From: Carey Barnett

To: Mailroom Mailbox

Subject: Further submissions Proposed Plan Change 4 to Canterbury Land and Water Regional Plan - Ellesmere

Sustainable Agriculture Inc

Date: Monday, 16 November 2015 11:43:12 a.m.
Attachments: ESAI fur sub PC4 LWRP 091115.pdf

Hello

Please find attached further submissions on the Proposed Plan Change 4 to the Canterbury Land and Water Regional Plan from Ellesmere Sustainable Agriculture Inc. Hard copy will be provided by post. Could you please send me an email to confirm you have received these further submissions.

Kind regards
Carey Barnett
Ellesmere Sustainable Agriculture Inc.
3243429



Further Submission on Proposed Plan Change 4 to the Canterbury Land and Water Regional Plan

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY
Submitter ID:
File No:

Form 6: Further Submissions in support of, or in opposition to, submission on a Publicly Notified Proposed Policy Statement or Regional Plan under Clause 8 of Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act 1991

Return your signed further submission by 5.00pm Tuesday 17 November 2015 to:

Freepost 1201 Plan Change 4 to the Canterbury Land and Water Regional Plan Environment Canterbury P O Box 345
Christchurch 8140

Full Name: Ellesmere Sustainable Agriculture Incorporated	Phone (Hm): 3243429				
Organisation*: Ellesmere Sustainable Agriculture Incorporated * the organisation that this further submission is made on behalf of	Phone (Wk): 3243429				
Postal Address: c/- C M Barnett, Lakeside, R D 3, Leeston	Phone (Cell): 0274888055				
	Postcode: 7683				
Email: carey.barnett@xtra.co.nz	Fax: N/A				
Contact name and postal address for service of person making furth	er submission (if different from above):				
Only certain people can make further submissions. Please tick the option that applies to you:					
I am a person representing a relevant aspect of the public interest; or					
X I am a person who has an interest in the proposal that is greater than the interest the general public has (for					
l <u>—</u>					
☐ I am the local authority for the relevant area.					
I do not wish to be heard in support of my further submission: or					
X I do wish to be heard in support of my further submission; and if so	0,				
X I would be prepared to consider presenting your further submis similar submission at any hearing	sion in a joint case with others making a				
I am a person representing a relevant aspect of the public interest; of X I am a person who has an interest in the proposal that is greater the example, I am affected by the content of a submission); or I am the local authority for the relevant area. I do not wish to be heard in support of my further submission; or I do wish to be heard in support of my further submission; and if so I would be prepared to consider presenting your further submission.	r nan the interest the general public has on,				

Service of your further submission:

Please note: any person making a further submission must serve a copy of that submission on the original submitter no later than five working days after the submission has been provided to Environment Canterbury. If you have made a further submission on a number of original submissions, then copies of your further submission will need to be served with each original submitter.

Signature: Carry Bant

Date: 16 November 2015

(Signature of person making submission or person authorised to sign on behalf of person making the submission)

Please note:(1) all information contained in a submission under the Resource Management Act 1991, including names and addresses for service, becomes public information.

(1) I support or oppose the submission of:	(2) The particular parts of the submission I support or oppose are:	(3) The reasons for my support or opposition are:	(4) Support or oppose
Name & postal address of original submission	Submission point reference number i.e. 4.23	Provide reason for support or opposition	Note support or oppose
Trustpower Limited Trustpower Ltd, Attn Mr R Turner, Private Bag 12023, Tauranga Mail Centre, Tauranga, 3143	PC4 LWRP-78	Ellesmere Sustainable Agriculture Inc. (hereafter referred to as 'ESAI') opposes the provision of inanga spawning sites or habitat provisions until such time as consultation has been undertaken with all those parties directly affected by these provisions.	Oppose
Royal NZ Forest and Bird Protection Society Ms Jen Miller PO Box 2516 Christchurch 8140	PC4 LWRP-98	As stated above.	Oppose
Te Rūnanga O Ngāi Tahu Ms Kirsty Huxford PO Box 13 046 Christchurch 8021	PC4 LWRP-302 and 327	As stated above.	Oppose
Combined Canterbury Provinces of Federated Farmers NZ Inc; Mr Lionel Hume PO Box 414 Ashburton 7740	PC4 LWRP-390	As stated above.	Oppose
Director General of Conservation Mr Herb Familton Private Bag 4715 Christchurch	PC4 LWRP-574	As stated above.	Oppose

8140			
Royal NZ Forest and Bird Protection Society	PC4 LWRP – 115 and 116	ESAI opposes the proposed amendments to 'Earthworks' definition as earthworks are not appropriately related to cultivation and/or harvesting activities on farms.	Oppose
Beef and Lamb NZ Ms Victoria Lamb P O Box 121 Wellington 6140	PC4 LWRP – 193	ESAI supports in part the proposed changes by Beef and Land NZ in that there should be no reference to a date in this definition of 'Earthworks'.	Support in part.
South Canterbury North Otago Branch NZ Deer Farmers Association Attn K Worner Orange 318 Woodside Road Geraldine 7991	PC4 LWRP – 244	ESAI supports in part the proposed changes by this submitter in that there should be no reference to a date in this definition of 'Earthworks'.	Support in part.
Horticulture NZ P O Box 10 232 Wellington 6143 Attn: Ms Angela Halliday	PC4 LWRP - 467	ESAI supports this submission.	Support.
Oil Companies Z Energy, Mobil and BP c/- Burton Planning Consultants Limited, P O Box 33-817, Takapuna Auckland 0740	PC4 LWRP – 410	The 'Drainage System' definition determines that drains are for the purpose of draining water and contaminants. It is now proposed to be worded in such a way that it assumes that all agricultural or rural water is contaminated. There is no supporting evidence provided in the Section 32 report or other documentation that supports this change. There has also been no consultation with all parties that will be directly affected by this.	Oppose
Horticulture NZ	PC4 LWRP – 469 and 622	ESAI supports these submission points.	Support
Te Rūnanga O Ngāi Tahu	PC4 LWRP – 521	ESAI opposes this submission based on the lack of consultation	Oppose

		with other directly affected parties.	
Waimakariri District Council Private Bag 1005 Rangiora Canterbury 7440 Attn: Mr Geoff Meadows	PC4 LWRP – 557	ESAI opposes this submission because there should be no reference to a date in the definitions of 'Earthworks' and 'Vegetation Clearance'.	Oppose
Horticulture NZ	PC4 LWRP – 573	ESAI supports this submission because there should be no reference to a date in the definition of 'Vegetation Clearance'.	Support
Director General of Conservation	PC4 LWRP – 575	ESAI opposes this submission because there should be no reference to a date in the definition of 'Vegetation Clearance'.	Oppose
Combined Canterbury Provinces of Federated Farmers NZ Inc;	PC4 LWRP-399	ESAI supports in part the proposed changes by this submitter in that there should be no reference to a date in this definition of 'Vegetation Clearance'.	Support
Combined Canterbury Provinces of Federated Farmers NZ Inc	PC4 LWRP - 414	ESAI supports in part this submission and agrees that any provisions should only relate to permanently flowing waterbodies and only when consultation has been undertaken with other directly affected parties.	Oppose
Royal NZ Forest and Bird Protection Society	PC4 LWRP - 100	ESAI opposes the amendments proposed as there are many directly affected parties who have not been consulted in relation to these provisions and who may be impacted considerably.	Oppose
Te Rūnanga O Ngāi Tahu	PC4 LWRP – 253, 525 and 526	ESAI opposes the amendments proposed as there are many directly affected parties who have not been consulted in relation to these provisions and who may be impacted considerably.	Oppose
Combined Canterbury Provinces of Federated Farmers NZ Inc	PC4 LWRP - 611	ESAI supports in part that these areas should not impinge on land that is outside of the bed or banks of a lake, river or wetland or relate to any ephemeral or man-made waterways.	Support in part.

Waitaki Irrigators Collective Ltd P O Box 159 Oamaru 9444 Ms E Soal	PC4 LWRP-255	ESAI supports this submission in that the prohibited status should be reduced to a discretionary one.	Support in part
J Demeter 117 Champion St St Albans Christchurch 8013	PC4 LWRP – 386, 393, 394, 396, 397	ESAI opposes this submission in that it is impractical.	Oppose
Fonterra Co-operative Group Ltd and DairyNZ Ms S Ruston — P O Box 79026, Avonhead, Christchurch 8446 Ms Shirley Hayward, P O Box 85066, Lincoln University 7647	PC4 LWRP – 608	ESAI supports this submission.	Support
Te Rūnanga O Ngāi Tahu	PC4 LWRP – 526, 310 and 311	ESAI opposes the amendments proposed.	Oppose
Director General of Conservation	PC4 LWRP – 583 and 585	ESAI opposes the amendments proposed as it is inappropriate for one landholder to be responsible for drainage of catchments which they are not the owner of nor a regulatory authority for.	Oppose
Horticulture NZ	PC4 LWRP - 473	ESAI supports in part the proposed wording of this submission point but still consider that condition 13 should be deleted.	Support in part
Te Rūnanga O Ngāi Tahu	PC4 LWRP - 527, 528, 288, 529, 289, 530, 290, 531, 291, 532, 292, 533, 293, 534, 294, 535, 538	ESAI opposes this submission as there are many directly affected parties who have not been consulted in relation to these provisions and who may be impacted considerably.	Oppose

Royal NZ Forest and Bird Protection Society	PC4 LWRP - 561, 562, 563, 564, 565, 566, 567, 568, 569	ESAI opposes this submission as there are many directly affected parties who have not been consulted in relation to these provisions and who may be impacted considerably.	Oppose
Royal NZ Forest and Bird Protection Society	PC4 LWRP – 101, 262	ESAI opposes this submission because there should be no reference to a date in the definition of 'Vegetation Clearance' or the relevant provisions.	Oppose
Te Rūnanga O Ngāi Tahu	PC4 LWRP – 295, 536	As stated above and as there are many directly affected parties who have not been consulted in relation to these provisions and who may be impacted considerably.	Oppose
Combined Canterbury Provinces of Federated Farmers NZ Inc	PC4 LWRP - 462	As stated above.	Oppose
Royal NZ Forest and Bird Protection Society	PC 4 LWRP – 107	ESAI opposes this submission as there are many directly affected parties who have not been consulted in relation to these provisions and who may be impacted considerably.	Oppose
Te Rūnanga O Ngāi Tahu	PC4 LWRP - 301	ESAI opposes this submission as there are many directly affected parties who have not been consulted in relation to these provisions and who may be impacted considerably.	Oppose
Combined Canterbury Provinces of Federated Farmers NZ Inc	PC4 LWRP – 466	Support in part in that relevant rules should not relate to a inanga spawning site 20m protection zone that extends onto land that is outside of a bed or banks or a lake, river or wetland.	Support in part
Director General of Conservation	PC4 LWRP – 601, 602	ESAI opposes this submission as there are many directly affected parties who have not been consulted in relation to these provisions and who may be impacted considerably.	Oppose

Fish and Game Council North	PC4 LWRP - 559	ESAI opposes this submission as there are many directly affected	Oppose
Canterbury		parties who have not been consulted in relation to these	
PO Box 50		provisions and who may be impacted considerably.	
Woodend 7641			
Attn: Mr S Pearson			