COMMENT FORM

Land Use Recovery Plan Review: Draft Recommendations

Comments can be emailed to: <u>lurp@ecan.govt.nz</u>

or posted to:

Comments on Land Use Recovery Plan Review

Environment Canterbury

P O Box 345

Christchurch 8140

All comments to be received by 5pm, Friday 28 August 2015

SUBMITTER ID: FILE NO: LAND/LURP/PLAN/1

	Full Name: Gregory Coristion
	Organisation*: Phone: (03) 3595452
	*The organisation that this submission is made on behalf of
	Postal Address: 580 RUSSKEY ROOM HOURS
	Clarket Italian Italia
	Email: Orecic Occide: 8015
	and gregeorston a xtra. com
	Contact name and postal address for service of person making comment (if different from above):
	Signature: Noting of the State of the Signature: Noting comment (if different from above):
	1 CINOLIT
	(Signature of person making submission or person authorised to sign on behalf of person making the comment)
	Please note: All information contained in comments provided, becomes public information.
	mments
os	ition statements on section 3.2: Do you agree with these?
au	t Recommendation 1: The LURP Review should principally identify any areas for further consideration through more itional statutory mechanisms rather than attempt to resolve them directly by recommending changes to the LURP.
8	Recommendation 2: Any consideration of significant change is best undertaken through a more comprehensive se spatial planning process or in the review of the Regional Policy Statement including: any consideration of additional greenfield land any consideration of further intensification initiatives any consideration of further significant investment in strategic infrastructure
aft d re	Recommendation 3: The Minister amend the LURP to show Figure 4 on page 23 of the LURP as being 'indicative' only, emove Appendix 1 relating to Chapter 6

SUBMISSION ON THE LURP

We support the LURP to be indicative for the purpose of Map A in Chapter 6 of the CPRS. For the purpose of strategic partners being able to strategic partners can discuss and agree on proposals and territories that are considered for change.

When Council advised Gerry Brownlee on where the new boundary line for rezoning, the boundary of 580 Russley Road was not included. This was a mistake made with poor judgement or research. This affects the opinions of planners like Mark Stevenson who has to work within the law that Gerry Brownlee made when deciding on boundary in Map A.

Mark Stevenson stated to me, if he had drawn the line it would not be where the boundary of 733 Harewood Road and Harewood school. It would include 733 and 751 Harewood Road This would be logical and sensible. It would beneficial to the Christchurch City. It would improve environmental effects / reduce transport issues. For example give direct access to state highway one. Less traffic would have to go around the block adding 3-4 kilometres to every journey. To conclude Gerry Brownlee could redraw this line, or make page 23 of the LURP as being 'indicative' letting the council opportunity to move this line .