Further Submission on Proposed Canterbury Air Regional Plan

Form 6: Further Submissions in support of, or in opposition to, submission on a Publicly Notified Proposed Policy Statement or Regional Plan under Clause 8 of Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act 1991

Return your signed further submission by 5.00pm Friday 10 July 2015 to:
Freepost 1201 Proposed Canterbury Air Regional Plan
Environment Canterbury
P O Box 345
Christchurch 8140

Full Name: Hugh Shane McLenaghan
Organisation: Ken Donaldson Contracting
Postal Address: P.O. Box 639, Ashburton
Email: hugh@jdc@xtra.co.nz

Only certain people can make further submissions. Please tick the option that applies to you:

☐ I am a person representing a relevant aspect of the public interest; or
☐ I am a person who has an interest in the proposal that is greater than the interest the general public has (for example, I am affected by the content of a submission); or
☐ I am the local authority for the relevant area.

☐ I do not wish to be heard in support of my further submission; or
☐ I do wish to be heard in support of my further submission; and if so,
☐ I would be prepared to consider presenting your further submission in a joint case with others making a similar submission at any hearing

Signature: McLenaghan Date: 9/7/15

Service of your further submission:
Please note: any person making a further submission must serve a copy of that submission on the original submitter no later than five working days after the submission has been provided to Environment Canterbury. If you have made a further submission on a number of original submissions, then copies of your further submission will need to be served with each original submitter.

Please note:
(1) all information contained in a submission under the Resource Management Act 1991, including names and addresses for service, becomes public information.
I support or oppose the submission of (1) The particular parts of the submission I support or oppose are: (2) The reasons for my support or opposition are: (3) Support or oppose submission number: 4 23. (New Rule) When a more efficient, economical and environmental option is available, it should be used. This system is being adopted throughout the world, including Australia. (4) Note support or oppose.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name &amp; postal address of original submission</th>
<th>Submission point reference number: CISC/90979</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ashburton District Council P.O. Box 96, Ashburton</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Add further pages as required – please initial any additional pages.

1 additional page.
I support the following statements:

- That the definitions in any of Ecans Policy Statements must not conflict with those given in statute. In this case the WMA2008. Making it more difficult for Council to meet its requirements under that legislation.
- That Council can support the commercial sector in business initiatives that align with Council's own goals of working toward a zero waste economy, benefiting the community and the environment.
- That the definition of "waste" in these documents do not include materials that have previously been discarded from one process are no longer defined as waste where an alternative end use for that material has been found in another process that benefits the community and the environment. That these material's should be defined as being "Diverted", "Recovered" or "Re-used".

That the definition of "waste facilities" and "waste disposal facilities" in these documents do not include sites that specifically handle "Diverted", "Recovered" or "Re-used" materials as opposed to residual waste and where these materials are further used in a new process that diverts material from landfill or other form of final disposal that has no benefit to the community or the environment.
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