RECEPTION		
EC - CHCH ,		
FILE REF: PLAN BANK IP	10	SU
DOCUMENT No: \	2	· ·
	0	6
1 1 DEC 2015	5	Z
15:09 186954	A	
		-
FOR MARAGE		

P.O. Box 345, CACh 8140

endosed: Further Submissions on Proposed Plan Change 6. # 14 Submissions

FURTHER SUBMISSION ON PROPOSED PLAN CHANGE 6 TO THE PROPOSED CANTERBURY LAND AND WATER REGIONAL PLAN UNDER CLAUSE EIGHT OF THE FIRST SCHEDULE TO THE RESOURCE **MANAGEMENT ACT 1991**

To:

Environment Canterbury

PO Box 345

CHRISTCHURCH 8140

mailroom@ecan.govt.nz

Name: Karen AND RANGI TINI Address: 4411 AKAROA CHCH HIGHWAY LITTLE RIVER.

Post code: 7591

Phone: (03) 3251232 Mobile 0210742598 Email: Karenandrangi & xtra·NZ.

I/We make the further submissions on the Proposed Plan Change 6 to the Proposed Canterbury Land and Water Regional Plan (PC6) set out in the attached document.

In accordance with Clause 7 of the First Schedule of the RMA I/we have an interest in the PC6 greater than the interest of the general public. I/we are directly affected by the matters addressed in this further submission.

I/we could not gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission.

I/we would like/not like to be heard in support of its submission

If other persons make a similar submission then I/we would consider presenting joint evidence at the time of the hearing.

Date 10 - 12 - 2015

FURTHER SUBMISSIONS

ORIGINAL SUBMISSION REFERENCE AND POINT ID	PROVISION	SUBMISSION	SUPPORT OR OPPOSE	REASONS
Sitarz K PC6 LWRP-61	Proposed Plan Change 6, Section 10	Submitter seeks that artificial lake openings be reinstated at mid beach to avoid discharge of canal algae pollutants in the coastal marine area	Support	There is also the need to programme mid beach openings to provide a rapid discharge method for extreme flood events. The existing canal is too small for the discharge rate required in a peak event, and requires two remote end openings in order to function.
Federated Farmers of NZ PC6 LWRP-15	Section 10 Banks Peninsula	Addressing the equitable balancing of cost for the key action projects, including additional fencing required by rules to achieve outcomes specific to this proposal	Support in Part	For small affected holdings in both Rural and Small Settlement zones in the Valley Floor Area, the implications of cost, if not addressed equitably, will put proposed key actions beyond the financial capacity of owners/occupiers. This risks the further fragmentation and abandonment of properties, which is a current issue in the Valley Floor Area.
Wairewa Runanaga Inc. and Te Runanaga O Ngai Tahu PC6 LWRP-101	Section 10 Banks Peninsula	Ensure the naming of the catchment throughout the plan is consistent and reads Te Roto o Wairewa/Lake Forsyth	Support	This name highlights the place and significance of the lake to this area and its people.
Federated Farmers of NZ PC6 LWRP-21	10.4 Policies	Submitter seeks further information about the cost of implementation of the policies and rules (particularly the cost of fencing)	Support	All cost implications need to be examined including fencing, stock water supply, water pumping, storage tanks and bridging. This potentially affects both Rural and Small Settlement zoned land.
Director general of Conservation PC6 LWRP-14	10.4.1 (c)	(c) encouraging the planting of <u>appropriate</u> native species in riparian margins where is compatible with achieving bank stabilization; and	Support	Only consider plant species that won't impede river flow rates and that will remain stable under elevated flow velocities. Suggest deep rooted native grasses and tussocks. Woody plants (rigid stems and/or bushy) are problematic because they individually create resistance to flow, and also promote debris accumulation in the channel.

Federated Farmers of NZ PC6 LWRP-17	10.4.1	Amend Policy 10.4.1 condition (a) as follows: (a) excluding stock from the bed and riparian margins of the Okana, Okuti and Takiratawai river, their tributaries, and the lake within the valley Floor Area, in order to reduce the risk of bank erosion and collapse and avoid animal effluent entering water; and OR (a) excluding stock from the bed and riparian margins of the Okana, Okuti and Takiratawai river, and where practical, their tributaries, and the lake within the valley Floor Area, in order to reduce the risk of bank erosion and collapse and avoid animal	Support Part	maa pra uni Sm cas nui bee am Exc	ributaries in the Valley Floor Area are convoluted, changeable and any are just ephemeral drains or overland flow. Where and how it is ractical to exclude stock will prove difficult to the point of being prealistic. A number of tributaries extend through both Rural and mall Settlement zoned land and bisect already small titles. In many uses, exclusion by fencing would be bizarre and unsustainable for a simber of reasons. Added to this is the very real risk of stock fencing ecoming entangled in waterways during flood events, thus implifying the hazard. Inclusion by wholesale prohibition of animals will amount to a severe as of amenity value to owner/occupiers in planning zones that ecifically permit keeping stock under the rural and rural transition anning objectives.
Federated Farmers of NZ PC6 LWRP-19 Herlihy M and M PC6 LWRP-83 and Stanbury K PC6 LWRP-100	10.4.1 (f)	effluent entering water; and Clarify how preventing inundation of septic tanks with flood waters will be achieved and paid for in policy 10.4.1 condition (f) Clarify the definition of 'stock' in 10.4.1 (a) AND Amend 10.4.1 (a) from 'excluding' stock from the beds and riparian margins or rivers in the Valley Floor Area to being 'permitted for defined short periods pursuant to an acceptable Farm Environment Plan (FEP)'.	Support	add pro eve Bar was Und pigs	is is largely out of the owner/occupiers hands and will need to be dressed by Christchurch City Council through flood management ogrammes. It implies strategic lake openings prior to or during flood ents. It is our understanding that, historically, the old Wairewa and inks Peninsula councils had levied a rate for this purpose and a fund as once amassed accordingly. Inder the existing rules (5.71), 'stock' refers to farmed cattle deer and gs; sheep have not been included as 'stock'. In Environment Plans (FEP's) appear to be successful in addressing e issue of stock management near waterways.

Wairewa	10.4.1	Delete policies 10.4.1 and 10.4.2 and replace with a	Support		This is a practical alternative policy wording. We believe that this
Runanaga Inc. and		policy that reads:			amendment allows both Rural and Small Settlement owner/occupiers
Te Runanaga O		Improve water quality in Te Roto o Wairewa/Lake			to work towards compliance in a less prescriptive manner. The
Ngai Tahu		Forsyth to achieve the targets set out in Table 10(e)			proposed policy is consistent with the way in which owners/occupiers
PC6 LWRP-110		and 10(f) by 2030 by:			have established in the Valley Floor Area over time, and meets with
		(a) Reducing the risk of bank erosion or collapse and			the aspirations of those who live and work in this area.
		associated loss or discharge of sediment into water;			The state of the s
		(b) Avoiding the direct discharge of animal or human			
		effluent into water;			
		(c) Encouraging the planting of indigenous			
		vegetation species in riparian margins where this			
		activity does not adversely affect			
		bank stabilization works;			
		(d) Encouraging people to minimize their wastewater			
		discharges and the phosphorous content in their			
		wastewater;			
		(e) Managing on-site effluent treatment and disposal			
		systems in areas prone to inundation; and			
		(f) Maintaining current low levels of nitrogen			
		concentrations in freshwater.			
Federated Farmers	10.4.3	Amend as follows:	Support	in	Tributaries may be fenced where practical, but there are some real
of NZ			Part		limitations as to where this can be done sensibly and sustainably.
PC6 LWRP-20		Improve the flood-carrying capacity of the Okana,			an and an
		Okuti, and Takiratawai rivers and their tributaries by			
		excluding stock from the			
		beds and riparian margins of those rivers,			
Herlihy M and M	10.4.3	Submitter states that the policy is technically flawed	Support		A review of influence factors in the main surface water bodies of the
PC6 LWRP-84		and animals are not the critical factor in			Valley Floor Area by a Chartered Professional Engineer concludes that
And		[reducing] flood carrying capacities of rivers - see			stock access to waterways under the current rules (5.68) has a less
Stanbury K		original submission for detail.			than minor impact on flooding. The critical component for flood

PC6 LWRP-100			E-1	control is channel congestion through the collective vegetation in riparian margins. Isolated stock access points have very little effect. In this regard, controlled stock grazing may actually be beneficial in keeping the riparian margins sufficiently open to reduce the flooding risk created by impeded flows. Request as a further action to remove the unsubstantiated direct association that is made between 'stock' and 'flooding' in Proposed PC6. This association is erroneous and overreaching.
Wairewa Runanaga Inc. and Te Runanaga O Ngai Tahu PC6 LWRP-112	10.4.3	Amend Policy 10.4.3 to read: Improve the flood-carrying capacity of the Ōkana, Ōkuti and Takiritawai rivers and their tributaries and avoid activities in the beds or margins of these rivers or their tributaries which may significantly reduce their flood carrying capacity by excluding stock from the beds and riparian margins of those rivers, and by enabling bank stabilisation works, so that induced bank erosion and collapse is avoided.	Support	This amendment is workable and much more factual in effect. As noted, PC6 is erroneous with the direct association made between stock and flooding. Furthermore, bank stabilisation works not properly designed and managed will actually increase flooding potential. Currently this clause is promoting a public nuisance by presenting misleading and/or notional ideas on critical flood factors and in the methods for mitigating them. Flooding is a serious, costly issue and invokes concerns addressed by important Government Acts.
Little River Wairewa Flooding Committee PC6 LWRP-128	10.4.3	Amend as follows: Improve the flood-carrying capacity of the Okana, Okuti and Takiritawai rivers and their tributaries managing tree growth and removing tree and debris channel obstructions, by excluding stock from the beds and riparian margins of those rivers, and by enabling bank stabilisation works, so that induced bank erosion and collapse is avoided.	Support in Part	Managing tree growth and the removal of trees and debris channel obstructions is critical for flood mitigation. The activities of stock within the riparian margin are of minor concern to this process.
Wairewa Runanaga Inc. and Te Runanaga O Ngai Tahu	10.5 Rules	Insert a new rule that farming is a permitted activity in the Valley Floor Area provided that a farm environment plan is prepared and implemented by 01 January 2020. The farm environment plan shall:	Support	This is consistent with FEP's as proposed.

		T			
PC6 LWRP-137		 (i) Identify any potential critical sources of sediment and P loss or erosion on the farm and actions to minimise sediment and P losses; and (ii) Address the management of livestock grazing in proximity to waterways and when crossing waterways to avoid causing or exacerbating bank erosion or the direct discharge of animal effluent into water. 			
Federated Farmers of NZ PC6 LWRP-28	10.5.2	Amend Rule 10.5.2 as follows: Within the Valley Floor Area, the use of land in the riparian margin or the disturbance of the bed and banks of the Okana, Okuti and Takaritawai rivers and their tributaries, or Lake Forsyth / Te Roto o Wairewa, that includes: OR Within the Valley Floor Area, the use of land in the riparian margin or the disturbance of the bed and banks of the Okana, Okuti and Takaritawai rivers and where practical, their tributaries, or Lake Forsyth / Te Roto o Wairewa, that includes:	Support Part	in	Support with the removal of 'tributaries'. This is due to difficulties with the extent of practical stock exclusion options available within Rural and Small Settlement zones.
Federated Farmers of NZ PC6 LWRP-31	10.5.2	Clarify that Environment Canterbury will assess an application using its own in-house experts and consulting with the land owner. If not, the submitter opposes the rule in its entirety as they consider it will place an intolerable burden on landowners and will defeat the aim of the plan change. The submitter seeks that all Environment Canterbury should require from the applicant is the Schedule 24c Erosion Plan (which the submitter also opposes), and that Environment Canterbury assess the proposal	Support		For the reasons as stated in the submission.

		hand on the information it already halds should	1	
		based on the information it already holds about		
		matters for discretion 1 to 11. This should be a two		
		way process with the applicant who also may have		
		useful information that Environment Canterbury is		
		not aware of.		
Wairewa	10.5.4	Delete rules 10.5.4 and 10.5.5 and replace with a	Support in	Support with the removal of 'tributaries'. This is due to difficultie
Runanaga Inc. and		rule that reads:	Part	with the extent of practical stock exclusion options available within
Te Runanaga O		From 01 January 2020 within the Valley Floor Area,		Rural and Small Settlement zones.
Ngai Tahu		the following stock exclusion rules will apply:		
PC6 LWRP-117		(i) All livestock shall be excluded from Te Roto o		This submission also appears to conflict with the intentions of
		Wairewa/Lake Forsyth and from the Okana River and		submission PC6 LWRP-112.
		tributaries from Church Rd Bridge to the lake and the)
		Ōkuti river and tributaries from Usshers Rd bridge to		
		the lake.		
Federated Farmers	10.5.5	No specific decision requested. Submitter seeks	Support	Compliance deadlines should be set to a reasonable period of at least
of NZ		further discussion on feasibility of 2020 deadline for		five years from the date that plan changes are ratified and cited.
PC6 LWRP-33	×:	completing fencing [of Okana, Okuti, and Takaritawai		•
	l. Ka	rivers and their riparian margins in the Valley Floor		
		Area]. [Note: submitter also referenced Rule 10.5.4]		
Herlihy M and M	10.5.5	Define what is meant by 'all stock' and 'surface	Support	Under the existing rules (5.71), 'stock' refers to farmed cattle deer and
PC6 LWRP-85		water' - see original submission for detail.		pigs; sheep have not been included as 'stock'. Does 'all stock' now
And				refer to all of the above plus horses, alpacas, domestic fowls, etc? It
Stanbury K				so, what consideration is given to the association between 'stock'
PC6 LWRP-104				'surface water' and the basic amenity values of Rural and Small
				Settlement zones for keeping of animals?
				We request as a further action that 'surface water' be either amended
			2.5	to 'surface water bodies' or removed completely from the clause. This
				is because a concise definition of 'surface water' does not appear
				available. The term 'surface water' is a departure from the Section 32
				available. The term surface water is a departure from the Section 32

		T	T	
				Report and from other parts of PC6 itself, where the correct reference
				is made to 'surface water bodies'.
				The term 'surface water' is also too broad and may be taken to include
			1	ephemeral 'overland flow' that occurs in a flood plain catchment that
				may often encompass the whole Valley Floor Area and extend across
			1	three planning zones. The "de minimis non curat lex" apparatus is not
				satisfactory, being a feudal law approach that gives weak assurance to
				important amenity values of the many and varied individual
				owner/occupiers that may collectively be regarded as not trivial.
Herlihy M and M	10.5.6	Clarify if obtaining small quantities of stock water	Support	As for obvious reasons of stock management and welfare as stated in
PC6 LWRP-87		from the river will remain a permitted activity.		the submission.
And	8			
Stanbury K				
PC6 LWRP-105				
Federated Farmers	10.10 (Insert	Amend Schedule 24c item 5 as follows:	Support	There is a low practical ceiling for compliance costs than can be borne
of NZ	heading)	5. provide an assessment of the effects of the		by occupiers/owners in the Valley Floor Area.
PC6 LWRP-51	Schedules	proposed activities, at a level of detail		ay seemples of the sailey floor Area.
	30,100	commensurate with the scale and significance of the		
		effects, on: and using such information as the		
		landowner can reasonably be expected to provide		
		from his own knowledge and experience of the		
		property. Environmental effects which ECan may		
		choose to separately assess, in order to decide on an		
		application, include the following:		
		[Items (a) to (h) as listed]		
Herlihy M and M	Entire	I support the entire submission.	Support	For the reasons given in the original submission.
Sub ID 66275	submission	Saporte Clime sasmission	Support	To the reasons given in the original submission.
555 15 00275	340111331011	1		
		<u> </u>		
1	1			