href="#">PC6 LWRP-68</a>	Fish and Game Council North Canterbury	Insert:  <u>Regional Coastal Environment Plan</u>		Accept	In response to this and other submissions we are recommending an amendment to the effect requested.
<a href="#">PC6 LWRP-107</a>	Wairewa Rūnanga Inc and Te Rūnanga O Ngāi Tahu	Delete Section 10.1  OR  Insert the other regional plans that apply to Banks Peninsula, including the Regional Coastal Environment Plan.		Accept in part	In response to this and other submissions we are recommending an amendment to the effect requested.

## Section 10.1A - Definitions

Point ID	Submitter	Decision Requested	Support/Oppose	Recommendation	Reason for Recommendation
<a href="#">PC6 LWRP-34</a>	Director General of Conservation	Retain all definitions.	Support	Accept	Except to the extent that we are recommending minor amendments pursuant to Clause 16 of Schedule 1 to the RMA, the provisions are retained as notified.
<a href="#">PC6 LWRP-69</a>	Fish and Game Council North Canterbury	Retain all definitions.	Support	Accept	Except to the extent that we are recommending minor amendments pursuant to Clause 16 of Schedule 1 to the RMA, the provisions are retained as notified.

## Section 10.4 - Policies

Point ID	Submitter	Decision Requested	Support/Oppose	Recommendation	Reason for Recommendation
<a href="#">PC6 LWRP-21</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-12</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-39</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-71</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-96</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-121</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-146</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-170</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-195</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-218</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-244</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-268</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-293</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-318</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-343</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-368</a>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand Wairewa Runanga Inc Stanbury M Orton Mr and Mrs G Herlihy M and M Roberts C Stanbury K Ussher M and L Logan G de Boer J and J Penfold A Tini K and R Bulman J Fitch J Kennedy D E Pedersen J and Vidette S	No specific decision requested, however, submitter seeks further information about the cost of implementation of the policies and rules [particularly the cost of fencing]		Reject	A general request which does not give precise details of amendments requested.
<a href="#">PC6 LWRP-108</a>	Wairewa Rūnanga Inc and Te Rūnanga O Ngāi Tahu	Delete the 'Note' under heading '10.4 Policies' and replace with the following:  <u>These policies apply in the Te Roto o Wairewa/Lake Forsyth catchment in addition to the region-wide policies in section 4 of this plan.</u>		Accept	In response to this submission we are recommending an amendment to the effect requested.
<a href="#">PC6 LWRP-109</a>	Wairewa Rūnanga Inc and Te Rūnanga O Ngāi Tahu	Insert a new policy that reads:  <u>Recognise the cultural significance of Te Roto o Wairewa/Lake Forsyth to Ngāi Tahu and its status as a customary lake and area of statutory acknowledgement under the Ngāi Tahu Claims Settlement Act 1998; and promote the restoration of the lake and its margins for customary uses.</u>		Accept	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the section 42A Report. Consequential changes are being recommended as appropriate.  See also the separate narrative on this issue in the main text of this Report.

## Policy 10.4.1

Point ID	Submitter	Decision Requested	Support/Oppose	Recommendation	Reason for Recommendation
<a href="#">PC6 LWRP-14</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-13</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-40</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-72</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-97</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-122</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-147</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-171</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-196</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-219</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-245</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-269</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-294</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-319</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-344</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-369</a>	Director General of Conservation Wairewa Runanga Inc Stanbury M Orton Mr and Mrs G Herlihy M and M Roberts C Stanbury K Ussher M and L Logan G de Boer J and J Penfold A Tini K and R Bulman J Fitch J Kennedy D E Pedersen J and Vidette S	Amend as follows:  10.4.1 Enhance the cultural values of Ngāi Tahu and the ecological health and water quality <u>for in-stream and recreational values</u> in the Lake Forsyth / Wairewa catchment by:  (a) ...  (b) ...  (c) encouraging the planting of <u>appropriate</u> native species in riparian margins where this is compatible with achieving bank stabilisation; and.....	Support in Part	Accept in part	In relation to the main body of Policy 10.4.4 we adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the section 42A Report.  In relation to Clause (c) of Policy 10.4.1, we adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the section 42A Report.  Consequential changes are being recommended as appropriate.
<a href="#">PC6 LWRP-17</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-27</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-41</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-73</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-98</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-123</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-148</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-172</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-197</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-220</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-246</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-270</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-295</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-320</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-345</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-370</a>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand Fish and Game Council North Canterbury Stanbury M Orton Mr and Mrs G Herlihy M and M Roberts C Stanbury K Ussher M and L Logan G de Boer J and J Penfold A Tini K and R Bulman J Fitch J Kennedy D E Pedersen J and Vidette S	Amend Policy 10.4.1 condition (a) as follows:  (a) excluding stock from the bed and riparian margins of the Okana, Okuti, and Takiratawai rivers, <del>their tributaries,</del> and the lake within the Valley Floor Area, in order to reduce the risk of bank erosion and collapse and avoid animal effluent entering water; and...  OR  (a) excluding stock from the bed and riparian margins of the Okana, Okuti, and Takiratawai rivers, <u>and where practical,</u> their tributaries, and the lake within the Valley Floor Area, in order to reduce the risk of bank erosion and collapse and avoid animal effluent entering water; and...		Reject	The amendments requested would unjustifiably weaken the provision and reduce its effectiveness in achieving the objectives of the Land and Water Regional Plan, and for giving effect to the superior instruments.
<a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-14</a>	Wairewa Runanga Inc		Oppose		
<a href="#">PC6 LWRP-18</a>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Clarify "who pays" and the timeline for minimising phosphorus in the discharge from community wastewater treatment systems in Policy 10.4.1 condition (e).	Support in Part	Reject	A general request which does not give precise details of amendments requested.



Point ID	Submitter	Decision Requested	Support/Oppose	Recommendation	Reason for Recommendation
<a href="#">PC6 LWRP-19</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-42</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-74</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-99</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-124</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-149</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-173</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-198</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-221</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-247</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-271</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-296</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-321</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-346</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-371</a>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand Stanbury M Orton Mr and Mrs G Herlihy M and M Roberts C Stanbury K Ussher M and L Logan G de Boer J and J Penfold A Tini K and R Bulman J Fitch J Kennedy D E Pedersen J and Vidette S	Clarify how preventing inundation of septic tanks with floodwaters will be achieved and paid for in Policy 10.4.1 condition (f).	Support in Part	Reject	A general request which does not give precise details of amendments requested.
<a href="#">PC6 LWRP-70</a>	Fish and Game Council North Canterbury	Amend first line of policy as follows:  10.4.1 Enhance the cultural values of Ngai Tahu and the ecological health and water quality for instream, recreational and amenity values in the Lake Forsyth / Wairewa catchment by:...	Support in Part	Accept in part	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the section 42A Report. Consequential changes are being recommended as appropriate.
<a href="#">PC6 LWRP-83</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-43</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-64</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-75</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-100</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-125</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-150</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-174</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-199</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-248</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-272</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-297</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-322</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-347</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-372</a>	Herlihy M and M Stanbury M de Boer J and J Orton Mr and Mrs G Herlihy M and M Roberts C Stanbury K Ussher M and L Logan G Penfold A Tini K and R Bulman J Fitch J Kennedy D E Pedersen J and Vidette S	Clarify the definition of 'stock' in 10.4.1(a).  AND  Amend 10.4.1(a) 'excluding' [stock from the bed and riparian margins or rivers in the Valley Floor Area] to [being] 'permitted for defined short period pursuant to an acceptable Farm Environment Plan (FEP)'.	Oppose	Accept in part	With respect to that part of the submission that seeks clarification regarding the definition of 'stock' we reject it on the basis that this is a general request which does not give precise details of amendments requested.  With respect to that part of the submission that seeks an amendment to Policy 10.4.1(a) to allow stock within the bed and riparian margins for short periods, we have given effect to that part of the relief sought through amendments to Rule 10.5.5B
<a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-15</a>	Wairewa Runanga Inc		Oppose		



Point ID	Submitter	Decision Requested	Support/Oppose	Recommendation	Reason for Recommendation
<a href="#">PC6 LWRP-100</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-44</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-76</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-101</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-126</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-151</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-175</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-200</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-222</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-249</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-273</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-298</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-323</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-348</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-373</a>	Stanbury K Stanbury M Orton Mr and Mrs G Herlihy M and M Roberts C Stanbury K Ussher M and L Logan G de Boer J and J Penfold A Tini K and R Bulman J Fitch J Kennedy D E Pedersen J and Vidette S	Clarify the definition of 'stock' in 10.4.1(a).  AND  Amend 10.4.1(a) 'excluding' [stock from the bed and riparian margins or rivers in the Valley Floor Area] to [being] 'permitted for defined short period pursuant to an acceptable Farm Environment Plan (FEP)'.  [ <i>note: submitter supports the submission of Marinka and Mike Herlihy (PC6-LWRP-83)</i> ]		Reject	This submission asks for inclusion of an additional definition and amendments to Policy 10.4.1(a). We have reviewed Policy 10.4.1 in the light of those requests, with a view to accepting all that would (having regard to the effectiveness and efficiency of the body of provisions), be most appropriate for achieving the objectives of the Land and Water Regional Plan (taking into account the benefits and costs of the environmental, economic, social and cultural effects anticipated from the implementation of the provisions and risks of acting or not acting), and contribute to a coherent body of provisions that would assist the CRC to carry out its functions in attaining the purpose of the RMA.  With reference to the definition of 'stock', this is a general request which does not give precise details of amendments requested.  In relation to permitting stock within the bed and riparian margins, we recommend amendments to Rule 10.5.5 which provides some relief to issue identified in the submission. The amendments we recommend are evident in the marked-up version of the Plan in Appendix B to this report and the reasons for this decision are set out in more detail in the body of the Recommendation Report.
<a href="#">PC6 LWRP-110</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-46</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-77</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-102</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-127</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-152</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-176</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-201</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-223</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-250</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-274</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-299</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-324</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-349</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-374</a>	Wairewa Rūnanga Inc and Te Rūnanga O Ngāi Tahu Stanbury M Orton Mr and Mrs G Herlihy M and M Roberts C Stanbury K Ussher M and L Logan G de Boer J and J Penfold A Tini K and R Bulman J Fitch J Kennedy D E Pedersen J and Vidette S	Delete policies 10.4.1 and 10.4.2 and replace with a policy that reads:  <u>Improve water quality in Te Roto o Wairewa/Lake Forsyth to achieve the targets set out in Table 10(e) and 20(f) by 2030 by:</u>  <u>(a) Reducing the risk of bank erosion or collapse and associated loss or discharge of sediment into water;</u>  <u>(b) Avoiding the direct discharge of animal or human effluent into water;</u>  <u>(c) Encouraging the planting of indigenous vegetation species in riparian margins where this activity does not adversely affect bank stabilization works;</u>  <u>(d) Encouraging people to minimize their wastewater discharges and the phosphorous content in their wastewater;</u>  <u>(e) Managing on-site effluent treatment and disposal systems in areas prone to inundation; and</u>  <u>(f) Maintaining current low levels of nitrogen concentrations in freshwater.</u>		Accept in part	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the section 42A Report for rejecting the amendments sought to clauses (a) to (d) of this Policy.  With respect to the submitters requested amendment to clause (e) we have made an amendment to the effect requested, and adopt those reasons and recommendations set out in the section 42A Report.  With respect to the inclusion of a new clause (g), we have accept that part of the submission for the reasons set out in the s42A report.

## Policy 10.4.2

Point ID	Submitter	Decision Requested	Support/Oppose	Recommendation	Reason for Recommendation
<a href="#">PC6 LWRP-71</a>	Fish and Game Council North Canterbury	Retain.	Support	Accept	Except to the extent that, in response to other submissions, we are recommending omissions or amendments to the notified provisions, they are retained as notified.

Point ID	Submitter	Decision Requested	Support/Oppose	Recommendation	Reason for Recommendation
<a href="#">PC6 LWRP-111</a>	Wairewa Rūnanga Inc and Te Rūnanga O Ngāi Tahu	Delete Policy 10.4.2  [See submission point PC6 LWRP-111 which requests policies 10.4.1 and 10.4.2 are deleted and replaced with a single policy]		Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the section 42A Report. See also the separate narrative on this issue in the main text of this Report.
<a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-28</a>	Fish and Game Council North Canterbury		Oppose		

### Policy 10.4.3

Point ID	Submitter	Decision Requested	Support/Oppose	Recommendation	Reason for Recommendation
<a href="#">PC6 LWRP-20</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-47</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-78</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-103</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-128</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-153</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-177</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-202</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-224</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-251</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-275</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-300</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-325</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-350</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-375</a>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand Stanbury M Orton Mr and Mrs G Herlihy M and M Roberts C Stanbury K Ussher M and L Logan G de Boer J and J Penfold A Tini K and R Bulman J Fitch J Kennedy D E Pedersen J and Vidette S	Amend as follows:  Improve the flood-carrying capacity of the Okana, Okuti, and Takiratawai rivers and their tributaries by excluding stock from the beds and riparian margins of those rivers, ...		Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the section 42A Report.
<a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-17</a>	Wairewa Runanga Inc		Oppose		
<a href="#">PC6 LWRP-72</a>	Fish and Game Council North Canterbury	Retain.	Support	Accept in part	Except to the extent that, in response to other submissions, we are recommending omissions or amendments to the notified provisions, they are retained as notified.
<a href="#">PC6 LWRP-84</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-50</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-65</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-79</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-104</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-129</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-154</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-178</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-203</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-252</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-276</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-301</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-326</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-351</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-376</a>	Herlihy M and M Stanbury M de Boer J and J Orton Mr and Mrs G Herlihy M and M Roberts C Stanbury K Ussher M and L Logan G Penfold A Tini K and R Bulman J Fitch J Kennedy D E Pedersen J and Vidette S	No specific decision requested - submitter states that the policy is technically flawed and animals are not the critical factor in [reducing] flood carrying capacities of rivers - see original submission for detail.	Oppose	Accept in part	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the section 42A Report. See also the separate narrative on this issue in the main text of this Report.

Point ID	Submitter	Decision Requested	Support/Oppose	Recommendation	Reason for Recommendation
<a href="#">PC6 LWRP-102</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-51</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-80</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-105</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-130</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-155</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-179</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-204</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-225</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-277</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-302</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-327</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-352</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-377</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-392</a>	Stanbury K Stanbury M Orton Mr and Mrs G Herlihy M and M Roberts C Stanbury K Ussher M and L Logan G de Boer J and J Tini K and R Bulman J Fitch J Kennedy D E Pedersen J and Vidette S Penfold A	No specific decision requested - submitter states that the policy is technically flawed and animals are not the critical factor in [reducing] flood carrying capacities of rivers - see original submission for detail.  [ <i>note: submitter supports the submission of Marinka and Mike Herlihy (PC6-LWRP-84)</i> ]	Oppose	Accept in part	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the section 42A Report. See also the separate narrative on this issue in the main text of this Report.
<a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-16</a>	Wairewa Runanga Inc		Oppose		
<a href="#">PC6 LWRP-112</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-52</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-81</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-106</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-131</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-156</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-180</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-205</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-226</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-253</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-278</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-303</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-328</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-353</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-378</a>	Wairewa Rūnanga Inc and Te Rūnanga O Ngāi Tahu Stanbury M Orton Mr and Mrs G Herlihy M and M Roberts C Stanbury K Ussher M and L Logan G de Boer J and J Penfold A Tini K and R Bulman J Fitch J Kennedy D E Pedersen J and Vidette S	Amend Policy 10.4.3 to read:  Improve the flood-carrying capacity of the <u>Θ̄ kana, Θ̄ kuti and Takiritawai rivers and their tributaries and avoid activities in the beds or margins of these rivers or their tributaries which may significantly reduce their flood carrying capacity, by excluding stock from the beds and riparian margins of those rivers, and by enabling bank stabilisation works, so that induced bank erosion and collapse is avoided.</u>		Accept in part	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the section 42A Report. See also the separate narrative on this issue in the main text of this Report.
<a href="#">PC6 LWRP-128</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-53</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-82</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-107</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-132</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-157</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-181</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-206</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-227</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-254</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-279</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-304</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-329</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-354</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-379</a>	Little River Wairewa Flooding Committee Stanbury M Orton Mr and Mrs G Herlihy M and M Roberts C Stanbury K Ussher M and L Logan G de Boer J and J Penfold A Tini K and R Bulman J Fitch J Kennedy D E Pedersen J and Vidette S	Amend as follows:  Improve the flood-carrying capacity of the Okana, Okuti and Takiritawai rivers and their tributaries <u>managing tree growth and removing tree and debris channel obstructions, by excluding stock from the beds and riparian margins of those rivers, and by enabling bank stabilisation works, so that induced bank erosion and collapse is avoided.</u>	Support	Reject	We are not persuaded that the amendment requested would be a more effective and reasonably practicable option for achieving the objectives of the Land and Water Regional Plan, and for giving effect to the relevant superior instruments.

## Policy 10.4.4

Point ID	Submitter	Decision Requested	Support/Oppose	Recommendation	Reason for Recommendation
<a href="#">PC6 LWRP-16</a>	Director General of Conservation	Insert a note to Policy 10.4.4 as follows:  <u>Note: DOC manages the bed of Lake Forsyth/Te Roto o Wairewa under the Conservation Act (1987) and activities in the bed will require authorisation under that Act.</u>	Support in Part	Accept in part	In response to this submission, we are recommending some amendments to the PC6 provisions to give effect to the relief requested. To ensure consistency with the architecture of the region-wide provisions of the LWRP, we recommend this note is placed immediately prior to Rule 10.5.6 rather than prior to Policy 10.4.4.
<a href="#">PC6 LWRP-73</a>	Fish and Game Council North Canterbury	Retain.	Support	Accept	Except to the extent that, in response to other submissions, we are recommending omissions or amendments to the notified provisions, they are retained as notified.
<a href="#">PC6 LWRP-113</a>	Wairewa Rūnanga Inc and Te Rūnanga O Ngāi Tahu	Amend Policy 10.4.4 to read:  <del>Recognise the cultural values of Ngāi Tahu for Lake Forsyth / Te Roto o Wairewa, and enhance the ecological health of the lake, while maintaining flood control and land drainage functions by:</del>  <u>Provide for Ngāi Tahu cultural values and customary uses of Te Roto o Wairewa / Lake Forsyth, enhance the ecological health of the lake and maintain its flood management and drainage functions by:</u>  (a) reducing the sediment and phosphorus load entering the lake <u>in ways that do not adversely affect cultural values and customary uses</u> ; and  (b) allowing activities that are for the purpose of investigating legacy phosphorus issues in the lake and that facilitate restoration of the lake; and  (c) providing for the artificial opening and closing of the lake <u>in ways that do not adversely affect cultural values and customary uses.</u>		Accept in part	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the section 42A Report. See also the separate narrative on this issue in the main text of this Report.
<a href="#">PC6 LWRP-132</a>	Little River Wairewa Flooding Committee	No specific decision requested - submitter supports policies and rules related to flood management - see original submission for detail.	Support	Accept in part	A general request which does not give precise details of amendments requested.

## Section 10.5 – Rules

Point ID	Submitter	Decision Requested	Support/Oppose	Recommendation	Reason for Recommendation
<a href="#">PC6 LWRP-24</a>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Reinstate the note (above the note about the Bylaw) to clarify where the proposed rules will apply in plain language (this need not detract from Rule 10.5.1)  OR  Insert the note under the heading "Bank Erosion and Flood Management", if the Section 5 dispensation only applies to Rules 10.5.1 to 10.5.3.		Reject	We are not persuaded that the amendment requested would be a more effective and reasonably practicable option for achieving the objectives of the Land and Water Regional Plan.

<a href="#">PC6 LWRP-90</a>	Fish and Game Council North Canterbury	<p>Insert new rule for Table 10(c):</p> <p><u>By section 68(7) of the RMA, table 10(c) shall affect the exercise of any existing resource consent to which this rule applies from the expiry of 7 years after the day on which this plan change becomes operative.</u></p> <p>[Also see related submission point PC6 LWRP-89]</p>		Reject	See the separate narrative on this issue in the main text of this Report.
<a href="#">PC6 LWRP-126</a>	Wairewa Rūnanga Inc and Te Rūnanga O Ngāi Tahu	<p>Insert a map or identify the flood-prone areas to which Policy 10.4.1(f) applies and introduce a rule to implement the policy. Insert a new rule that:</p> <p>EITHER: within those mapped flood-prone areas on-site wastewater systems require a resource consent for a restricted discretionary activity</p> <p>OR: require any on-site waste treatment and disposal system within the catchment to be a restricted discretionary activity.</p> <p>Submitter states that the consent application could be non-notified and not served on any affected party, and that council's discretion be limited to assessing the likely risk of the waste-water system being rendered ineffective from inundation and the effectiveness of any mitigation measures - see original submission for detail.</p>		Reject	We are not persuaded that the amendment requested would be a more effective and reasonably practicable option for achieving the objectives of the Land and Water Regional Plan, and for giving effect to the relevant superior instruments.
<a href="#">PC6 LWRP-136</a>	Director General of Conservation	<p>Insert new rule for Table 10(c):</p> <p><u>By section 68(7) of the RMA, table 10(c) shall affect the exercise of any existing resource consent to which this rule applies from the expiry of 7 years after the day on which this plan change becomes operative.</u></p> <p>[Also see related submission point PC6 LWRP-54]</p>		Reject	See the separate narrative on this issue in the main text of this Report.
<a href="#">PC6 LWRP-137</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-54</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-83</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-108</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-133</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-182</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-207</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-228</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-255</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-280</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-305</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-330</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-355</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-380</a>	Wairewa Rūnanga Inc and Te Rūnanga O Ngāi Tahu <i>Stanbury M</i> <i>Orton Mr and Mrs G</i> <i>Herlihy M and M</i> <i>Roberts C</i> <i>Ussher M and L</i> <i>Logan G</i> <i>de Boer J and J</i> <i>Penfold A</i> <i>Tini K and R</i> <i>Bulman J</i> <i>Fitch J</i> <i>Kennedy D E</i> <i>Pedersen J and Vidette S</i>	<p>Insert a new rule that farming is a permitted activity in the Valley Floor Area provided that a farm environment plan is prepared and implemented by 01 January 2020.</p> <p>The farm environment plan shall:</p> <p>(i) Identify any potential critical sources of sediment and P loss or erosion on the farm and actions to minimise sediment and P losses; and</p> <p>(ii) Address the management of livestock grazing in proximity to waterways and when crossing waterways to avoid causing or exacerbating bank erosion or the direct discharge of animal effluent into water.</p>		Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the section 42A Report.

### Rule 10.5.1

Point ID	Submitter	Decision Requested	Support/Oppose	Recommendation	Reason for Recommendation
<a href="#">PC6 LWRP-25</a>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Clarify explicitly whether farming and fencing are permitted activities, without requiring plan users to go outside of these rules to other sections of the LWRP to find this out.		Reject	A general request which does not give precise details of amendments requested.
<a href="#">PC6 LWRP-26</a>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Clarify what rules apply to the Valley Floor Area, and what rules apply to the wider Banks Peninsula zone (this could be done by reference to a map).		Reject	A general request which does not give precise details of amendments requested.
<a href="#">PC6 LWRP-27</a>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Insert a note under the heading "Bank Erosion and Flood Management", if the Section 5 dispensation only applies to Rules 10.5.1 to 10.5.3.		Accept	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the section 42A Report. Consequential changes are being recommended as appropriate.
<a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-18</a>	Wairewa Runanga Inc		Oppose		See also the separate narrative on this issue in the main text of this Report.
<a href="#">PC6 LWRP-36</a>	Director General of Conservation	Retain.	Support	Reject	We are not persuaded that the proposed provisions should be retained for the reasons given in our recommendation and the s42A report
<a href="#">PC6 LWRP-74</a>	Fish and Game Council North Canterbury	Retain.	Support	Reject	We are not persuaded that the proposed provisions should be retained for the reasons given in our recommendation and the s42A report
<a href="#">PC6 LWRP-114</a>	Wairewa Rūnanga Inc and Te Rūnanga O Ngāi Tahu	Delete Rule 10.5.1.		Accept	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the section 42A Report. Consequential changes are being recommended as appropriate. See also the separate narrative on this issue in the main text of this Report.
<a href="#">PC6 LWRP-129</a>	Little River Wairewa Flooding Committee	No specific decision requested - submitter supports policies and rules related to flood management - see original submission for detail.	Support	Reject	A general request which does not give precise details of amendments requested.

### Rule 10.5.2

Point ID	Submitter	Decision Requested	Support/Oppose	Recommendation	Reason for Recommendation
<a href="#">PC6 LWRP-4</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-19</a>	Director General of Conservation Wairewa Runanga Inc	Amend matters of discretion (3) and (4) as follows:  3. The species of any plant to be <del>introduced</del> <u>planted or removed</u> ; and  4. Effects on water quality, sources of human or animal drinking-water, aquatic ecosystems, and inanga spawning habitat; <u>and fish spawning habitat generally, including timing of the activity</u> ; and	Support in Part	Accept in part	In response to this and other submissions we are recommending an amendment to the effect requested. See also the separate narrative on this issue in the main text of this Report.



Point ID	Submitter	Decision Requested	Support/Oppose	Recommendation	Reason for Recommendation
<a href="#">PC6 LWRP-28</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-55</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-84</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-109</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-134</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-158</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-183</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-229</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-256</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-281</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-306</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-331</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-356</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-381</a>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand Stanbury M Orton Mr and Mrs G Herlihy M and M Roberts C Stanbury K Ussher M and L de Boer J and J Penfold A Tini K and R Bulman J Fitch J Kennedy D E Pedersen J and Vidette S	Amend Rule 10.5.2 as follows:  Within the Valley Floor Area, the use of land in the riparian margin or the disturbance of the the bed and banks of the Okana, Okuti and Takaritawai rivers and their tributaries, or Lake Forsyth / Te Roto o Wairewa, that includes:...  OR  Within the Valley Floor Area, the use of land in the riparian margin or the disturbance of the bed and banks of the Okana, Okuti and Takaritawai rivers and <u>where practical</u> , their tributaries, or Lake Forsyth / Te Roto o Wairewa, that includes:...		Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the section 42A Report.
<a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-20</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-29</a>	Wairewa Runanga Inc Fish and Game Council North Canterbury		Oppose		
<a href="#">PC6 LWRP-30</a>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Clarify, for ease of use, whether fencing is caught by Rule 10.5.2 [conditions (b) (c) and (d)] or not. Users should not have to go to Section 5 of the LWRP to find out.		Reject	Provisions in Plan Change 6 already address these matters.  See also the separate narrative on this issue in the main text of this Report.
<a href="#">PC6 LWRP-31</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-56</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-85</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-110</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-135</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-159</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-184</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-230</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-257</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-282</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-307</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-332</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-357</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-382</a>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand Stanbury M Orton Mr and Mrs G Herlihy M and M Roberts C Stanbury K Ussher M and L de Boer J and J Penfold A Tini K and R Bulman J Fitch J Kennedy D E Pedersen J and Vidette S	Clarify that Environment Canterbury will assess an application using its own in-house experts and consulting with the land owner. If not, the submitter opposes the rule in its entirety as they consider it will place an intolerable burden on landowners and will defeat the aim of the plan change.  The submitter seeks that all Environment Canterbury should require from the applicant is the Schedule 24c Erosion Plan (which the submitter also opposes), and that Environment Canterbury assess the proposal based on the information it already holds about matters for discretion 1 to 11. This should be a two way process with the applicant who also may have useful information that Environment Canterbury is not aware of.	Oppose	Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the section 42A Report.
<a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-21</a>	Wairewa Runanga Inc		Oppose in Part		
<a href="#">PC6 LWRP-82</a>	Fish and Game Council North Canterbury	Amend condition 4 [assume submitter means discretion matter 4] as follows:  4. ... <u>fish spawning habitat in general, including the spawning habitat of brown trout</u> ; and	Support in Part	Accept in part	In response to this and other submissions we are recommending some amendments to the effect requested. See also the separate narrative on this issue in the main text of this Report.
<a href="#">PC6 LWRP-115</a>	Wairewa Rūnanga Inc and Te Rūnanga O Ngāi Tahu	Delete rules 10.5.2 & 10.5.3 and replace with a rule that reads:  <u>Within the area shown on the planning maps as the Valley Floor Area, any use of land and any ancillary diversion of water or discharge of water or sediment into water or onto land where it may enter water shall be a</u>		Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the section 42A Report.  See also the separate narrative on this issue in the main text of this Report.



Point ID	Submitter	Decision Requested	Support/Oppose	Recommendation	Reason for Recommendation
		<p><u>restricted discretionary activity where it complies with the following two conditions:</u></p> <p><u>(i) The activity is for the purpose of undertaking works to reduce riverbank erosion or collapse; and</u></p> <p><u>(ii) The activity is being undertaken in accordance with a Valley Floor Area River Bank Erosion Plan that has been prepared in accordance with Schedule 24.</u></p> <p><u>The exercise of discretion is restricted to the following matters:</u></p> <p>[Retain matters (1) to (11) as listed in Rule 10.5.2 as notified]</p> <p>AND</p> <p>Amend to include:</p> <p><u>Any activity which does not comply with condition 2 shall be a non-complying activity.</u></p> <p><u>For the avoidance of doubt, any activity which does not comply with condition 1 must comply with all relevant the region-wide rules.</u></p>			
<a href="#">PC6 LWRP-130</a>	Little River Wairewa Flooding Committee	No specific decision requested - submitter supports policies and rules related to flood management - see original submission for detail.	Support	Reject	A general request which does not give precise details of amendments requested.

### Rule 10.5.3

Point ID	Submitter	Decision Requested	Support/Oppose	Recommendation	Reason for Recommendation
<a href="#">PC6 LWRP-32</a>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Clarify whether resource consent is needed for fencing without requiring plan users to go to another part of the plan.	Oppose	Reject	We do not consider that the amendment sought are consistent with the general architecture of the Land and Water Regional Plan.
<a href="#">PC6 LWRP-37</a>	Director General of Conservation	Retain.	Support	Accept	Except to the extent that, in response to other submissions, we are recommending omissions or amendments to the notified provisions, they are retained as notified.
<a href="#">PC6 LWRP-75</a>	Fish and Game Council North Canterbury	Retain.	Support	Accept	Except to the extent that, in response to other submissions, we are recommending omissions or amendments to the notified provisions, they are retained as notified.
<a href="#">PC6 LWRP-116</a>	Wairewa Rūnanga Inc and Te Rūnanga O Ngāi Tahu	<p>Delete Rule 10.5.3</p> <p>[Consequence of submission point PC6 LWRP-115 which seeks to replace Rules 10.5.2 and 10.5.3 with a single rule]</p>		Reject	<p>We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the section 42A Report.</p> <p>See also the separate narrative on this issue in the main text of this Report.</p>
<a href="#">PC6 LWRP-131</a>	Little River Wairewa Flooding Committee	No specific decision requested - submitter supports policies and rules related to flood management - see original submission for detail.	Support	Reject	A general request which does not give precise details of amendments requested.

### Rule 10.5.4

Point ID	Submitter	Decision Requested	Support/Oppose	Recommendation	Reason for Recommendation
<a href="#">PC6 LWRP-38</a>	Director General of Conservation	Retain.	Support	Accept	Except to the extent that, in response to other submissions, we are recommending omissions or amendments to the notified provisions, they are retained as notified.
<a href="#">PC6 LWRP-76</a>	Fish and Game Council North Canterbury	Retain.	Support	Accept	Except to the extent that, in response to other submissions, we are recommending omissions or amendments to the notified provisions, they are retained as notified.
<a href="#">PC6 LWRP-117</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-57</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-86</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-111</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-136</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-160</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-185</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-208</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-231</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-258</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-283</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-308</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-333</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-358</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-383</a>	Wairewa Rūnanga Inc and Te Rūnanga O Ngāi Tahu Stanbury M Orton Mr and Mrs G Herlihy M and M Roberts C Stanbury K Ussher M and L Logan G de Boer J and J Penfold A Tini K and R Bulman J Fitch J Kennedy D E Pedersen J and Vidette S	Delete rules 10.5.4 and 10.5.5 and replace with a rule that reads:  <u>From 01 January 2020 within the Valley Floor Area, the following stock exclusion rules will apply:</u>  <u>(i) All livestock shall be excluded from Te Roto o Wairewa/Lake Forsyth and from the Ōkana River and tributaries from Church Rd Bridge to the lake and the Ōkuti river and tributaries from Usshers Rd bridge to the lake.</u>		Accept in part	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the section 42A Report.  See also the separate narrative on this issue in the main text of this Report.
<a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-30</a>	Fish and Game Council North Canterbury		Oppose		

### Rule 10.5.5

Point ID	Submitter	Decision Requested	Support/Oppose	Recommendation	Reason for Recommendation
<a href="#">PC6 LWRP-33</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-58</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-87</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-112</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-137</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-161</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-186</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-209</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-232</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-259</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-284</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-309</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-334</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-359</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-384</a>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand Stanbury M Orton Mr and Mrs G Herlihy M and M Roberts C Stanbury K Ussher M and L Logan G de Boer J and J Penfold A Tini K and R Bulman J Fitch J Kennedy D E Pedersen J and Vidette S	No specific decision requested. Submitter seeks further discussion on feasibility of 2020 deadline for completing fencing [of Okana, Okuti, and Takaritawai rivers and their riparian margins in the Valley Floor Area].  [Note: submitter also referenced Rule 10.5.4]	Support in Part	Reject	A general request which does not give precise details of amendments requested.
<a href="#">PC6 LWRP-39</a>	Director General of Conservation	Retain.	Support	Reject	We are not persuaded that the notified provisions should be retained for the reasons given in our decision and the s42A report
<a href="#">PC6 LWRP-77</a>	Fish and Game Council North Canterbury	Retain.	Support	Reject	We are not persuaded that the notified provisions should be retained for the reasons given in our decision and the s42A report
<a href="#">PC6 LWRP-85</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-59</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-66</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-88</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-113</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-138</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-162</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-187</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-210</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-260</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-285</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-310</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-335</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-360</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-385</a>	Herlihy M and M Stanbury M de Boer J and J Orton Mr and Mrs G Herlihy M and M Roberts C Stanbury K Ussher M and L Logan G Penfold A Tini K and R Bulman J Fitch J Kennedy D E Pedersen J and Vidette S	Define what is meant by 'all stock' and 'surface water' - see original submission for detail.	Oppose	Reject	We are not persuaded that inclusion of the requested definitions would be a more effective a more effective and reasonably practicable option for achieving the objectives of the Land and Water Regional Plan.
<a href="#">PC6 LWRP-104</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-60</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-89</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-114</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-139</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-163</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-188</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-211</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-261</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-286</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-311</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-336</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-361</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-386</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-391</a>	Stanbury K Stanbury M Orton Mr and Mrs G Herlihy M and M Roberts C Stanbury K Ussher M and L Logan G Penfold A Tini K and R Bulman J Fitch J Kennedy D E Pedersen J and Vidette S de Boer J and J	Define what is meant by 'all stock' and 'surface water' - see original submission for detail.  [note: submitter supports the submission of Marinka and Mike Herlihy (PC6-LWRP-85)]	Oppose	Reject	A general request which does not give precise details of amendments requested.

Point ID	Submitter	Decision Requested	Support/Oppose	Recommendation	Reason for Recommendation
<a href="#">PC6 LWRP-118</a>	Wairewa Rūnanga Inc and Te Rūnanga O Ngāi Tahu	Delete Rule 10.5.5 [Consequence of submission point PC6 LWRP-117 which seeks to replace Rules 10.5.4 and 10.5.5 with a single rule]		Accept	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the section 42A Report.  See also the separate narrative on this issue in the main text of this Report.
<a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-390</a>	<i>Fish and Game Council North Canterbury</i>		<i>Oppose</i>		
<a href="#">PC6 LWRP-134</a>	Little River Wairewa Flooding Committee	No specific decision requested - submitter supports policies and rules related to flood management - see original submission for detail.	Support	Reject	A general request which does not give precise details of amendments requested.

### Rule 10.5.6

Point ID	Submitter	Decision Requested	Support/Oppose	Recommendation	Reason for Recommendation
<a href="#">PC6 LWRP-40</a>	Director General of Conservation	Retain.	Support	Accept	Except to the extent that we are recommending minor amendments pursuant to Clause 16 of Schedule 1 to the RMA, the provisions are retained as notified.
<a href="#">PC6 LWRP-78</a>	Fish and Game Council North Canterbury	Retain.	Support	Accept	Except to the extent that we are recommending minor amendments pursuant to Clause 16 of Schedule 1 to the RMA, the provisions are retained as notified.
<a href="#">PC6 LWRP-87</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-61</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-67</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-90</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-115</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-140</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-164</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-189</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-212</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-262</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-287</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-312</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-337</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-362</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-387</a>	Herlihy M and M Stanbury M de Boer J and J Orton Mr and Mrs G Herlihy M and M Roberts C Stanbury K Ussher M and L Logan G Penfold A Tini K and R Bulman J Fitch J Kennedy D E Pedersen J and Vidette S	Clarify if obtaining small quantities of stock water from the river will remain a permitted activity.	Oppose	Reject	We reject this submission on the basis that s14(3)(b) of the RMA already makes provision for the taking of water for the reasonable needs of an individual's animals for drinking water.

<a href="#">PC6 LWRP-105</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-62</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-91</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-116</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-141</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-165</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-190</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-213</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-233</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-263</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-288</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-313</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-338</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-363</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-388</a>	Stanbury K Stanbury M Orton Mr and Mrs G Herlihy M and M Roberts C Stanbury K Ussher M and L Logan G de Boer J and J Penfold A Tini K and R Bulman J Fitch J Kennedy D E Pedersen J and Vidette S	Clarify if obtaining small quantities of stock water from the river will remain a permitted activity.  [ <i>note: submitter supports the submission of Marinka and Mike Herlihy (PC6-LWRP-87)</i> ]	Oppose	Reject	We reject this submission on the basis that s14(3)(b) of the RMA already makes provision for the taking of water for the reasonable needs of an individual's animals for drinking water.
--	---	---	--------	--------	--

### Rule 10.5.7

Point ID	Submitter	Decision Requested	Support/Oppose	Recommendation	Reason for Recommendation
<a href="#">PC6 LWRP-41</a>	Director General of Conservation	Retain.	Support	Accept	Except to the extent that, in response to other submissions, we are recommending omissions or amendments to the notified provisions, they are retained as notified.
<a href="#">PC6 LWRP-79</a>	Fish and Game Council North Canterbury	Retain.	Support	Accept	Except to the extent that, in response to other submissions, we are recommending omissions or amendments to the notified provisions, they are retained as notified.
<a href="#">PC6 LWRP-119</a>	Wairewa Rūnanga Inc and Te Rūnanga O Ngāi Tahu	Amend Rule 10.5.7 by adding a new condition 8 which reads:  <u>8. Wairewa Rūnanga Inc. have granted permission for the activity</u>  OR  Rewrite Rule 10.5.7 to make the activity a restricted discretionary activity provided conditions 1 to 7 from Rule 10.5.7 are met.  Discretion shall be restricted to any adverse effects of the proposed activity on the cultural values and customary uses of Te Roto o Wairewa/Lake Forsyth and the appropriateness of any mitigation measures proposed.		Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the section 42A Report.  See also the separate narrative on this issue in the main text of this Report.

### Rule 10.5.8

Point ID	Submitter	Decision Requested	Support/Oppose	Recommendation	Reason for Recommendation
<a href="#">PC6 LWRP-42</a>	Director General of Conservation	Retain.	Support	Accept	Except to the extent that, in response to other submissions, we are recommending omissions or amendments to the notified provisions, they are retained as notified.
<a href="#">PC6 LWRP-80</a>	Fish and Game Council North Canterbury	Retain.	Support	Accept	Except to the extent that, in response to other submissions, we are recommending omissions or amendments to the notified provisions, they are retained as notified.

### Rule 10.5.9

Point ID	Submitter	Decision Requested	Support/Oppose	Recommendation	Reason for Recommendation
<a href="#">PC6 LWRP-43</a>	Director General of Conservation	Retain. [Note: submitter sought relief against Rule 10.59, which is assumed to be a typographical error]	Support	Accept	Except to the extent that, in response to other submissions, we are recommending omissions or amendments to the notified provisions, they are retained as notified.
<a href="#">PC6 LWRP-81</a>	Fish and Game Council North Canterbury	Retain. [Note: submitter referred to Rule 10.59, which is assumed to be a typographical error]	Support	Accept	Except to the extent that, in response to other submissions, we are recommending omissions or amendments to the notified provisions, they are retained as notified.
<a href="#">PC6 LWRP-133</a>	Little River Wairewa Flooding Committee	No specific decision requested - submitter supports policies and rules related to flood management - see original submission for detail.	Support	Reject	A general request which does not give precise details of amendments requested.

### Section 10.6 - Fresh Water Outcomes

Point ID	Submitter	Decision Requested	Support/Oppose	Recommendation	Reason for Recommendation
<a href="#">PC6 LWRP-35</a>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Amend note under 10.6 Freshwater Outcomes as follows:  ...The freshwater outcomes for other areas in the Banks Peninsula Sub-region are set out in the Objectives in Section 3, and in Policies 4.1, 4.2, 4.3 and 4.4 of the Canterbury Land and Water Regional Plan.		Accept	In response to this submission we are recommending an amendment to the effect requested.
<a href="#">PC6 LWRP-44</a>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	No specific decision requested. Submitter states their interest in Sections 10.6 to 10.9 and requests to take part in any discussions concerning refinement of these section.		Reject	A general request which does not give precise details of amendments requested.

**Table 10(a) - Freshwater Outcomes Wairewa Catchment Rivers**

Point ID	Submitter	Decision Requested	Support/Oppose	Recommendation	Reason for Recommendation
<a href="#">PC6 LWRP-45</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-23</a>	Director General of Conservation <i>Wairewa Runanga Inc</i>	Insert a new Ecological Health Indicator as follows:  <u>Threatened and at risk species and their breeding sites are protected from activities adverse to them.</u>	Support in Part	Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the section 42A Report.  See also the separate narrative on this issue in the main text of this Report.
<a href="#">PC6 LWRP-86</a>	Fish and Game Council North Canterbury	Retain Table 10(a).	Support	Accept	Except to the extent that, in response to other submissions, we are recommending omissions or amendments to the notified provisions, they are retained as notified.
<a href="#">PC6 LWRP-120</a>	Wairewa Rūnanga Inc and Te Rūnanga O Ngāi Tahu	Retain as notified.	Support	Accept	Except to the extent that, in response to other submissions, we are recommending omissions or amendments to the notified provisions, they are retained as notified.
<a href="#">PC6 LWRP-49</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-24</a>	Director General of Conservation <i>Wairewa Runanga Inc</i>	Insert a new Ecological Health Indicator as follows:  <u>Threatened and at risk species and their breeding sites are protected from activities adverse to them.</u>  AND  Insert a new visual water quality indicator as follows:  <u>Increase in native macrophyte beds to 20% cover and associated clearer water in the lake margins than mid-lake.</u>	Support in Part	Accept in part	We reject the decision sought in relation to the new ecological health indicator for reasons set out in the narrative on this issue in the main text of this Report.  In relation to a new visual water quality indicator, we are recommending an amendment to the effect requested. See also the separate narrative on this issue in the main text of this Report.

**Table 10(b) - Freshwater Outcomes to be achieved for Te Roto o Wairewa/ Lake Forsyth**

Point ID	Submitter	Decision Requested	Support/Oppose	Recommendation	Reason for Recommendation
<a href="#">PC6 LWRP-88</a>	Fish and Game Council North Canterbury	Retain Table 10(b).	Support	Accept	Except to the extent that, in response to other submissions, we are recommending omissions or amendments to the notified provisions, they are retained as notified.
<a href="#">PC6 LWRP-121</a>	Wairewa Rūnanga Inc and Te Rūnanga O Ngāi Tahu	Retain.	Support	Accept	Except to the extent that, in response to other submissions, we are recommending omissions or amendments to the notified provisions, they are retained as notified.

**Section 10.7 - Environmental Flow and Allocation Regime and Water Quality Targets/Limits**



Point ID	Submitter	Decision Requested	Support/Oppose	Recommendation	Reason for Recommendation
<a href="#">PC6 LWRP-46</a>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	No specific decision requested. Submitter states their interest in Sections 10.6 to 10.9 and requests to take part in any discussions concerning refinement of these section.		Reject	A general request which does not give precise details of amendments requested.
<a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-25</a>	Wairewa Runanga Inc		Oppose		

**Table 10(c) - Wairewa Catchment Environmental Flow and Allocation Limits**

Point ID	Submitter	Decision Requested	Support/Oppose	Recommendation	Reason for Recommendation
<a href="#">PC6 LWRP-54</a>	Director General of Conservation	<p>Amend as follows:</p> <p>Minimum Flows:</p> <p>Okuiti River and Tributaries - <del>59</del><u>60</u> L/s -1</p> <p>Okana River, Takiritawai River and their Tributaries - <del>97</del><u>100</u> L/s-1</p> <p>Restrictions:</p> <p>Okuiti River and Tributaries</p> <p>25% <del>79</del> <u>80</u></p> <p>50% <del>69</del> <u>70</u></p> <p>100% <del>59</del> <u>60</u></p> <p>Okana River, Takiritawai River and their Tributaries</p> <p>25% <del>129</del> <u>130</u></p> <p>50% <del>113</del> <u>115</u></p> <p>100% <del>97</del> <u>100</u></p> <p>[Also see related submission point PC6 LWRP-136]</p>	Support in Part	Reject	<p>We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the section 42A Report.</p> <p>See also the separate narrative on this issue in the main text of this Report.</p>
<a href="#">PC6 LWRP-89</a>	Fish and Game Council North Canterbury	<p>Amend minimum flows and restrictions in Table 10(c) as follows:</p> <p>Minimum Flows:</p> <p>Okuiti River and Tributaries - <del>59</del> <u>60</u> L/s-1</p> <p>Okana River, Takiritawai River and their Tributaries - <del>97</del> <u>100</u> L/s-1</p> <p>Restrictions:</p> <p>Okuiti River and Tributaries</p>	Oppose	Reject	<p>We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the section 42A Report.</p> <p>See also the separate narrative on this issue in the main text of this Report.</p>

Point ID	Submitter	Decision Requested	Support/Oppose	Recommendation	Reason for Recommendation
		25% <del>79</del> <u>80</u> [L/s] 50% <del>69</del> <u>70</u> [L/s] 100% <del>59</del> <u>60</u> [L/s] Okana River, Takiritawai River and their Tributaries 25% <del>129</del> <u>130</u> [L/s] 50% <del>113</del> <u>115</u> [L/s] 100% <del>97</del> <u>100</u> [L/s] [Also see related submission point PC6 LWRP-90]			
<a href="#">PC6 LWRP-122</a>	Wairewa Rūnanga Inc and Te Rūnanga O Ngāi Tahu	Retain.	Support	Accept	Except to the extent that, in response to other submissions, we are recommending omissions or amendments to the notified provisions, they are retained as notified.

**Table 10(d) - Water Quality Limits for Rivers in the Wairewa Catchment**

Point ID	Submitter	Decision Requested	Support/Oppose	Recommendation	Reason for Recommendation
<a href="#">PC6 LWRP-50</a>	Director General of Conservation	Retain.	Support	Accept	Except to the extent that, in response to other submissions, we are recommending omissions or amendments to the notified provisions, they are retained as notified.
<a href="#">PC6 LWRP-91</a>	Fish and Game Council North Canterbury	Retain.	Support	Accept	Except to the extent that, in response to other submissions, we are recommending omissions or amendments to the notified provisions, they are retained as notified.
<a href="#">PC6 LWRP-123</a>	Wairewa Rūnanga Inc and Te Rūnanga O Ngāi Tahu	Retain.	Support	Accept	Except to the extent that, in response to other submissions, we are recommending omissions or amendments to the notified provisions, they are retained as notified.

**Table 10(e) - Water Quality Limits, and Targets for Te Roto o Wairewa / Lake Forsyth**

Point ID	Submitter	Decision Requested	Support/Oppose	Recommendation	Reason for Recommendation
<a href="#">PC6 LWRP-52</a>	Director General of Conservation	Retain.	Support	Accept	Except to the extent that, in response to other submissions, we are recommending omissions or amendments to the notified provisions, they are retained as notified.
<a href="#">PC6 LWRP-92</a>	Fish and Game Council North Canterbury	Retain.	Support	Accept	Except to the extent that, in response to other submissions, we are recommending omissions or amendments to the notified provisions, they are retained as notified.

Point ID	Submitter	Decision Requested	Support/Oppose	Recommendation	Reason for Recommendation
<a href="#">PC6 LWRP-124</a>	Wairewa Rūnanga Inc and Te Rūnanga O Ngāi Tahu	Retain.	Support	Accept	Except to the extent that, in response to other submissions, we are recommending omissions or amendments to the notified provisions, they are retained as notified.

**Table 10(f) - Total Phosphorus Load Target for for Te Roto o Wairewa / Lake Forsyth**

Point ID	Submitter	Decision Requested	Support/Oppose	Recommendation	Reason for Recommendation
<a href="#">PC6 LWRP-53</a>	Director General of Conservation	Retain.	Support	Accept	Except to the extent that, in response to other submissions, we are recommending omissions or amendments to the notified provisions, they are retained as notified.
<a href="#">PC6 LWRP-93</a>	Fish and Game Council North Canterbury	Retain.	Support	Accept	Except to the extent that, in response to other submissions, we are recommending omissions or amendments to the notified provisions, they are retained as notified.
<a href="#">PC6 LWRP-125</a>	Wairewa Rūnanga Inc and Te Rūnanga O Ngāi Tahu	Retain.	Support	Accept	Except to the extent that, in response to other submissions, we are recommending omissions or amendments to the notified provisions, they are retained as notified.

### Section 10.8 - Flow Sensitive Catchments

Point ID	Submitter	Decision Requested	Support/Oppose	Recommendation	Reason for Recommendation
<a href="#">PC6 LWRP-47</a>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	No specific decision requested. Submitter states their interest in Sections 10.6 to 10.9 and requests to take part in any discussions concerning refinement of these section.		Reject	A general request which does not give precise details of amendments requested.
<a href="#">PC6 LWRP-94</a>	Fish and Game Council North Canterbury	Retain.	Support	Accept	Except to the extent that, in response to other submissions, we are recommending omissions or amendments to the notified provisions, they are retained as notified.

### Section 10.9 - High Naturalness Waterbodies

Point ID	Submitter	Decision Requested	Support/Oppose	Recommendation	Reason for Recommendation
<a href="#">PC6 LWRP-48</a>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	No specific decision requested. Submitter states their interest in Sections 10.6 to 10.9 and requests to take part in any discussions concerning refinement of these sections.		Reject	A general request which does not give precise details of amendments requested.

### Section 10.10 - Schedules

Point ID	Submitter	Decision Requested	Support/Oppose	Recommendation	Reason for Recommendation
<a href="#">PC6 LWRP-51</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-63</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-92</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-117</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-142</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-166</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-191</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-214</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-234</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-264</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-289</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-314</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-339</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-364</a> <a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-389</a>	Federated Farmers of New Zealand Stanbury M Orton Mr and Mrs G Herlihy M and M Roberts C Stanbury K Ussher M and L Logan G de Boer J and J Penfold A Tini K and R Bulman J Fitch J Kennedy D E Pedersen J and Vidette S	Amend Schedule 24c item 5 as follows:  5. provide an assessment of the effects of the proposed activities, at a level of detail commensurate with the scale and significance of the effects, <del>and</del> and using such information as the landowner can reasonably be expected to provide from his own knowledge and experience of the <u>property</u> .  <u>Environmental effects which Ecan may choose to separately assess, in order to decide on an application , include the following:</u>  [Items (a) to (h) as listed]	Oppose	Reject	We adopt the recommendations and reasons set out in the section 42A Report.  See also the separate narrative on this issue in the main text of this Report.
<a href="#">FPC6 LWRP-22</a>	Wairewa Runanga Inc		Oppose		
<a href="#">PC6 LWRP-55</a>	Director General of Conservation	Amend Schedule 24c item 5(a) as follows:  (a) water quality of surface waterbodies, sources of human or animal drinking-water, aquatic ecosystems, inanga spawning sites, and inanga spawning habitat <u>and spawning sites of fish</u> ; and	Support	Accept in part	In response to this and other submissions we are recommending some amendments to give effect to the relief requested.
<a href="#">PC6 LWRP-95</a>	Fish and Game Council North Canterbury	Amend Schedule 24c item 5(a) as follows:  water quality of surface waterbodies, sources of human or animal drinking-water, aquatic ecosystems, inanga spawning sites and inanga spawning habitat <u>and the spawning sites of other native fish and valued introduced fish species</u> ; and	Support in Part	Accept in part	In response to this and other submissions we are recommending some amendments to give effect to the relief requested.
<a href="#">PC6 LWRP-135</a>	Little River Wairewa Flooding Committee	No specific decision requested - submitter supports policies and rules related to flood management - see original submission for detail.	Support	Reject	A general request which does not give precise details of amendments requested.

### Section 10.11 - Additions to Planning Maps

Point ID	Submitter	Decision Requested	Support/Oppose	Recommendation	Reason for Recommendation
<a href="#">PC6 LWRP-56</a>	Director General of Conservation	Retain.	Support	Accept	We are recommending that the notified provisions are retained as notified.
<a href="#">PC6 LWRP-96</a>	Fish and Game Council North Canterbury	Retain.	Support	Accept	We are recommending that the notified provisions are retained as notified.

### Map B-067

Point ID	Submitter	Decision Requested	Support/Oppose	Recommendation	Reason for Recommendation
<a href="#">PC6 LWRP-57</a>	Director General of Conservation	Retain.	Support	Accept	We are recommending that the notified provisions are retained as notified.

### Map B-077

Point ID	Submitter	Decision Requested	Support/Oppose	Recommendation	Reason for Recommendation
<a href="#">PC6 LWRP-58</a>	Director General of Conservation	Retain.	Support	Accept	We are recommending that the notified provisions are retained as notified.