	OFFICE	USE	ONLY
--	--------	-----	------

## COMMENT FORM

Land Use Recovery Plan Review: Draft Recommendations

Comments can be emailed to:

lurp@ecan.govt.nz or posted to:

Comments on Land Use Recovery

Plan Review Environment

Canterbury

P O Box 345

Christchurch 8140

All comments to be received by 5pm, Friday 28 August 2015

Full Name: Decon Downs (West Mells) Limited

Phone: NA

Organisation\*: As above
\*The organisation that this submission is made on behalf of

Postal Address: 4- Po Box 660

CHRISTUMPLENT

Postcode:

Email: Simon Phonton & meares williams a const

Contact name and postal address for service of person making comment (if different from above):

Signature: Shule of years

Shule of years

Date: 244 Alc

SUBMITTER ID:

FILE NO: LAND/LURP/PLAN/1

(Signature of person making submission or person authorised to sign on behalf of person making the comment)

Position statements on section 3.2: Do you agree with these?

Draft Recommendation 1: The LURP Review should principally identify any areas for further consideration through more traditional statutory mechanisms rather than attempt to resolve them directly by recommending changes to the LURP.

Agree and support.

Draft Recommendation 2: Any consideration of significant change is best undertaken through a more comprehensive future spatial planning process or in the review of the Regional Policy Statement including: any consideration of additional greenfield land any consideration of further intensification initiatives any consideration of further significant investment in strategic infrastructure er and support. Draft Recommendation 3: The Minister amend the LURP to show Figure 4 on page 23 of the LURP as being 'indicative' only, and remove Appendix 1 relating to Chapter 6. the present 2000 sexunde properties that should properly be included Draft recommendation 4: The Minister direct Waimakariri District Council to amend Policy 14.5.1.1 as set out in the District Plan as follows: 'To avoid new residential and rural residential activities and development outside of existing urban areas and priority areas within the area identified in Map A in Chapter 6 of the Canterbury Regional Policy Statement; rural residential development areas identified in the Rural Residential Development Plan; and MR 873.' )iscapee Draft recommendation 5. The Minister add an additional sub-action to LURP Action 27 to read: 'vii. zoning that defines the Lincoln Innovation Hub'. Do you have comments on matters where the LURP Review is not recommending change? be reviewed head be que Re section 3.3.3: Development outside greenfield priority areas: Do you have views on the conclusion a permitted is have now been Re paragraph 3.3.6: Greenfield Priority Areas for Business in NW Christchurch: Do you have views on the approach to the greenfield priority areas for business in north-west Christchurch? URP was enait