

Proposed Plan Change 5 Canterbury Land and Water Regional Plan (Nutrient Management & Waitaki)

Further questions from the Hearing Commissioners on the Section 42A Report (12 August 2016)

Paragraph	Question
16.24 comment	I raised a question previously re what iwi resource management plans additional to those listed in para 16.24 of the S42A Report were relevant to the Canterbury region. I note the relevant iwi management plans are identified at page 2-9 of the s32 Report. While Table 1 on page 2-11 of the s32 Report, outlines the significant land use and water quality issues and outcomes sought by Ngāi Tahu.
S32, page 5-8	The S32 Report refers to a region-wide 'cultural management area' (consistent with Part B amendments), but considered not necessary due to the region-wide provisions (on-farm practices, riparian margins) in Schedule 7, being sufficient to achieve mahinga kai outcomes. Could this connection have been made clearer in Schedule 7?
Appendix C, Upper Waitaki FMU, 4.1.1, page 85	In discussion of resource consent CRC061154-Fiver Rivers Ltd, reference is made to the Upper Wairepo creek being diverted away from the Haldon Arm of Lake Benmore, presumably to the Ahuriri Arm. Is this a matter of significance and are the circumstances of the particular diversion referred to in the submission of Benmore Station (PC5LWRP-1311), ie; flows of the Wairepo are split in two at the Ohau Road bridge, the same as that referred to in Appendix C, page 85.
Policy 15B.4.2	The submission of DairyNZ request to change the starting word of the policy from 'Protect' to 'Provide', s42A at 16.15, discusses the submission and recommends the proposed amendment not be accepted. But in the tracked version at the back of the s42A report the starting word is 'Provide', I take it that was not the intended outcome?
	Should Rule xx.xx.xx condition 4 be worded the same as Rule 5.44A condition 4?
Note 1 (page 3-26)	Instead of inserting new Rule xx.xx.xx could Note 1 (page 3-26) be amended to say that Red Zone Rule 5.44A applies within the Greater Waikakahi and Hakataramea Flat Zones?
Rule 15B.5.25	Why does Rule 15B.5.25 not have a condition requiring the nitrogen loss calculation to not exceed the Baseline GMP Loss Rate from 1 July 2020 as does Orange Zone Rule 5.54B?
Rule 15B.5.25	Is Rule 15B.5.25 Matter of Control (11) necessary given the wording of existing Matter of Control (4)?
Rule 15B.5.25	In Rule 15B.5.25, why does Matter of Control (12) refer to GMP Loss Rate for the four years prior to 1 July 2020, given Matter of Control (4) already refers to GMP Loss Rate?
Rule 15B.5.26	Is Rule 15B.5.26 Matter of Discretion (13) necessary given the wording of existing Matter of Discretion (7)?
Rule 15B.5.26	In Rule 15B.5.26, why does Matter of Discretion (14) refer to GMP Loss Rate for the four years prior to 1 July 2020, given Matter of Discretion (7) already refers to GMP Loss Rate?
Rule 15B.5.22	Why in the Haldon and Mid Catchment Zones does not using an Accredited Farm Consultant to prepare or review the application place the applicant in a Non-complying Activity (Rule 15B.5.22), whereas everywhere else in the Waitaki catchment that would make the application a Restricted Discretionary Activity?