

From: [Wendy Patterson](#)
To: [Mailroom Mailbox](#)
Cc: berwen@xtra.co.nz
Subject: Submission PPC5
Date: Friday, 11 March 2016 9:11:53 a.m.

Good Morning

Attached 2 page submission, please reply by email you have received this.

Thanks Wendy Parsons

Submission on Proposed Plan Change 5 to the Canterbury Land and Water Regional Plan

Form 5: Submissions on a Publically Notified Proposed Policy Statement or Regional Plan under Clause 6 of Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act 1991

Full Name: Omarama Stream Water Users Group (Chairman: Simon Croft):
Postal Address: PO Box 72, Omarama 9448 Phone(Cell): 03 4389 780
Email: berwen@xtra.co.nz

Contact name and postal address for service of person making submission (if different from above):

I wish to be heard in support of my submission.

Trade Competition

Pursuant to Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act 1991, a person who could gain an advantage in trade competition through the submission may make a submission only if directly affected by an effect of the proposed policy statement or plan that:

- a) adversely affects the environment; and
- b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.

Please tick the sentence that applies to you:

- I could not gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission; or
- I could gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission.
If you have ticked this box please select one of the following:
 - I am directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission
 - I am not directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission
- We submit on the following matters relating to the Plan Change 5. Please note that this submission encompasses any related objective, policy, or rule that alters as a result of our submission or any other submissions made. We therefore wish to be included in all matters related to the entire plan, but not specifically identified in my submission. Any aspects of the plan or proposed changes to the plan that do not support or achieve the outcomes sought by us are opposed. The submission has focused on rules and tables but seek the polices also align with the outcomes being sought.

Signature:  Date: 11/03/2016
(Signature of person making submission or person authorised to sign on behalf of person making the submission)

Please note: (1) all information contained in a submission under the Resource Management Act 1991, including names and addresses for service, becomes public information.

Our Submission:

- We wish to be heard in support of our submission.
- We submit on the following matters relating to the Plan Change 5. Please note that this submission encompasses any related objective, policy, or rule that alters as a result of our submission or any other submissions made. We therefore wish to be included in all matters related to the entire plan, but not specifically identified in my submission. Any aspects of the plan or proposed changes to the plan that do not support or achieve the outcomes sought by us are opposed. The rules and tables have been focused on and the policies will need to also reflect the outcome sought.
- Our principle reasons for taking this position are set out in our narrative discussion, below, with specific outcomes detailed in the table.
- The Omarama Stream Water users group is a group of farmer owners whose properties are located in the Omarama Stream catchment who are working together to collaboratively discuss and address issues related to water quality in the Omarama Stream. The group has been actively working with Environment Canterbury for some time on this matter

Reasons for the Submission:

General

1. The Plan in its current form is complex and difficult to understand and there are concerns that parts are unworkable and not enforceable. Our expectation as farmers of the plan, is that we should be able to pick it up and determine on our own, where our farming operation fits in.
2. We have concerns that some parts of the plan are unenforceable.
3. Local stream and river water quality limits appear to be set in policy and tables with no supporting rule framework to enforce this. The next question is whether these levels set in Table 15B a) b) and c) are being set on actual water quality data and whether they are realistic and achievable.

Issues specific to the Ahuriri and Upper Waitaki Hill Zones

4. Table 15B(c) – water quality limits for Waitaki Rivers has limits for the Omarama Stream – spring fed upland for a number of different variables set at State Highway 8. We as a group require clarification as to how these limits have been set and would like input through the hearing process to ensure the levels being set are correct and achievable.

Relief Sought:

5. Seek confirmation that levels set in Omarama Stream are correct, set on actual data, are achievable and clarity on how they apply and are integrated into the rules.