RECEPTION				
EC -,CHCH ,				l.
FILE REF: PLAN BANK I	P	6	U	
1 DEC 2015 15:09 186915	-	ACTION	INFO	1
Wed Aldudge				

Loi Environment Canterbury P.O. Box 345, ChCh 8140 endosed: Further Submissions on Proposed Plan Change 6. # 14 Submission

FURTHER SUBMISSION ON PROPOSED PLAN CHANGE 6 TO THE PROPOSED CANTERBURY LAND AND WATER REGIONAL PLAN UNDER CLAUSE EIGHT OF THE FIRST SCHEDULE TO THE RESOURCE MANAGEMENT ACT 1991

To:

Environment Canterbury

PO Box 345

CHRISTCHURCH 8140

mailroom@ecan.govt.nz

Name:

Mike & Marinka Herlihy

Address:

PO Box 22

Little River

Post code:

7546

Phone:

(03) 325 1165 or 325 1366

Mobile

027 224 1055

Email:

mhcon@xtra.co.nz

I/We make the further submissions on the Proposed Plan Change 6 to the Proposed Canterbury Land and Water Regional Plan (PC6) set out in the attached document.

In accordance with Clause 7 of the First Schedule of the RMA I/we have an interest in the PC6 greater than the interest of the general public. I/we are directly affected by the matters addressed in this further submission.

I/we could not gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission.

I/we would like/retire to be heard in support of its submission

If other persons make a similar submission then I/we would consider presenting joint evidence at the time of the hearing.

Signature

Date / //2/

Sub Id: 66275

FURTHER SUBMISSIONS

ORIGINAL SUBMISSION REFERENCE AND POINT ID	PROVISION	SUBMISSION	SUPPORT OR OPPOSE	REASONS
Sitarz K PC6 LWRP-61	Proposed Plan Change 6, Section 10	Submitter seeks that artificial lake openings be reinstated at mid beach to avoid discharge of canal algae pollutants in the coastal marine area	Support	There is also the need to programme mid beach openings to provide a rapid discharge method for extreme flood events. The existing canal is too small for the discharge rate required in a peak event, and requires two remote end openings in order to function.
Federated Farmers of NZ PC6 LWRP-15	Section 10 Banks Peninsula	Addressing the equitable balancing of cost for the key action projects, including additional fencing required by rules to achieve outcomes specific to this proposal	Support in Part	For small affected holdings in both Rural and Small Settlement zones in the Valley Floor Area, the implications of cost, if not addressed equitably, will put proposed key actions beyond the financial capacity of owners/occupiers. This risks the further fragmentation and abandonment of properties, which is a current issue in the Valley Floor Area.
Wairewa Runanaga Inc. and Te Runanaga O Ngai Tahu PC6 LWRP-101	Section 10 Banks Peninsula	Ensure the naming of the catchment throughout the plan is consistent and reads Te Roto o Wairewa/Lake Forsyth	Support	This name highlights the place and significance of the lake to this area and its people.
Federated Farmers of NZ PC6 LWRP-21	10.4 Policies	Submitter seeks further information about the cost of implementation of the policies and rules (particularly the cost of fencing)	Support	All cost implications need to be examined including fencing, stock water supply, water pumping, storage tanks and bridging. This potentially affects both Rural and Small Settlement zoned land.
Director general of Conservation PC6 LWRP-14	10.4.1 (c)	(c) encouraging the planting of <u>appropriate</u> native species in riparian margins where is compatible with achieving bank stabilization; and	Support	Only consider plant species that won't impede river flow rates and that will remain stable under elevated flow velocities. Suggest deep rooted native grasses and tussocks. Woody plants (rigid stems and/or bushy) are problematic because they individually create resistance to flow, and also promote debris accumulation in the channel.

			r		
Federated Farmers	10.4.1	Amend Policy 10.4.1 condition (a) as follows:	Support	in	Tributaries in the Valley Floor Area are convoluted, changeable and
of NZ		(a) excluding stock from the bed and riparian	Part		many are just ephemeral drains or overland flow. Where and how it is
PC6 LWRP-17		margins of the Okana, Okuti and			practical to exclude stock will prove difficult to the point of being
		Takiratawai river, their tributaries, and the			unrealistic. A number of tributaries extend through both Rural and
		lake within the valley Floor Area, in order to			Small Settlement zoned land and bisect already small titles. In many
		reduce the risk of bank erosion and collapse			cases, exclusion by fencing would be bizarre and unsustainable for a
		and avoid animal effluent entering water;			number of reasons. Added to this is the very real risk of stock fencing
		and			becoming entangled in waterways during flood events, thus
		OR			amplifying the hazard.
		(a) excluding stock from the bed and riparian			
		margins of the Okana, Okuti and			Exclusion by wholesale prohibition of animals will amount to a severe
		Takiratawai river, and where practical, their			loss of amenity value to owner/occupiers in planning zones that
		tributaries, and the lake within the valley			specifically permit keeping stock under the rural and rural transition
		Floor Area, in order to reduce the risk of			planning objectives.
		bank erosion and collapse and avoid animal			planning objectives.
		effluent entering water; and			
Federated Farmers	10.4.1 (f)	Clarify how preventing inundation of septic tanks	Support		This is largely out of the owner/occupiers hands and will need to be
of NZ	10.4.1 (1)	with flood waters will be achieved and paid for in	очью		addressed by Christchurch City Council through flood management
PC6 LWRP-19		policy 10.4.1 condition (f)			programmes. It implies strategic lake openings prior to or during flood
T CO LVVIII 15		poncy 10.4.1 condition (i)			events. It is our understanding that, historically, the old Wairewa and
					Banks Peninsula councils had levied a rate for this purpose and a fund
					was once amassed accordingly.
Herlihy M and M	10.4.1 (a)	Clarify the definition of 'stock' in 10.4.1 (a)	Support		Under the existing rules (5.71), 'stock' refers to farmed cattle deer and
PC6 LWRP-83	10.4.1 (a)	AND	Support		
					pigs; sheep have not been included as 'stock'.
and		Amend 10.4.1 (a) from 'excluding' stock from the			5 5 7 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1
Stanbury K		beds and riparian margins or rivers in the Valley			Farm Environment Plans (FEP's) appear to be successful in addressing
PC6 LWRP-100		Floor Area to being 'permitted for defined short			the issue of stock management near waterways.
		periods pursuant to an acceptable Farm			
		Environment Plan (FEP)'.			

Wairewa	10.4.1	Delete policies 10.4.1 and 10.4.2 and replace with a	Support	This is a practical alternative policy wording. We believe that this
Runanaga Inc. and		policy that reads:		amendment allows both Rural and Small Settlement owner/occupiers
Te Runanaga O		Improve water quality in Te Roto o Wairewa/Lake		to work towards compliance in a less prescriptive manner. The
Ngai Tahu		Forsyth to achieve the targets set out in Table 10(e)		proposed policy is consistent with the way in which owners/occupiers
PC6 LWRP-110		and 10(f) by 2030 by:		have established in the Valley Floor Area over time, and meets with
		(a) Reducing the risk of bank erosion or collapse and		the aspirations of those who live and work in this area.
		associated loss or discharge of sediment into water;		
		(b) Avoiding the direct discharge of animal or human		
		effluent into water;		
		(c) Encouraging the planting of indigenous		
		vegetation species in riparian margins where this		
		activity does not adversely affect		
		bank stabilization works;		
		(d) Encouraging people to minimize their wastewater		
		discharges and the phosphorous content in their		
		wastewater;		
6		(e) Managing on-site effluent treatment and disposal		
		systems in areas prone to inundation; and		
		(f) Maintaining current low levels of nitrogen		
		concentrations in freshwater.		
Federated Farmers	10.4.3	Amend as follows:	Support i	n Tributaries may be fenced where practical, but there are some real
of NZ			Part	limitations as to where this can be done sensibly and sustainably.
PC6 LWRP-20		Improve the flood-carrying capacity of the Okana,		
		Okuti, and Takiratawai rivers and their tributaries by		
		excluding stock from the		
		beds and riparian margins of those rivers,		
Herlihy M and M	10.4.3	Submitter states that the policy is technically flawed	Support	A review of influence factors in the main surface water bodies of the
PC6 LWRP-84		and animals are not the critical factor in		Valley Floor Area by a Chartered Professional Engineer concludes that
And		[reducing] flood carrying capacities of rivers - see		stock access to waterways under the current rules (5.68) has a less
Stanbury K		original submission for detail.		than minor impact on flooding. The critical component for flood
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		to a Figure 1 and		

PC6 LWRP-100				control is channel congestion through the collective vegetation in riparian margins. Isolated stock access points have very little effect. In this regard, controlled stock grazing may actually be beneficial in keeping the riparian margins sufficiently open to reduce the flooding risk created by impeded flows. Request as a further action to remove the unsubstantiated direct association that is made between 'stock' and 'flooding' in Proposed PC6. This association is erroneous and overreaching.
Wairewa Runanaga Inc. and Te Runanaga O Ngai Tahu PC6 LWRP-112	10.4.3	Amend Policy 10.4.3 to read: Improve the flood-carrying capacity of the Ōkana, Ōkuti and Takiritawai rivers and their tributaries and avoid activities in the beds or margins of these rivers or their tributaries which may significantly reduce their flood carrying capacity by excluding stock from the beds and riparian margins of those rivers, and by enabling bank stabilisation works, so that induced bank erosion and collapse is avoided.	Support	This amendment is workable and much more factual in effect. As noted, PC6 is erroneous with the direct association made between stock and flooding. Furthermore, bank stabilisation works not properly designed and managed will actually increase flooding potential. Currently this clause is promoting a public nuisance by presenting misleading and/or notional ideas on critical flood factors and in the methods for mitigating them. Flooding is a serious, costly issue and invokes concerns addressed by important Government Acts.
Little River Wairewa Flooding Committee PC6 LWRP-128	10.4.3	Amend as follows: Improve the flood-carrying capacity of the Okana, Okuti and Takiritawai rivers and their tributaries managing tree growth and removing tree and debris channel obstructions, by excluding stock from the beds and riparian margins of those rivers, and by enabling bank stabilisation works, so that induced bank erosion and collapse is avoided.	Support in Part	Managing tree growth and the removal of trees and debris channel obstructions is critical for flood mitigation. The activities of stock within the riparian margin are of minor concern to this process.
Wairewa Runanaga Inc. and Te Runanaga O Ngai Tahu	10.5 Rules	Insert a new rule that farming is a permitted activity in the Valley Floor Area provided that a farm environment plan is prepared and implemented by O1 January 2020. The farm environment plan shall:	Support	This is consistent with FEP's as proposed.

PC6 LWRP-137		(i) Identify any potential critical sources of sediment and P loss or erosion on the farm and actions to minimise sediment and P losses; and (ii) Address the management of livestock grazing in proximity to waterways and when crossing waterways to avoid causing or exacerbating bank erosion or the direct discharge of animal effluent into water.			
Federated Farmers of NZ PC6 LWRP-28	10.5.2	Amend Rule 10.5.2 as follows: Within the Valley Floor Area, the use of land in the riparian margin or the disturbance of the bed and banks of the Okana, Okuti and Takaritawai rivers and their tributaries, or Lake Forsyth / Te Roto o Wairewa, that includes: OR Within the Valley Floor Area, the use of land in the riparian margin or the disturbance of the bed and banks of the Okana, Okuti and Takaritawai rivers and where practical, their tributaries, or Lake Forsyth / Te Roto o Wairewa, that includes:	Support Part	in	Support with the removal of 'tributaries'. This is due to difficulties with the extent of practical stock exclusion options available within Rural and Small Settlement zones.
Federated Farmers of NZ PC6 LWRP-31	10.5.2	Clarify that Environment Canterbury will assess an application using its own in-house experts and consulting with the land owner. If not, the submitter opposes the rule in its entirety as they consider it will place an intolerable burden on landowners and will defeat the aim of the plan change. The submitter seeks that all Environment Canterbury should require from the applicant is the Schedule 24c Erosion Plan (which the submitter also opposes), and that Environment Canterbury assess the proposal	Support		For the reasons as stated in the submission.

		based on the information it already holds about		-	
		matters for discretion 1 to 11. This should be a two		1	
		way process with the applicant who also may have			
		useful information that Environment Canterbury is			
		not aware of.			
Wairewa	10.5.4	Delete rules 10.5.4 and 10.5.5 and replace with a	Support	in	Support with the removal of 'tributaries'. This is due to difficulties
Runanaga Inc. and		rule that reads:	Part		with the extent of practical stock exclusion options available within
Te Runanaga O		From 01 January 2020 within the Valley Floor Area,			Rural and Small Settlement zones.
Ngai Tahu		the following stock exclusion rules will apply:			
PC6 LWRP-117		(i) All livestock shall be excluded from Te Roto o			This submission also appears to conflict with the intentions of
		Wairewa/Lake Forsyth and from the Ōkana River and			submission PC6 LWRP-112.
		tributaries from Church Rd Bridge to the lake and the			
		Ōkuti river and tributaries from Usshers Rd bridge to			
		the lake.			
Federated Farmers	10.5.5	No specific decision requested. Submitter seeks	Support		Compliance deadlines should be set to a reasonable period of at least
of NZ		further discussion on feasibility of 2020 deadline for			five years from the date that plan changes are ratified and cited.
PC6 LWRP-33		completing fencing [of Okana, Okuti, and Takaritawai			
		rivers and their riparian margins in the Valley Floor			
		Area]. [Note: submitter also referenced Rule 10.5.4]			
Herlihy M and M	10.5.5	Define what is meant by 'all stock' and 'surface	Support		Under the existing rules (5.71), 'stock' refers to farmed cattle deer and
PC6 LWRP-85		water' - see original submission for detail.			pigs; sheep have not been included as 'stock'. Does 'all stock' now
And					refer to all of the above plus horses, alpacas, domestic fowls, etc? If
Stanbury K					so, what consideration is given to the association between 'stock',
PC6 LWRP-104					'surface water' and the basic amenity values of Rural and Small
100 LVIII 104					Settlement zones for keeping of animals?
					Section of Resping of difficults.
					We request as a further action that 'surface water' be either amended
					to 'surface water bodies' or removed completely from the clause. This
					is because a concise definition of 'surface water' does not appear
					available. The term 'surface water' is a departure from the Section 32
L					available. The term surface water is a departure from the section 52

				Report and from other parts of PC6 itself, where the correct reference is made to 'surface water bodies'. The term 'surface water' is also too broad and may be taken to include ephemeral 'overland flow' that occurs in a flood plain catchment that may often encompass the whole Valley Floor Area and extend across three planning zones. The "de minimis non curat lex" apparatus is not satisfactory, being a feudal law approach that gives weak assurance to important amenity values of the many and varied individual owner/occupiers that may collectively be regarded as not trivial.
Herlihy M and M PC6 LWRP-87 And Stanbury K PC6 LWRP-105	10.5.6	Clarify if obtaining small quantities of stock water from the river will remain a permitted activity.	Support	As for obvious reasons of stock management and welfare as stated in the submission.
Federated Farmers of NZ PC6 LWRP-51	10.10 (Insert heading) Schedules	Amend Schedule 24c item 5 as follows: 5. provide an assessment of the effects of the proposed activities, at a level of detail commensurate with the scale and significance of the effects, on: and using such information as the landowner can reasonably be expected to provide from his own knowledge and experience of the property. Environmental effects which ECan may choose to separately assess, in order to decide on an application, include the following: [Items (a) to (h) as listed]	Support	There is a low practical ceiling for compliance costs than can be borne by occupiers/owners in the Valley Floor Area.