

| Consultee | Todd (62897) |
|--------------------|--|
| Email Address | toddtribenz@gmail.com |
| Address | 10 Merton Place Christchurch 8053 |
| Event Name | Proposed Canterbury Air Regional Plan |
| Submission by | Todd |
| Submission ID | pCARP-340 |
| Response Date | 30/04/15 8:26 PM |
| Consultation Point | 13 MANDATORY INFORMATION (<u>View</u>) |
| Status | Submitted |
| Submission Type | Web |
| Version | 0.1 |

Trade Competition

Pursuant to Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act 1991, a person who could gain an advantage in trade competition through the submission may make a submission only if directly affected by an effect of the proposed policy statement or plan that:

a) adversely affects the environment; and

b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.

| Please tick the sentence that applies to you: | I could not gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission; or |
|--|--|
| To Be Heard | |
| Please select the appropriate option from the following: | I DO NOT wish to be heard in support of my submission; or |

If so



| Consultee | Todd (62897) |
|--------------------|---|
| Email Address | toddtribenz@gmail.com |
| Address | 10 Merton Place Christchurch 8053 |
| Event Name | Proposed Canterbury Air Regional Plan |
| Submission by | Todd |
| Submission ID | pCARP-339 |
| Response Date | 30/04/15 8:25 PM |
| Consultation Point | 7.87 Paragraph (<u>View</u>) |
| Status | Submitted |
| Submission Type | Web |
| Version | 0.1 |
| Support Oppose | |

Supports in Part or Opposes in Part

State concisely whether you support or oppose the provision being submitted on, or wish to have amendments made.

Oppose

My submission is that:

Please state your reasons for supporting/opposing/amendments sought

My reason(s) for supporting, opposing or requesting amendments to this specific provision are:

The proposed changes curtail my existing lawfully established activity, which is to discharge contaminants into air from a low emitting enclosed burner as a permitted activity.

The 15 year time frame is not based on any data or fact regarding the lifespan or efficiency of low emission log burners. Having voluntarily replaced an older enclosed burner with a clean air approved burner I should have a reasonable expectation of using that appliance for its lifespan, rather than an arbitrary period. The inclusion of the 15 year limit in rule 7.87 will adversely affect my family.

Please give precise details for each provision. The more specific you can be the easier it will be for the Council to understand the outcome you are seeking.

I seek the following decisions from Environment Canterbury:

Rule 7.87 unnecessarily restricts me from continuing to use a cost effective, efficient, existing, lawfully operable low emitting enclosed burner to heat my home.

I seek the rule to be altered removing reference to the 15 year time period.

Air Shed

Which Air Shed does this submission relate to or none

Choose one of the following three

Tick relevant topics



| Consultee | Todd (62897) |
|--------------------|---|
| Email Address | toddtribenz@gmail.com |
| Address | 10 Merton Place Christchurch 8053 |
| Event Name | Proposed Canterbury Air Regional Plan |
| Submission by | Todd |
| Submission ID | pCARP-341 |
| Response Date | 30/04/15 8:27 PM |
| Consultation Point | Proposed Canterbury Air Regional Plan (<u>View</u>) |
| Status | Submitted |
| Submission Type | Web |
| Version | 0.1 |
| Support Oppose | |

Supports in Part or Opposes in Part

State concisely whether you support or oppose the provision being submitted on, or wish to have amendments made.

My submission is that: . Oppose

Please state your reasons for supporting/opposing/amendments sought

My reason(s) for supporting, opposing or requesting amendments to this specific provision are:

The targets of 'high pollution' 3 nights per year fro Christchurch are unattainable.

The data on which this proposed plan is based is incomplete.

The use of inference to determine that pollution is caused by log burners alone rather than composed of the multiple possible sources is both troubling and inaccurate.

The standards targeted are neither the best for health outcomes, or for the population as a whole, and largely ignore topographical, sustainability, and economic considerations.

Please give precise details for each provision. The more specific you can be the easier it will be for the Council to understand the outcome you are seeking.

I seek the following decisions from Environment Canterbury:

To abandon this proposed plan.

To conduct more comprehensive data collection of air quality in Christchurch, making decisions around land use zoning, development recommendations and limiting activities in areas that more specifically impact air quality.

To better analyse data collected rather than infer conclusions from estimations and generalisations.

Campaign and lobby government for better standards, specifically those changes recommended by Dr Jan Wright. Better serve the needs of Cantabrians by actively seeking to monitor and control activities using best practice.

Air Shed

Which Air Shed does this submission relate to or none

Choose one of the following three

Tick relevant topics



| Consultee | Ms Emma Todd (62897) |
|--------------------|--|
| Email Address | toddtribenz@gmail.com |
| Address | 10 Merton Place Bryndwr Christchurch 8053 |
| Event Name | Proposed Canterbury Air Regional Plan |
| Submission by | Ms Emma Todd |
| Submission ID | pCARP-2409 |
| Response Date | 30/04/15 8:27 PM |
| Consultation Point | Proposed Canterbury Air Regional Plan (View) |
| Status | Submitted |
| Submission Type | Web |
| Version | 0.3 |
| Support Oppose | |

Supports in Part or Opposes in Part

State concisely whether you support or oppose the provision being submitted on, or wish to have amendments made.

My submission is that: . Oppose

Please state your reasons for supporting/opposing/amendments sought

My reason(s) for supporting, opposing or requesting amendments to this specific provision are:

The targets of 'high pollution' 3 nights per year fro Christchurch are unattainable.

The data on which this proposed plan is based is incomplete.

The use of inference to determine that pollution is caused by log burners alone rather than composed of the multiple possible sources is both troubling and inaccurate.

The standards targeted are neither the best for health outcomes, or for the population as a whole, and largely ignore topographical, sustainability, and economic considerations.

Please give precise details for each provision. The more specific you can be the easier it will be for the Council to understand the outcome you are seeking.

I seek the following decisions from Environment Canterbury:

To abandon this proposed plan.

To conduct more comprehensive data collection of air quality in Christchurch, making decisions around land use zoning, development recommendations and limiting activities in areas that more specifically impact air quality.

To better analyse data collected rather than infer conclusions from estimations and generalisations.

Campaign and lobby government for better standards, specifically those changes recommended by Dr Jan Wright. Better serve the needs of Cantabrians by actively seeking to monitor and control activities using best practice.

Please summarise decision requested

Planner - Summary of Decision Requested

Clarify the Proposed Plan to ensure that more comprehensive air quality data is collected in Christchurch, and land use zoning decisions and development recommendations consider air quality impacts.

Air Shed

| Which Air Shed does this submission relate to or none | • | Christchurch |
|---|---|---------------------------|
| Choose one of the following three | • | Recommend Accept in Part |
| Tick relevant topics | • | Outside scope of Air Plan |



| Consultee | Ms Emma Todd (62897) |
|--------------------|--|
| Email Address | toddtribenz@gmail.com |
| Address | 10 Merton Place Bryndwr Christchurch 8053 |
| Event Name | Proposed Canterbury Air Regional Plan |
| Submission by | Ms Emma Todd |
| Submission ID | pCARP-2410 |
| Response Date | 30/04/15 8:27 PM |
| Consultation Point | Proposed Canterbury Air Regional Plan (View) |
| Status | Submitted |
| Submission Type | Web |
| Version | 0.4 |
| Support Oppose | |

Supports in Part or Opposes in Part

State concisely whether you support or oppose the provision being submitted on, or wish to have amendments made.

My submission is that: . Oppose

Please state your reasons for supporting/opposing/amendments sought

My reason(s) for supporting, opposing or requesting amendments to this specific provision are:

The targets of 'high pollution' 3 nights per year fro Christchurch are unattainable.

The data on which this proposed plan is based is incomplete.

The use of inference to determine that pollution is caused by log burners alone rather than composed of the multiple possible sources is both troubling and inaccurate.

The standards targeted are neither the best for health outcomes, or for the population as a whole, and largely ignore topographical, sustainability, and economic considerations.

Please give precise details for each provision. The more specific you can be the easier it will be for the Council to understand the outcome you are seeking.

I seek the following decisions from Environment Canterbury:

To abandon this proposed plan.

To conduct more comprehensive data collection of air quality in Christchurch, making decisions around land use zoning, development recommendations and limiting activities in areas that more specifically impact air quality.

To better analyse data collected rather than infer conclusions from estimations and generalisations.

Campaign and lobby government for better standards, specifically those changes recommended by Dr Jan Wright. Better serve the needs of Cantabrians by actively seeking to monitor and control activities using best practice.

Please summarise decision requested

Planner - Summary of Decision Requested

Clarify the Proposed Plan to ensure that the government changes the National Environmental Standards for Air Quality as recommended by Dr Jan Wright, the Parliamentary Commissioner for the Environment, and requires monitoring and control of activities using best practice.

Air Shed

| | Not Air Shed Related |
|---|---------------------------|
| • | Recommend Accept in Part |
| | Outside scope of Air Plan |
| | |