

From: [Lynette Wharfe](#)
To: [Mailroom Mailbox](#)
Subject: FW: Submission to send
Date: Monday, 16 November 2015 5:41:10 p.m.
Attachments: [ECAN PC 4 LWRP FS from HNZ.pdf](#)

I am not sure that this submission has been sent.

If so please ignore. If not please accept this submission on PC 4 on behalf of Horticulture NZ.

Many thanks

Lynette

Lynette Wharfe
Consultant
The AgriBusiness Group
PO Box 10 824
Wellington 6143
E: lynette@agribusinessgroup.co.nz
Ph 04 4723 578
Cell 027 6206379

From: Lynette Wharfe
Sent: Monday, November 16, 2015 4:32 PM
To: Eve Williams (Eve.Williams@hortnz.co.nz)
Subject: Submission to send

Eve

Can you please send this submission to mailroom@ecan.govt.nz

Thanks

Lynette Wharfe
Consultant
The AgriBusiness Group
PO Box 10 824
Wellington 6143
E: lynette@agribusinessgroup.co.nz
Ph 04 4723 578
Cell 027 6206379

Further Submission on Proposed Plan Change 4 for the Partly Operative Canterbury Land and Water Regional Plan

(Closing date: 16 November 2015)

To: Environment Canterbury
PO Box 345
Christchurch 8140

Email: mailroom@ecan.govt.nz

Full Name of Further Submitter:
Horticulture New Zealand

Full Postal Address:
P O Box 10 232
Wellington 6143

Attn: Chris Keenan

Telephone Number: 04 470 5669
Email: Chris.keenan@hortnz.co.nz

Fax Number: 04 471 2861

Horticulture New Zealand represents horticultural growers in the Canterbury Region, so represents a relevant aspect of the public interest.

Horticulture New Zealand is not a trade competitor and would not gain any advantage through this further submission.

I do wish to be heard in support of my submission

If others make a similar submission, I **would not** be prepared to consider preparing a joint case with them at any hearing.



.....
Signature of person making submission or person authorised to sign on behalf of person making submission.

Date: 16 November 2015

Submitter Name	Sub point ID	Plan Provision	Decision requested by submitter	Support/ Oppose	Reason
Ellesmere Sustainable Agriculture Inc	PC4 LWRP 29	2.9 Definitions	Amend the definition of vegetation clearance	Support	The change sought is similar to that sought by Horticulture NZ.
Royal Forest and Bird Protection Soc	PC4 LWRP 115	2.9 Definitions	Amend the definition of earthworks and add a definition for production land	Oppose	The definition is not the appropriate location to address effects of activities.
Beef + Lamb NZ	PC4 LWRP 192	2.9 Definitions	Amend the definition of vegetation clearance	Support	The change sought is similar to that sought by Horticulture NZ.
Beef + Lamb NZ	PC4 LWRP 193	2.9 Definitions	Amend the definition of earthworks	Support	The change sought is similar to that sought by Horticulture NZ.
Waitaki Irrigators Collective Ltd	PC4 LWRP 253	2.9 Definitions	Amend the definition of vegetation clearance	Support	The change sought is similar to that sought by Horticulture NZ.
Waimakariri District Council	PC4 LWRP 203	2.9 Definitions	Amend the definition of drainage system	Support in part	If drainage system is limited to rural drainage systems the change sought is appropriate.
Rangitata Diversion Race Management Ltd	PC4 LWRP 358	2.9 Definitions	Amend the definition of earthworks	Support	The change sought is similar to that sought by Horticulture NZ.
Federated Farmers	PC4 LWRP 399	2.9 Definitions	Amend the definition of vegetation clearance	Support	The change sought is similar to that sought by Horticulture NZ.
Oil Companies	PC4 LWRP 410	2.9 Definitions	Amend the definition of drainage system	Support in part	If drainage system is limited to rural drainage systems the change sought is appropriate.
Te Runanga oNgai Tahu	PC4 LWRP 539	2.9 Definitions	Ensure water supplies that supply more than one household but fewer than 25 people are also protected	Oppose	The Drinking Water Standards set the thresholds for the level of appropriate protection.
Te Runanga oNgai Tahu	PC4 LWRP 540 PC4 LWRP 541 PC4 LWRP 542 PC4 LWRP 543 PC4 LWRP 544 PC4 LWRP 545	Section 4 4.5 4.23A 4.23B Sec 5 Rules 5.7	Ensure water supplies that supply more than one household but fewer than 25 people are also protected	Oppose	The Drinking Water Standards set the thresholds for the level of appropriate protection.

Submitter Name	Sub point ID	Plan Provision	Decision requested by submitter	Support/ Oppose	Reason
	PC4 LWRP 546 PC4 LWRP 548 PC4 LWRP 550 PC4 LWRP 551 PC4 LWRP 552	5.8 5.75 5.82 5.91 5.119			
Christchurch City Council	PC4 LWRP 91	4.13	Amend to include no degradation of water quality	Oppose	Meeting the receiving water standards is adequate.
Rangitata Diversion Race Management Ltd	PC4 LWRP 362	4.13	Amend policy to include 'unacceptable further degradation'	Support	The change sought is appropriate as it focuses on <i>unacceptable</i> further degradation.
Fish and Game Council	PC4 LWRP 475	4.13	Delete priority order	Oppose	Establishing a priority order is appropriate
Te Runanga oNgai Tahu	PC4 LWRP 309	5.75	Delete artificial water course from Rule 5.75.	Oppose	If the drainage water meets the standards in the rule then discharge to artificial water course should be permitted.
Christchurch City Council	PC4 LWRP 512	5.93	Retain rules in LWRP	Oppose in part	It needs to be clear why the submitter seeks retaining existing rules in the LWRP.
Canterbury District Health Board	PC4 LWRP 235	5.115	Delete condition 9.	Oppose	It is important that effects on land users are considered.
Beef + Lamb NZ	PC4 LWRP 204	5.123	Include GMP	Support in part	Use of Good management practice in the rule is supported however changes to wording sought by other submitters is preferred.
Federated Farmers of NZ	PC4 LWRP 457	5.123	Amend wording re FEP	Support in part	The condition should relate to the purpose of the consent and not wider land use effects.
Federated Farmers of NZ	PC4 LWRP 459	5.128	Amend wording re FEP	Support in part	The condition should relate to the purpose of the consent and not wider land use effects.
Ellesmere Sustainable Agriculture Inc	PC4 LWRP 61	5.167	Amend rule	Support	For the reasons given by the submitter
Ellesmere Sustainable Agriculture Inc	PC4 LWRP 62	5.168	Amend rule	Support	For the reasons given by the submitter
Ellesmere Sustainable Agriculture Inc	PC4 LWRP 63	5.169	Amend rule	Support	For the reasons given by the submitter

Submitter Name	Sub point ID	Plan Provision	Decision requested by submitter	Support/ Oppose	Reason
Ellesmere Sustainable Agriculture Inc	PC4 LWRP 64	5.171	Amend rule	Support	For the reasons given by the submitter
Oil Companies	PC4 LWRP 435	5.187	Amend conditions	Support	The changes sought are more appropriate.