

COMMENT FORM

Land Use Recovery Plan Review: Draft Recommendations

Comments can be emailed to:
lurp@ecan.govt.nz or posted to:
Comments on Land Use Recovery
Plan Review Environment
Canterbury
P O Box 345
Christchurch 8140

SUBMITTER ID:
FILE NO: LAND/LURP/PLAN/1

All comments to be received by 5pm, Friday 28 August 2015

Full Name: *Mundy Family Trust and T. A. Mundy*

Phone: *N/A*

Organisation*: *As above*

*The organisation that this submission is made on behalf of

Postal Address: *41 Po Box 660
CHRISTCHURCH*

Postcode:

Email: *simon.johnston@meareswilliams.co.nz*

Contact name and postal address for service of person making comment (if different from above):

Simon Johnston (Solicitor)

Signature: *[Signature]*

Date: *28/8/15*

(Signature of person making submission or person authorised to sign on behalf of person making the comment)

Comments

This submission relates to the Submitters' properties at 471 and 503 Cranford Street Christchurch that

Position statements on section 3.2: Do you agree with these?

the Council proposes to rezone from rural to residential (living)

Draft Recommendation 1: The LURP Review should principally identify any areas for further consideration through more traditional statutory mechanisms rather than attempt to resolve them directly by recommending changes to the LURP.

Agree and support.

27.

Draft Recommendation 2: Any consideration of significant change is best undertaken through a more comprehensive future spatial planning process or in the review of the Regional Policy Statement including:

- any consideration of additional greenfield land
- any consideration of further intensification initiatives
- any consideration of further significant investment in strategic infrastructure

Agree and support.

Draft Recommendation 3: The Minister amend the LURP to show Figure 4 on page 23 of the LURP as being 'indicative' only, and remove Appendix 1 relating to Chapter 6.

Agree and support. The present zone boundaries and Maps exclude properties that should properly be included within zones.

Draft recommendation 4: The Minister direct Waimakariri District Council to amend Policy 14.5.1.1 as set out in the District Plan as follows: 'To avoid new residential and rural residential activities and development outside of existing urban areas and priority areas within the area identified in Map A in Chapter 6 of the Canterbury Regional Policy Statement; rural residential development areas identified in the Rural Residential Development Plan; and MR 873.'

Disagree.

~~Draft recommendation 5: The Minister add an additional sub-action to LURP Action 27 to read: 'vii. zoning that defines the Lincoln Innovation Hub'.~~

Do you have comments on matters where the LURP Review is not recommending change?

The Regional Policy Statement should be reviewed and changed. Priority should be given to this.

Re section 3.3.3: Development outside greenfield priority areas: Do you have views on the conclusion reached?

Development should be permitted in additional areas, including those that have now been identified by the Christchurch City Council.

Re paragraph 3.3.6: Greenfield Priority Areas for Business in NW Christchurch: Do you have views on the approach to the greenfield priority areas for business in north-west Christchurch?

The Business and industrial zones/areas should be expanded to include all other areas that have now

Any other comments:

been identified by the Christchurch City Council and which Council has recommended should now be rezoned.

Other Comments: The LURP was enacted with little consultation and some changes and rezoning of additional land is now necessary to ensure proper use of land.