

Make Submission

Consultee	Ms Jessica Tuilaepa (63010)
Email Address	jessica.tuilaepa@selwyn.govt.nz
Company / Organisation	Selwyn District Council
Address	SDC HQ 2 Norman Kirk Drive Rolleston 7614
Event Name	Proposed Canterbury Air Regional Plan
Submission by	Selwyn District Council (Ms Jessica Tuilaepa)
Submission ID	pCARP-858
Response Date	1/05/15 11:55 AM
Consultation Point	13 MANDATORY INFORMATION (View)
Status	Submitted
Submission Type	Web
Version	0.2
To Be Heard	
Please select the appropriate option from the following:	I DO NOT wish to be heard in support of my submission; or
If so	

Make Submission

Consultee	Ms Jessica Tuilaepa (63010)
Email Address	jessica.tuilaepa@selwyn.govt.nz
Company / Organisation	Selwyn District Council
Address	SDC HQ 2 Norman Kirk Drive Rolleston 7614
Event Name	Proposed Canterbury Air Regional Plan
Submission by	Selwyn District Council (Ms Jessica Tuilaepa)
Submission ID	pCARP-387
Response Date	1/05/15 11:55 AM
Consultation Point	1 Introduction (View)
Status	Submitted
Submission Type	Web
Version	0.1

Support Oppose

Supports in Part or Opposes in Part

State concisely whether you support or oppose the provision being submitted on, or wish to have amendments made.

My submission is that:

- . Support
- . Oppose

Please state your reasons for supporting/opposing/amendments sought

My reason(s) for supporting, opposing or requesting amendments to this specific provision are:

1 **Submission “Outdoor burning and rural discharges of contaminants” (p.1-3)**

Reason for submission:

The Proposed Plan states that the burning of organic matter in rural areas is “in some instances a critical land management tool, but often results in nuisance effects.” This observation overstates the likely effects of burning in rural areas, and is misleading.

Decision requested:

Delete the phrase “~~often results in nuisance effects~~” and replace with the phrase “may result in nuisance effects.”

2 Submission: Figure 1.1 Non-regulatory work programme (p.1.5)

Reason for submission:

While it is accepted practice for plans developed under the *Resource Management Act 1991* to provide an indication of the actions the sponsoring local authority support its regulatory framework, it is not necessary to have the level of detail provided in the Proposed Plan.

Expenditure to support the non-regulatory work programme is authorised through its Long Term Plan, and it is unwise to anticipate the level of expenditure signalled in Figure 1.1 in this Proposed Plan.

Decision requested:

Delete Figure 1.1 and replace with a more succinct statement identifying the programme and whether it will be a region-wide or targeted programme.

3 Submission: Definition “extensive pig farming” (p2.4)

Reason for submission

The definition of “extensive farming activities” relates basically to free range pig farming activities. The problem with this definition is that it may not be clearly obvious as the alternative to “intensive pig farming”. Free range poultry farming is quite obviously the alternative to intensive poultry farming. There is also some confusion as to what densities of pigs would still be considered ‘extensive’, the definition refers to the maintenance of groundcover. Two pet pigs kept in a sty would not retain groundcover, therefore they would be argued as being intensive – which perhaps is not the intention of the definition. Is there an industry standard or a maximum density of pigs per hectare that could be included in the definition to clarify its intention?

Decision requested:

Amend definition – rename from “extensive pig farming” to “free range pig farming” and include reference to an industry standard or specific density of pigs per hectare, which would help determine if the activity is extensive/free-range.

4 Submission: Definition “free range poultry farming” (p2.4)

Reason for submission

The definition of “free range poultry farming” does not include a recommended maximum bird number. It refers to an industry standard, but makes no specific reference to which standard it means.

Decision requested:

Amend definition to included specific reference to industry standard document to avoid confusion and/or at a maximum number of birds per hectare to ensure ground cover is retained. Reference that anything that is NOT free range therefore becomes intensive.

5 Submission: Definition “public amenity area” (p2.4)

Reason for submission

The definition of “public amenity areas” (e) includes beaches, but the definition also includes a caveat that roadways are not public amenity areas. The problem with this definition is that beaches are legal roads.

Decision requested:

Amend the definition of “public amenity area” to acknowledge that these legal roadways, excluding beaches, are not considered public amenity areas for the purposes of this Proposed Plan.

6 Submission: Definition “organic materials”

Reason for submission

The definition of “organic material/s has not been included in the plan, despite several other definitions referring to organic material. The problem with this lack of definition is that what is and is not considered to be organic material is not clear. Is rubber an organic material because is come from rubber trees???

Decision requested:

Include new definition of “organic materials” to reduce uncertainty about what the plan means.

7 Definition “sensitive activity” (p2.5)

Reason for submission:

Part (b) of the definition simply states a “*residential area or zone*”, and should specify that these areas or zones are as defined in district plans.

Part (c) of the definition seeks to expand on what might be normally understood by the term “*public amenity area*”. It also seeks to distinguish between the areas that are available for public use and the service areas of a building. It is noted that the definition of “sensitive activity” in the Natural Resources Regional Plan Chapter 1 did not include the additional explanation and the caveat added to (c) in the Proposed Plan is considered to add unnecessary confusion.

If it is considered necessary to extend the definition of “public amenity area” for the purposes of this plan to include buildings and associated outdoor areas normally available for public use, then to achieve greater clarity consideration should be given to

Decision requested:

To (b) add the words following “*residential area or zone* as defined in a district plan.”

To (c) delete the words “~~*including those parts of any building and associated outdoor area normally available for use by the general public, excluding any area used for services or access areas;*~~”

Amend the definition of Public Amenity Area to add the following:

“Buildings and associated outdoor areas licensed for use by the general public.”

8 Submission: Definition “stock holding area”

Reason for submission

The time thresholds in definition of “stock holding area” make it very difficult to apply to any situation in advance, to monitor or enforce any Rule including this definition. The inclusion of farm raceways used for holding purposes during milking should not be included in this definition as it is very unlikely that the holding of stock in these areas would involve such significant time as to be a cause for concern. The changes requested mean that the definition of stock holding area is similar to general definitions of intensive farming and clearly captures milking platforms, feedpads, and wintering pads.

Decision requested

Delete from the definition for “stock holding area” the words:

~~“and is used for confining livestock for more than 30 days in any 12 month period or for more than 10 consecutive 24 hour days at any time. For the avoidance of doubt, this definition includes”~~ so that the definition would read:

“Stock holding area means an area of land in which the construction of the holding area of stocking density precludes maintenance of pasture or vegetative ground cover, and includes milking platforms, feedpads, and wintering pads, but excludes sheep and cattle yards constructed on pasture or bare soil.”

Or

As this definition is only used in Rule 7.66 it could be deleted and the matters addressed in Rule 7.66 specified in the preamble to the conditions.

9 Submission: Definition “urban” (p2.6)

Reason for submission:

The definition refers to any site or area zoned for residential, commercial or industrial activities and should also state that these areas are zoned in a district plan. In addition, it is possible for district plans to also include other special zonings in RMA plan for parks and reserves or similar and if these are within an urban boundary should also be regarded as “urban” for the purposes of the Proposed Plan.

Decision requested:

Add to the definition of “urban” *“or other such zoned area within an area zoned for these activities in a district plan.”*

10 Submission: New Definition (p2.6)

Reason for submission:

There is no definition for “bulk solid materials”, a term used in rules 7.37 for example. The NRRP Chapter 1 definition provided for bulk materials and presumably the term “bulk solid materials” refers to the same range of materials. To avoid any uncertainty there should be a definition for this term, and in the interests of planning momentum it should be the same as in the Operative Air Plan.

Decision requested:

Add a definition for *“bulk solid materials”*

“Bulk solid materials include all materials consisting of fragments or particles that could be discharged as dust or particulate. These materials include, but are not limited to: gravel, quarried rock, fertiliser, coal, cement, flour, rock aggregate, grains and wood chips.”

11 Table 2.2 Space heating appliance definitions (p2.6)

Reason for submission:

The notes relating to “*low emitting enclosed burners*” with reference to pellet burners is vague and misleading. If the pellet burners referred to in this note are the ones that were clean air approved at the time they were installed in clean air zones outside of Christchurch then the note should state this.

Decision requested:

Specify the pellet burners referred to in the note in Table 2.2 relating to “*low emitting enclosed burners*”.

12 Submission: Objective 5.4 (p5-1)

Reason for submission:

This objective focuses on managing discharges for amenity values, but does not acknowledge that there will be occasions when an activity involving emissions is of sufficient significance in terms of other values such as those relating to health or wellbeing that it is acceptable to compromise the amenity values of the receiving environment, but not to the extent that the emissions involved are dangerous or noxious. It is important that Objective 5.4 does not stand in the way of infrastructure development or similar.

Decision requested:

Add to Objective 5.4 following the words “*receiving environment*” the following:

“while recognising that some significant activities may involve a limited decrease in these values.”

13 Objective 5.7 (p5-1)

Reason for submission:

Objective 5.7 enables nationally and regionally significant infrastructure and focuses on efficient and effective operation, on-going maintenance, repair, development and upgrading. It is appreciated that the Regional Policy Statement identifies the nationally and regionally significant infrastructure for the Canterbury Region, but it is also important for the Proposed Plan to also recognise the contribution that local infrastructure also makes to economic, cultural and social wellbeing.

Decision requested:

Retain the references to regionally significant infrastructure in Objective 5.7.

Add reference to local infrastructure under Objective 5.7; or

Alternatively include an additional objective as follows or to similar effect:

“The economic, social and cultural wellbeing and health of communities is enabled by the efficient and effective operation, on-going maintenance, repair, development and upgrading of local infrastructure.”

14 Submission: Policy 6.1 (p.6.1)

Reason for submission

This policy provides an overall standard to be applied to the discharge of contaminants, either singularly or in combination, and sets an unreasonably high standard with respect to either the likely impact on human health and wellbeing, and on the effects on the mauri/life supporting capacity of ecosystems, plants or animals. This is an unreasonably high threshold against which to assess the likely impact of all discharges of contaminants to air irrespective of the significance of the purpose of the activity. In some instances the discharge of contaminants may involve a marginal adverse effect on human health and wellbeing, but provide gains in terms of other aspects of health or wellbeing. Similarly, a discharge of contaminants may involve a marginal adverse effect on plant or animal life, but that this can be mitigated by making compensatory changes.

Decision requested:

Amend Policy 6.1 (a) to read “*significant* adverse effects on human health and wellbeing;” and

Amend Policy 6.1 (d) to read “*significant* adverse effects on the mauri/life supporting capacity of ecosystems, plants or animals.”

15 Submission: Policy 6.4 (p6.1)

Reason for submission:

Policy 6.4 is directed towards the reduction in overall concentrations of PM2.5 in clean air zones by 2030 and refers to the 24 hour average of 25ug/m3. In view of the absence of any guidelines under NESAQ it would be appropriate for this policy to adopt the annual average as the benchmark rather than the 24 hour average.

The critique of the current approach to the management of air quality by Dr. Jan Wright, Parliamentary Commissioner for the Environment in “*The State of Air Quality in New Zealand*” 2015, stressed the greater importance of long-term exposure to poor air quality. This critique would support a policy focusing on the annual average for PM2.5 rather than the 24 hour average.

Decision requested:

Amend Policy 6.4 to refer to the annual average for PM2.5.

16 Submission: Policy 6.5 (p6.1)

Reason for submission

Policy 6.5 as proposed does not represent a policy, rather it is stated as an objective. It is advisable for policy 6.5 to be restated as a policy.

Decision requested:

Amend Policy 6.5 to read:

“Identify and manage, appropriately in relation to the location, the frequency, intensity, duration, and seriousness of discharges of contaminants resulting in offensive and/or objectionable effects beyond the property on which the emission occurs.”

17 Submission: Policy 6.7 (p6.1)

Reason for submission:

Policy 6.7 signals an expectation that where there is a zoning change in a District Plan and there is an emission to air in the locality which causes adverse effects for the new activities, the discharging activity will either *“reduce the effects or relocate.”* The Section 32 Report indicates that this Policy does not encourage or condone reverse sensitivity, and that it sits within the context of the Canterbury Regional Policy Statement (CRPS).

Policy 14.3.5 of the RPS states *“(1) Avoid encroachment of new development on existing activities discharging to air where new development is sensitive to these discharges, unless any reverse sensitivity effects on the new development can be avoided or mitigated.”*

It would appear that the RPS places the onus on the new development to absorb the reverse sensitivity effect, while Policy 6.7 places the onus on the existing activity, which is expected to *“reduce the effects or relocate.”*

Decision requested:

Delete Policy 6.7 and replace with the following:

“Take account of reverse sensitivity implications associated with existing activities when making decisions with respect to authorising land use changes, to ensure that any changes proposed will allow discharges from the existing activity to continue at the level that prevailed at the time that the changes were approved, or can reasonably be mitigated to reduce the adverse effects.”

Or policy statement to similar effect which is consistent with CRPS Policy 14.3.5 (1)

18 Submission: Policy 6.8 (p6.1)

Reason for submission:

Policy 6.8 is supported. This policy emphasises the importance of operational certainty and in turn will assist in providing investment confidence when developing new facilities.

Decision requested:

Retain Policy 6.8

19 Submission: Policy 6.10 (p6.1)

Reasons for submission

Policy 6.10 is directed to “*all activities*” and requires these to adopt “*the best practicable option*”. The use of the “*best practicable*” test is usually confined to the conduct of a larger scale activity and often to the situation faced when dealing with existing manufacturing plant or similar where “*best practice*” is not practical. In fact, the way that this Policy is worded would appear to rule out requirements for the use of “*best practice*” in circumstances where it is practical to require this higher standard.

As the purpose of this policy would appear to be directed towards the introduction of the test of “*best practicable*” Policy 6.10 should address large scale activities where it is reasonable to apply this test as opposed to “*best practice*”. Also, Policy 6.10 should apply to large scale activities rather than all activities, as in these cases consenting is usually addressed on a case by case basis, it is not necessarily the cumulative effect that is at issue but the level of performance that is relevant to the facts of the case.

Decision Requested:

“Where appropriate existing activities that discharge contaminants into air shall adopt the best practicable option to avoid or mitigate offensive or objectionable effects on air quality beyond the boundary of the property from which these originate.”

20 Submission: Policy 6.11 (p6.1)

Reason for submission:

Policy 6.11 recognises the contribution of nationally and regionally significant infrastructure, but there is no mention of the contribution that local infrastructure makes to the economic, social and cultural wellbeing and health of communities.

Decision requested:

Retain Policy 6.11

Add additional policy to follow 6.11 that reads:

“Recognise the contribution of local infrastructure to the economic, social cultural wellbeing and health of communities.

21 Submission: Policy 6.12 (p6.1)

Reason for submission:

Policy 6.12 would appear to be based on the assumption that there are going to be opportunities to improve the management of discharges during the life of a resource consents. This will not be the case if the activity consents was using *“best practice”* at the time of consenting, which could be regarded as *“best practicable”* during the life of an 20 or 35 year consent given improvements in the plant or equipment associated with the activity concerned during the life of the consent. The situation prevailing when an activity requires a new consent will be taken into account at that time, in the normal course of applying the statutory tests for the approval of a resource consent. In the context of the suite of general policies in the pCARP, Policy 6.12 is not required.

Decision requested:

Delete Policy 6.12

22 Submission: Policy 6.15 (p6.2)

Reason for submission:

Policy 6.15 provides for outdoor burning of organic material in rural areas if it is undertaken in accordance with Schedule 3. Schedule 3 states that a smoke management plan is required as a condition of Rules 7.8 and 7.10 and as a condition of resource consent under rule 7.9. Rule 7.10 (6) indicates that it is only if discharges are likely to continue for 3 days or more that a smoke management plan is required to be prepared in accordance with Schedule 3. In view of these provisions, Policy 6.15 does not provide policy support for outdoor burning in rural areas of the specified range of organic material for fires not expected to last for 3 days or more.

Decision requested:

Amend Policy 6.15 to state:

“Provide for the outdoor burning of organic material, in rural areas, and where crop residue is to be burnt or fires are likely to be of an extended duration are undertaken in accordance with Schedule 3.”

23 Submission: Policy 6.16 (p6.2)

Reason for submission:

Policy 6.16 requires the avoidance of outdoor burning of “non-organic” material in rural areas. In the light of the King Salmon decision there is now an expectation that “avoid” means that the activity will not occur under any circumstances. It is more appropriate that the Policy is directed towards “*minimising*” the burning of non-organic matter because this is a more realistic approach to the encouragement of good practice with respect to outdoor burning in rural areas. If this change is made to Policy 6.16 it would not be necessary to specify that it is acceptable to undertake firefighting training in a rural area which would involve the release of relatively limited discharges of contaminants to air from the burning of non-organic material. It would also mean that the burning of very small and inconsequential amounts of non-organic material that would not be contrary to a policy in the pCARP.

Decision requested:

Amend Policy 6.16 to read:

“Avoid the discharge into air of contaminants from the burning of non-organic materials in rural areas which result in significant adverse effects on the environment.”

24 Policy 6.17 (p6.2)

Reason for submission:

Policy 6.17 is directed towards managing outdoor burning of organic material in rural areas and seeks to “*minimise adverse effects on townships*” with specific reference to the Crop Residue Burning Buffer Areas identified in Section 9 Maps.

The Proposed Plan does not include a definition of “*townships*”, but does define “*urban*” areas.

Decision requested:

Replace the term “*townships*” in Policy 6.17 with the term “*urban zoned areas*”.

25 Submission: Policy 6.18 (p6.2)

Reason for submission:

Policy 6.18 is directed toward avoiding outdoor burning in urban areas, except for fire-fighting training, community events and cooking. As a result of the King Salmon decision, “*avoid*” is now interpreted as meaning that the activity concerned is not to occur in any circumstance. This is too strong a policy setting to cover all urban areas throughout Canterbury, irrespective of whether these have controlled airsheds. A Policy setting seeking to “*minimise*” burning, other than the types of activity identified in Policy 6.18 would be more appropriate

The previous NRRP Chapter 13: Air Quality included a definition of cooking as confined to hangi and barbequing, and the inclusion of cooking without a definition is seen as leaving the issue of what constitutes “cooking” in the context of the Proposed Plan open to misunderstanding.

It is noted that in the section of the Proposed Plan addressing Issues of Significance to Ngai Tahu that cooking is qualified as *“including hangi”*. The term cooking is also used in the context of food manufacture, in addition to this Policy and the related Rule addressing outdoor burning as a permitted activity in urban areas.

Decision requested:

Amend Policy 6.18 to read as follows:

“Minimise the outdoor burning of material in urban areas, except for the purpose of fire-fighting research and training, and hangi, barbeque or other small scale or domestic outdoor cooking device.”

26 Submission: Policy 6.19 (p6.2)

Reason for submission:

Policy 6.19 *“enables”* discharges of contaminants from large scale activities including nationally and regionally significant infrastructure, but does not provide an enabling policy framework for local infrastructure, which is critical to the health and wellbeing of communities. In addition, this policy does not acknowledge that there may be some instances where the location of an emitting activity is determined by a range of factors. In these cases, therefore, the emissions may not be entirely compatible with the surrounding land use patterns and that mitigation measures are all that are reasonably practicable.

Decision requested:

Amend Policy 6.19 to read:

“Enable discharges of contaminants into air associated with large scale industrial and trade activities, and nationally and regionally significant and local infrastructure, in locations where the discharge is as far as possible compatible with or necessary to support the surrounding land use pattern, and also ensure the mitigation of adverse effects.

27 Submission: Policy 6.21 (p6.2)

Reason for submission:

Policy 6.21 is directed towards *“avoiding”* the discharge of contaminants into air from large scale burning devices or industry or trade premise which result in exceedances, or exacerbate existing exceedances of the guideline values set out in the Ambient Air Quality Guidelines 2002 Update. The use of the term *“avoid”* is as the result of the King Salmon decision to be taken to mean that something cannot occur in any circumstances. The use of *“avoid”* in this general police is inappropriate, and can even be seen as out of step with pCARP Policy 6.22 which reflects the NESAQ requirement for *“off-sets”* in case where activities result in significant increases in PM10 concentrations. A more appropriate general policy setting would be to *“minimise”* or *“off-set”* discharges that could lead to the deterioration of air quality so that an exceedance developed or was exacerbated.

To aid the understanding of this Policy it would be advisable to include the diagrams illustrating the approach involved in with the air quality guidelines as an additional schedule to the pCARP.

Decision requested:

Amend Policy 6.21 by replacing the word “avoid” with “*minimise or offset*”.

Also, include as an additional schedule the air quality guidelines diagrams as these appear in Table AQL1 and Figure AQL2 of the Operative Canterbury Natural Resources Regional Plan: Chapter 3 – Air Quality (pp3-29/30)

28 Policy 6.24 (p6.2)

Reasons for submission:

Policy 6.24 is directed towards the avoidance of “*offensive or objectionable effects or adverse effects on human health*” when permitting discharges of contaminants to air from waste management processes (other than combustion of waste) where the activity is “*appropriately located*”. The phrase “*offensive or objectionable*” is significantly wider than the terminology “*noxious and dangerous*” that is used in the rule associated with waste management processes, Rules 7.54 (3), 7.55 (4), 7.56 (1), and 7.57 (2). The issue of what is meant by “*appropriately located*” also requires clarification. In addition, it would be advisable for this policy statement to be expressed more clearly as a policy rather than simply a statement.

Decision requested:

Reword Policy 6.24 as follows:

Enable discharges of contaminants into air from waste management processes, excluding combustion of waste, in locations where the discharge is as far as possible compatible with the surrounding land use pattern and where the discharge does not cause noxious or dangerous effects.

-

29 Submission: New Policy XXX

Reason for submission:

Policy 6.23 seeks to address emergency situations associated with the provision of electricity which is appropriate. It is also appropriate to recognise that there are times where emergency generators are also needed, for example in a civil defence emergency where generators will be needed to enable critical and regionally significant infrastructure to continue to operation. A new policy to recognise this is requested.

Decision requested:

Provide a new policy that states:

“To provide for the on-going management and operation of critical and regionally significant infrastructure during times when electricity supply is compromised due to a supply crisis, or a natural hazard emergency through allowing the use of diesel generators.

30 Policy 6.26 (p6.3)

Reason for submission:

Policy 6.26 provides that discharges of contaminants associated with rural activities do not cause offensive or objectionable effects beyond the boundary of the property of origin. The use of the term *“rural activities”* raises the issue of when an activity in a rural area is not a *“rural activity”*, which suggest that the problem could be overcome with the use of *“any activity”*.

Policy 6.25 focuses on the discharge of agri-chemicals and fertilisers when appropriately managed to minimise the risk of affecting non-target locations. This raises the issue of whether Policy 6.26 is directed towards discharges other than those to be managed in accordance with Policy 6.25.

Decision requested:

Amend Policy 6.26 to read:

“The discharge of contaminants into air associated in Rural Zones associated with any activity other than the use of agri-chemicals and fertilisers does not cause offensive or objectionable effects beyond the boundary of the property of origin.”

31 Submission: New Policy 6.XX (p6.3)

Reason for submission:

The Policies 6.27 – 6.30 address discharges resulting from the use of space heating appliances anywhere in the Region, and Policies 6.31 – 6.35 address discharges resulting from the use of these appliances in all Clean Air Zones. The Proposed Plan does not provide specific Policy support for the continuing use of open-fires in homes on sites in rural areas outside of Clean Air Zones.

Given the generally good air quality across Canterbury outside of the urban areas and the limited likelihood of there being a significant number of dwellings on sites of 2 hectares or greater in urban areas not subject to Clean Air Zone controls the adverse effect of open-fires on air quality can be regarded as inconsequential. Under these conditions any effort to control the use of existing open-fires or enclosed domestic burners that do not meet low or ultra-low emission standards are not warranted.

Decision requested:

Provide a new policy for sites outside of urban Clean Air Zones that states:

“On sites outside of urban Clean Air Zones anywhere in the Region allow the discharges to air from open-fires and enclosed burners that are not classified as low-emitting or ultra-low emitting.”

32 Submission: Policy 6.33 (p6.3)

Reason for submission:

Rule 7.79 provides for the discharge of contaminants from open-fires in dwellings on sites of 2 ha or greater in area installed before 1 January 2013 as a permitted activity. This Rule does not have policy support.

Decision requested

Amend Policy 6.33 to state:

“On all sites greater than 2ha in area, provide for discharges of contaminants into air from older-style, low and ultra-low emitting burners and open-fires installed prior to 1 January 2013.”

33 Submission: Policy 6.35 (p6.3)

Reason for submission:

Policy 6.35 states that the discharge of contaminants into air from the use of open fires is to be avoided. To provide alignment with the Rules regarding the use of space heating appliances, this policy should refer to the date at which the NESAQ was amended to remove the installation of open-fires as a permitted activity after 1 January 2013.

Decision requested

Amend Policy 6.35 by adding words *“installed on or after 1 January 2013”* after the words use of open fires.

34 Submission: Rule 7.3 (p7.1)

Reason for submission:

Rule 7.3 provides for discharges considered offensive or objectionable beyond the boundary of the property of origin as a non-complying activity. The relationship between this rule and other rules that address specific activities, such as discharges from industrial and trade premises that specific different activity statuses is not appropriate. It would also mean that small scale commercial businesses (e.g Fish and Chip shops) would require odour management plans or face requiring resource consent. This is particularly due to the wording in Rule 7.1 which states that the most stringent activity status would apply.

Decision requested:

Delete Rule 7.3 or

Amend Rule 7.3 to read “ except where provided for under a separate rule the discharge”

35 Submission: Rule 7.4 (p7.1)

Reason for submission:

Rule 7.4 is supported. In particular the link provided to Rule 7.31 clarifies the relationship between this rule and Rule 7.31.

Decision requested:

Retain Rule 7.4

36 Submission: Rule 7.5 (p7.2)

Reason for submission:

Rule 7.5 provides that the discharge of contaminants to air anywhere in the Region from outdoor burning does not comply with Rules 7.6 to 7.13 is a “prohibited activity”. In the NRRP: Chapter 3 – Air Quality Rule AQL34 provides that emissions to air from outdoor burning that does not meet the conditions attached to a similar suite of rules is a “discretionary activity”. The step-down from a permitted activity directly to “prohibited activity” is unreasonably severe.

It is also noted that Schedule 1 (p8.4) provides a list of the information required for a resource consent for applications for discharges to air from outdoor burning. This would indicate that circumstances where outdoor burning is a consented activity is contemplated, which would make the establishment of a default rule to “discretionary activity” a reasonable addition to the plan.

Decision requested:

Amend Rule 7.5 to “discretionary activity” and make the preparation of a smoke management plan a condition of a discretionary consent for Rule 7.10 where condition 7.10 (2) cannot be met.

37 Submission: Rule 7.10 (3) (p7.3)

Reason for submission:

Rule 7.10 (3) requires that organic material to be burnt has been left to dry for at least 6 weeks prior to burning or is located at least 200 metres in any direction from a sensitive activity. Whether the material to be burnt needs to be left to dry will depend on it being living organic matter as opposed to other organic material such as untreated dried wood or cardboard. Having the requirement for material to have dried for a specified period or the location of burning being at an extended distance from sensitive activities should be qualified. It needs to take into account the nature of the organic material to be burnt.

The extension of the distance from sensitive activities to 200 metres in any direction if the material to be burnt is not dry is also an unreasonable condition of outdoor burning as a permitted activity in rural areas. If people make a habit of lighting fires involving organic material that cause a nuisance effect, then the Air Plan provides the basis for enforcement action.

Decision requested:

Amend Rule 7.10 (3) to remove the requirement for material being left to for at least 6 weeks, and simply require that “vegetation must be dry”.

38 Submission: Rule 7.10 (additional condition) (p7.4)

Reason for submission:

The permitted activity Rule AQL29 (5) in NRRP: Chapter 3 – Air Quality provides that “*only small quantities of petroleum products, up to 10 litres per fire, may be used as accelerants.*” It is also noted that in NRRP Chapter 3 – Air Quality Appendix AQL1 “Guide to minimising smoke emissions from outdoor burning ...” that it is recommended in (g) that “*small quantities of diesel oil or re-refined oil may be used as accelerants. Burning of rubber, used or waste oil is prohibited ...*” As it is necessary to have discharges to air specifically permitted under *RMA Section 15*, it is appropriate to specifically permit the use of diesel oil or re-refined oil as an accelerant when beginning burning vegetable or other organic material outdoors.

Decision requested:

Add to Rule 7.10 new condition that states:

“A quantity of diesel oil or re-refined oil, not exceeding 10 litres per fire, may be used as an accelerant when undertaking outdoor burning of vegetation, paper, cardboard and untreated wood.”

39 Submission: Rule 7.10 (additional condition) (p7.4)

Reason for submission:

A further condition caveat with regard to the outdoor burning permitted activity rule for organic waste in NRRP: Chapter 3 – Air Quality Rule 29 states that “*minor and incidental amounts of materials specifically excluded under Rule AQL36 (a), (d), (e), (i) and (l) ... is a permitted activity.*”

Decision requested:

Add to Rule 10 new condition that states:

“minor and incidental amounts of materials specifically excluded under Rule 7.4”

40 Submission: Rule 7.13 (p7.4)

Reason for submission:

Rule provides for outdoor burning in urban areas for *“cooking”*. In the NRRP: Chapter 3 – Air Quality, cooking is defined as hangi and barbeque. As cooking is also referred to in the Proposed Plan with respect to food manufacturing it would be more appropriate to replace *“cooking”* in Rule 7.13 with hangi and barbeque and other small scale cooking devices.

Decision requested

Amend rule 7.13 to read after the words *“for the purposes of”*: *“hangi, barbeque and other small scale or domestic cooking devices.”*

41 Submission: Rule 7.29 (p7.10-7.11)

Reason for submission:

Clarification is sought as to the application of Rule 7.29 to ensure that infrastructure reticulation is not considered to be an industrial or trade premises. As worded it is considered that the reticulation network is not a premises. However in case this is interpreted differently, then an exemption for these activities from Rule 7.29 is sought.

Decision requested:

If infrastructure reticulation is considered an industrial or trade premises then provide an exemption within Rule 7.29 for infrastructure reticulation.

42 Submission: Rule 7.30 (p7.11)

Reason for submission:

The situation with respect to the potential for the generation of dust from works associated with the installation of pipes not necessarily directly associated with subdivision or development of property, particularly those associated with infrastructure is unclear. It would be more appropriate for the release

of dust to the environment from this activity is clearly a permitted activity under Rule 7.30 than a restricted discretionary activity under Rule 7.29, particularly as some of this work may involve relatively little land disturbance.

Decision requested:

Amend Rule 7.30 to read:

The discharge beyond the boundary of the property of origin of dust from subdivision development, and the installation of pipes irrespective of whether related to development, where less than 4ha of land is unsealed or unconsolidated at any one time is a permitted activity ...”

43 Submission: Rule 7.31 (p7.11)

Reason for submission:

Rule 7.3 provides for important activities as a discretionary activity and is supported..

Decision requested:

Retain Rule 7.31

44 Submission: Rule 7.54

Reason for submission:

It is unclear the scope of this Rule which relates to “waste transfer sites”. It would appear from the limit of 5t per day that it is intended to be directed to solid not hazardous waste transfer, and this should be clarified.

Given the range of conditions designed to manage discharges, including the quality of the discharges and the management of these beyond the property boundary, it would appear that the setting of a 5 tonne limit is unnecessarily arbitrary.

Decision requested:

Amend Rule 7.54 to read:

“Discharges of contaminants into air from solid waste transfer sites processing up to an average of 10 tonnes per day is a permitted activity ...”

45 Submission: New Rule XXX and consequential amendment to Rule 7.54

Reason for submission:

A new rule is sought to ensure that minor discharges to air from wastewater pump stations and manholes are provided for as a permitted activity. This rule will implement the objectives and policies that recognise the importance of regionally significant infrastructure.

Pump stations are an essential component to the transfer of waste associated with wastewater systems and should be provided for.

As a result of the new rule sought a consequential change is requested to Rule 7.54 to specifically exclude those matters provided for within the new rule.

Decision requested:

Insert a new rule to read:

The discharge of contaminants into air from wastewater pumping stations and the reticulated sewer network is a permitted activity provided the following conditions are met:

- 1 The discharge does not occur within 50m of a sensitive site; and
- 2 The discharge does not cause a noxious or dangerous effect; and
- 3 If there is a discharge of odour or dust which is detectable beyond a distance of 20 metres from the property of origin, an odour and/or dust management plan prepared in accordance with Schedule 2 must be held and implemented by the persons responsible for the discharge into air; and
- 4 The odour and/or dust management plan is supplied to the CRC on request.

46 Submission: Rule 7.55

Reason for submission:

Rule 7.55 provides a set of conditions under which the discharge into air of contaminants from a cleanfill site is a permitted activity. Condition (1) provides that the discharge does not occur within 300 metres of a sensitive activity located on an adjoining property.

Given the conditions controlling the material that is deposited in cleanfill sites, differences in the scale of operation, and the relatively infrequent times at which material in a cleanfill site is deposited or otherwise disturbed, the establishment of a 300 metre buffer zone to protect sensitive activities from adverse effects is unreasonable.

It is recognised that there could be instances where there are discharges of dust, but not odour at it is a cleanfill site, these should be managed with respect to neighbouring sensitive activities through the dust management plan required by condition (5).

Decision requested:

Delete Condition Rule 7.55 condition (1).

47 Submission: Rule 7.56 (p7.18)

Reason for submission:

Rule 7.56 provides for the discharge of contaminants into air from the treatment and disposal of 50m³ of human sewage effluent per day as a permitted activity. The use of a “per day” threshold is considered unreasonable for identifying those small scale treatment plants that can be operated under 7.56 as a permitted activity. The volume of material through such plants can fluctuate quite widely, and accommodated without any particular difficulty. It would therefore be more reasonable for the threshold for Rule 7.56 to be based on an annual average of 50m³ rather than a daily amount

Decision requested:

Amend Rule 7.56 by deleting the words “*per day*” and replacing them with the words “*less than an annual average of 50m³ per day*”.

48 Submission: Rule 7.57 (p7.18)

Reason for Submission:

Rule 7.57 provides that the discharge of contaminants into air from air pressure release valves on sewerage systems that are on publicly owned land already in place should be a permitted activity, that meet conditions (2), (3) and (4) irrespective of location. This would avoid the requirement to obtain retrospective global consents for these valves which are already part of the network infrastructure, and not necessarily currently a cause for concern.

Making specific provision for air pressure release valves is supported. In addition it is considered that this rule should be expanded to include discharges from pump stations.

Decision requested:

Amend Rule 7.57 so that condition (1) reads:

“The discharge occurs from an existing air pressure release valve or pump station on a sewerage system, or does not occur within a property intended for residential use; and (2) ...”

49 Submission: Rule 7.58 (p7.19)

Reason for submission:

Rule 7.58 provides for the air pressure release valves on sewerage systems that cannot comply with Rule 7.57 to be a restricted discretionary activity. The need to apply for consents that can be declined

by the Regional Council is unreasonable given the importance of sewerage systems to public health and the wellbeing of communities.

Given that the release values are a component of local infrastructure it would appear that the matters listed in addition to the particular matters for control listed would appear to represent an unnecessary consenting burden on applications for air pressure release valves on sewerage systems. For this reason, reference to (2) *“The matters set out in Rule 7.2”* is unnecessary.

Decision requested:

Amend Rule 7.58 from *“restricted discretionary”* to *“controlled”* with the matters for control to be as set out in 7.58 (2) deleted.

50 Submission: Rule 7.66

Reason for submission

There are elements of Rule 7.66 that are unworkable from a monitoring and enforcement perspective because it is just too complicated. The difficulties would be exacerbated if the definition of *“stock holding area”* remains as notified. Again the setting of time limits for a permitted activity presents problems, which might be frustrated by cows housed during the milking season leaving a facility twice a day to be milked, if this building is not used for wintering the stock.

To overcome the situation the issue of clearances to avoid reverse sensitivity effects should rest with district plans, and most district plans have rules which are designed to protect both sensitive activities (dwelling houses on adjacent properties) and established activities. These rules will have been established after consultation with the respective farming communities, and reflect the policy settings for rural areas which are likely to have adopted a *“right to farm”* approach. It should only be in the absence of reverse sensitivity rules to cover the situations envisaged in Rule 7.66 that a regional rule setting clearance distances for a permitted activity for intensive type farming activities and including the activities such as milking platforms, feed pads and wintering pads. The distances of 500 metres from the property boundary and 1500 metres from an urban area set out in Condition 1 are however considered excessive

To allow an increase of 10% can create situations where a large operation is given the opportunity to enjoy a significant increase in the level of activity as a permitted activity, while a relatively small operation can be severely constrained. It would be more appropriate not to specify a permitted increase in the number of cattle involved, but require an odour management plan to be prepared and available for inspection in the event of the number of livestock increasing from the number accommodated as at 28th of February 2015.

Decision requested

Amend the preamble to Rule 7.66 to read:

“The discharge of contaminants into air from intensively farmed cattle that are housed or held on milking platforms, feed pads or wintering pads and/or at a density where there is no pasture cover, is a permitted activity provided the following conditions are met:

- 1 1. *The structure is located at 200 metres from the property boundary and 1000 metres from an area an area zoned urban in a district plan, or the setback distance provided for intensive farming activities required by the relevant district plan whichever is the lesser distance, or*
- 2 2. *The activity was existing on the 28th of February 2015, and*
- 3 3. *The number of cattle shall remain the same as at 28th of February or should the number be increased an odour management plan must be prepared to avoid, remedy or mitigate any adverse effects of the increase in the number of cattle housed or held, and*
- 4 4. *A record of the number of cattle housed/held as at 28th of February 2015 and any subsequent increases is provided to the CRC on request.*

51 Submission: Rule 7.67

Reason for submission

Amend the preamble for Rule 7.67 to align with the changes requested to Rule 7.66.

Decision requested

The discharge of contaminants into air from intensively farmed cattle that are housed or held on milking platforms, feed pads or wintering pads and/or at a density where there is no pasture cover which is unable to comply with any conditions of rule 7.66 is a restricted discretionary activity:

52 Submission: Rule 7.68

Reason for submission

Conditions 4 to 6 of Rule 7.68 are largely matters covered by a consent under the Canterbury Land and Water Regional Plan, and the relevance to air quality is not clearly apparent. The issue of any adverse effect from the activities addressed in Rule 7.68 will be addressed under the odour management plan and the requirement to have this plan available when requested by the Regional Council.

The need to keep records of the wind direction at the time of application is in excess of requirements, as it would be expected to be part of the odour management plan. In the event of an objection being filed regarding odour from the spreading of effluent, the wind direction and speed at the time of the spreading that triggered the objection could be checked.

Decision requested

Delete Conditions 4, 5 and 6 from Rule 7.68

53 Submission: Rule 7.72 (p7.22/23)

Reason for submission:

Rule 7.72 is designed to encompass all discharges that result from the application of agrichemicals or fertilisers as a permitted activity, and requires that applications be undertaken in accordance with

NZS8409:2009. This Rule replaces two rules in the Operative Plan, one addressing the small scale application of agrichemicals and the second large scale, commercial and/or contractor scale operations.

The two rule approach has merit because the rule dealing with small scale applications, while requiring the manufacturer's instructions for the use of the agrichemical to be followed, did not require the person using the agrichemical on his/her own property or on the road reserve adjacent to that property to have NZS user certification.

It is noted that other regional plans adopt a two rule approach to the management of discharges to air of agrichemicals, and that the Gisborne Plan includes details of the requirements for a person to achieve NZS certification as a schedule in this Plan. To have a single rule with the level of reliance on NZS8409:2009 also presents difficulties because copies of New Zealand Standards are relatively expensive to purchase and cannot be accessed on the internet.

It is also noted that in the proposed Canterbury Land and Water Regional Plan as amended by decisions on submissions and further submission that reference to NZS8409:2004 was deleted from new rule 5.22 in response to a submission from the Department of Conservation.

Decision requested

Add a new rule following 7.72 that addresses small scale applications of agrichemicals using hand held appliances by property owners, with the provision that agrichemicals are to be used in accordance with manufacturer's directions. The new rule should only require applications consistent with NZS8409:2009 certification if the applications are undertaken by a commercial operator. As in AQL70, the new rule should provide for the application of agrichemicals without nationally accredited qualifications by owners on roadsides adjacent to their property.

54 Submission: Rule 7.76

Reason for submission

In Selwyn District there are numerous lots greater than 2ha in area that are not located in urban areas. It is possible that some of these dwellings would contain older style fires that may breach criteria of Rule 7.76. This rule appears to be directed more at larger sites in more densely populated areas e.g rural residential lots adjoining existing townships. Rule 7.78 refers to sites within Clean Air Zones that are greater than 2ha in area. Selwyn District Council believes that those sites which are less than 2ha in area, outside of Urban areas and Clean Air Zones would now be governed by rule 7.78 if the proposed changes to Rule 7.76 were to be accepted.

The provision of a rule defining the time during which a chimney is permitted to show visible smoke is supported, as this will provide a clear test of acceptable use of a space heating appliance for enforcement purposes. The ability to enforce rules relating to the use of space heating appliances is crucial to the maintenance of air quality in urban airsheds.

Decision requested

Amend Rule 7.76 to read:

On all sites within a Clean Air Zone and on all sites less than 2ha **in Urban areas** outside Clean Air Zones:

55 Submission: Schedule 2 – Assessment of effects: Odour annoyance surveys (p8.15)

Reason for submission:

The section addressing odour annoyance surveys is somewhat misleading. The schedule for margin for error gives this as 17.9% for a survey of 30 people, 13.9% for a survey of 50 people, and 10.9% for a survey of 80 people at 95% confidence.

Unless the number of people affected by an odour it is likely to be inappropriate to attempt to use formal survey methods. The information about how to run a survey is also not very helpful. It would be better to simply require any survey undertaken in order to demonstrate odour annoyance to be undertaken using reputable survey methods, and for these to be clearly set out in any survey report, purporting to demonstrate annoyance based on the number of people affected.

Decision requested:

Delete Schedule 2, Section 2 Odour annoyance surveys and replace with the following, or statement to similar effect:

“The use of survey to ascertain the extent of odour annoyance shall be undertaken using reputable methods appropriate to the population surveyed, and the methods used are to be set out clearly in any survey purport purporting to demonstrate odour annoyance based on the number of people affected.”

56 Submission: Schedule 3: Contents of Smoke Management Plans for the outdoor burning of organic material in rural areas

Reason for submission:

The list of information required by Schedule 3 involves a significant incursion into the range of responsibilities exercised by territorial authority Rural Fire Officers under the *Forest and Rural Fires Act 1977*. Much of the information required is more relevant to the permits require under this act that to the management of smoke.

In particular, the methods identified for minimising impacts of people affected are either contradictory or unlikely to be necessary. For example, it is debatable whether a neighbour would want to be away when a fire was lit or at home able to take evasive action if the fire became out of control and threatened their property. Many of the other actions suggested such as notifying neighbours is a “common courtesy” and depending on other aspects of the proposed burn may have little effect on whether smoke beyond the property boundary constituted a nuisance.

Decision requested:

Delete the requirement for smoke management plans as requirements for permitted activities under the Canterbury Air Regional Plan and make compliance with the conditions for outdoor burning as set out in the Outdoor Burning Bylaw for the respective Canterbury territorial authorities a condition of burning as a permitted activity under the Canterbury Air Regional Plan.

57 Submission: Schedule 3: Contents of dust and odour management plans (p8-17)

Reason for submission:

While the provisions relating to smoke management plans are not supported - the use and ability to provide dust and odour management plans is supported.

Decision requested:

Retain the ability to use dust and odour management plans.

58 Submission: Schedule 7 Part 1 (8.30)

Reason for submission

The *Building Act 2004* allows anyone to install burners/heaters subject to being as per building code and passing final inspection by Council.

As drafted, the Air Plan seeks to impose additional controls beyond the regulatory controls of the *Building Act 2004* as to who may install solid fuel heaters/burners and/or approve the installation of these appliances. This is an instance where the pCARP seeks to extend control into the jurisdiction of another statute, and this is inappropriate.

Decision requested

Delete Part 1 of Schedule 7.

Please give precise details for each provision. The more specific you can be the easier it will be for the Council to understand the outcome you are seeking.

I seek the following decisions from Environment Canterbury:

See above

Air Shed

Which Air Shed does this submission relate to or none

Choose one of the following three

Tick relevant topics

Make Submission

Consultee	Ms Jessica Tuilaepa (63010)
Email Address	jessica.tuilaepa@selwyn.govt.nz
Company / Organisation	Selwyn District Council
Address	2 Norman Kirk Drive Rolleston 7614
Event Name	Proposed Canterbury Air Regional Plan
Submission by	Selwyn District Council (Ms Jessica Tuilaepa)
Submission ID	pCARP-1100
Response Date	1/05/15 11:55 AM
Consultation Point	Figure 1.1 Non-regulatory work programmes (View)
Status	Submitted
Submission Type	Web
Version	0.4
Support Oppose	
Supports in Part or Opposes in Part	

State concisely whether you support or oppose the provision being submitted on, or wish to have amendments made.

My submission is that: . Oppose

Please summarise decision requested

Planner - Summary of Decision Requested

Delete Figure 1.1 and replace with a succinct statement identifying the programme and whether it will be a region-wide or targeted programme.

Air Shed

Which Air Shed does this submission relate to or none . Not Air Shed Related
. Home Heating

Choose one of the following three . Recommend Accept in Part

Tick relevant topics

Make Submission

Consultee	Ms Jessica Tuilaepa (63010)
Email Address	jessica.tuilaepa@selwyn.govt.nz
Company / Organisation	Selwyn District Council
Address	2 Norman Kirk Drive Rolleston 7614
Event Name	Proposed Canterbury Air Regional Plan
Submission by	Selwyn District Council (Ms Jessica Tuilaepa)
Submission ID	pCARP-1101
Response Date	1/05/15 11:55 AM
Consultation Point	Table 2.1 General Definitions (View)
Status	Submitted
Submission Type	Web
Version	0.4
Support Oppose	
Supports in Part or Opposes in Part	

State concisely whether you support or oppose the provision being submitted on, or wish to have amendments made.

My submission is that: . Oppose

Please summarise decision requested

Planner - Summary of Decision Requested

Amend definition of "~~extensive pig farming~~" to "free range pig farming" and include reference to an industry standard or specific density of pigs per hectare, which would help determine if the activity is extensive or free-range.

Air Shed

Which Air Shed does this submission relate to or none . Not Air Shed Related

Choose one of the following three . Recommend Accept in Part

Tick relevant topics

Make Submission

Consultee	Ms Jessica Tuilaepa (63010)
Email Address	jessica.tuilaepa@selwyn.govt.nz
Company / Organisation	Selwyn District Council
Address	2 Norman Kirk Drive Rolleston 7614
Event Name	Proposed Canterbury Air Regional Plan
Submission by	Selwyn District Council (Ms Jessica Tuilaepa)
Submission ID	pCARP-1102
Response Date	1/05/15 11:55 AM
Consultation Point	Table 2.1 General Definitions (View)
Status	Submitted
Submission Type	Web
Version	0.3
Support Oppose	
Supports in Part or Opposes in Part	

State concisely whether you support or oppose the provision being submitted on, or wish to have amendments made.

My submission is that: Support Oppose

Please summarise decision requested

Planner - Summary of Decision Requested

Amend the definition of "free range poultry farming" to include specific reference to an industry standard document to avoid confusion, and [include] a maximum number of birds per hectare to ensure ground cover is retained. Anything that is not free-range therefore becomes intensive.

Air Shed

Which Air Shed does this submission relate to or none Air Shed Related Not Air Shed Related

Choose one of the following three Recommend Accept in Part Accept in Full

Tick relevant topics

Make Submission

Consultee	Ms Jessica Tuilaepa (63010)
Email Address	jessica.tuilaepa@selwyn.govt.nz
Company / Organisation	Selwyn District Council
Address	2 Norman Kirk Drive Rolleston 7614
Event Name	Proposed Canterbury Air Regional Plan
Submission by	Selwyn District Council (Ms Jessica Tuilaepa)
Submission ID	pCARP-1103
Response Date	1/05/15 11:55 AM
Consultation Point	Table 2.1 General Definitions (View)
Status	Submitted
Submission Type	Web
Version	0.4
Support Oppose	
Supports in Part or Opposes in Part	

State concisely whether you support or oppose the provision being submitted on, or wish to have amendments made.

My submission is that: . Oppose

Please summarise decision requested

Planner - Summary of Decision Requested

Amend the definition of "public amenity area" to acknowledge that these legal roadways, excluding beaches, are not considered public amenity areas for the purposes of the Proposed Plan.

Air Shed

Which Air Shed does this submission relate to or none . Not Air Shed Related

Choose one of the following three . Recommend Accept in Part

Tick relevant topics

Make Submission

Consultee	Ms Jessica Tuilaepa (63010)
Email Address	jessica.tuilaepa@selwyn.govt.nz
Company / Organisation	Selwyn District Council
Address	2 Norman Kirk Drive Rolleston 7614
Event Name	Proposed Canterbury Air Regional Plan
Submission by	Selwyn District Council (Ms Jessica Tuilaepa)
Submission ID	pCARP-1104
Response Date	1/05/15 11:55 AM
Consultation Point	Table 2.1 General Definitions (View)
Status	Submitted
Submission Type	Web
Version	0.3

Support Oppose

Supports in Part or Opposes in Part

State concisely whether you support or oppose the provision being submitted on, or wish to have amendments made.

My submission is that: . Support

Please summarise decision requested

Planner - Summary of Decision Requested

Insert a definition of "organic materials" to reduce uncertainty.

Air Shed

Which Air Shed does this submission relate to or none . Not Air Shed Related

Choose one of the following three . Recommend Accept in Part

Tick relevant topics

Make Submission

Consultee	Ms Jessica Tuilaepa (63010)
Email Address	jessica.tuilaepa@selwyn.govt.nz
Company / Organisation	Selwyn District Council
Address	2 Norman Kirk Drive Rolleston 7614
Event Name	Proposed Canterbury Air Regional Plan
Submission by	Selwyn District Council (Ms Jessica Tuilaepa)
Submission ID	pCARP-1105
Response Date	1/05/15 11:55 AM
Consultation Point	Table 2.1 General Definitions (View)
Status	Submitted
Submission Type	Web
Version	0.6
Support Oppose	
Supports in Part or Opposes in Part	

State concisely whether you support or oppose the provision being submitted on, or wish to have amendments made.

My submission is that: Support Oppose

Please summarise decision requested

Planner - Summary of Decision Requested

Amend definition of sensitive activity to include the following:

b) add the words following "residential area or zone as defined in a district plan."

c) delete the words "including those parts of any building and associated outdoor area normally available for use by the general public, excluding any area used for services or access areas."

Air Shed

Which Air Shed does this submission relate to or none Air Shed Related Not Air Shed Related

Choose one of the following three

. Recommend Accept in Part

Tick relevant topics

Make Submission

Consultee	Ms Jessica Tuilaepa (63010)
Email Address	jessica.tuilaepa@selwyn.govt.nz
Company / Organisation	Selwyn District Council
Address	2 Norman Kirk Drive Rolleston 7614
Event Name	Proposed Canterbury Air Regional Plan
Submission by	Selwyn District Council (Ms Jessica Tuilaepa)
Submission ID	pCARP-1106
Response Date	1/05/15 11:55 AM
Consultation Point	Table 2.1 General Definitions (View)
Status	Submitted
Submission Type	Web
Version	0.4
Support Oppose	
Supports in Part or Opposes in Part	

State concisely whether you support or oppose the provision being submitted on, or wish to have amendments made.

My submission is that: Support Oppose

Please summarise decision requested

Planner - Summary of Decision Requested

Insert the following words to the definition of "public amenity area":

Buildings and associated outdoor areas licensed for use by the general public.

Air Shed

Which Air Shed does this submission relate to or none Air Shed Related Not Air Shed Related

Choose one of the following three Recommend Accept Recommend Oppose Recommend Accept in Part

Tick relevant topics

Make Submission

Consultee	Ms Jessica Tuilaepa (63010)
Email Address	jessica.tuilaepa@selwyn.govt.nz
Company / Organisation	Selwyn District Council
Address	2 Norman Kirk Drive Rolleston 7614
Event Name	Proposed Canterbury Air Regional Plan
Submission by	Selwyn District Council (Ms Jessica Tuilaepa)
Submission ID	pCARP-1107
Response Date	1/05/15 11:55 AM
Consultation Point	Table 2.1 General Definitions (View)
Status	Submitted
Submission Type	Web
Version	0.7
Support Oppose	
	Supports in Part or Opposes in Part

State concisely whether you support or oppose the provision being submitted on, or wish to have amendments made.

My submission is that: Support Oppose

Please summarise decision requested

Planner - Summary of Decision Requested

Delete from the definition of "stock holding area" the following words:

and is used for confining livestock for more than 30 days in any 12 month period for more than 10 consecutive 24 hour days at any time. For the avoidance of doubt, this definition includes

and replace with:

Stock holding area means an area of land in which the construction of the holding area of stocking density precludes maintenance of pasture or vegetative ground cover, and includes milking platforms, feedpads, and wintering pads, but excludes sheep and cattle yards constructed on pasture or bare soil.

Air Shed

Which Air Shed does this submission relate to or none . Not Air Shed Related

Choose one of the following three . Recommend Accept in Part

Tick relevant topics

Make Submission

Consultee	Ms Jessica Tuilaepa (63010)
Email Address	jessica.tuilaepa@selwyn.govt.nz
Company / Organisation	Selwyn District Council
Address	2 Norman Kirk Drive Rolleston 7614
Event Name	Proposed Canterbury Air Regional Plan
Submission by	Selwyn District Council (Ms Jessica Tuilaepa)
Submission ID	pCARP-1108
Response Date	1/05/15 11:55 AM
Consultation Point	Table 2.1 General Definitions (View)
Status	Submitted
Submission Type	Web
Version	0.7
Support Oppose	
	Supports in Part or Opposes in Part

State concisely whether you support or oppose the provision being submitted on, or wish to have amendments made.

My submission is that: Support Oppose

Please summarise decision requested

Planner - Summary of Decision Requested

Delete from the definition for "stock holding area" the following words:

" and is used for confining livestock for more than 30 days in any 12 month period for more than 10 consecutive 24 hour days at any time. For the avoidance of doubt, this definition includes- "

and replace with

"Stock holding area means an area of land in which the construction of the holding area of stocking density precludes maintenance of pasture or vegetative ground cover, and includes milking platforms, feedpads, and wintering pads, but excludes sheep and cattle yards constructed on pasture or bare soil."

or

Provide appropriate alternative relief relating to the definition for "stock holding areas" as this definition is only used in rule 7.66 it could be deleted and the matters addressed in rule 7.66 specified in the preamble to the conditions.

Air Shed

Which Air Shed does this submission relate to or none . Not Air Shed Related

Choose one of the following three . Recommend Accept in Part

Tick relevant topics

Make Submission

Consultee	Ms Jessica Tuilaepa (63010)
Email Address	jessica.tuilaepa@selwyn.govt.nz
Company / Organisation	Selwyn District Council
Address	2 Norman Kirk Drive Rolleston 7614
Event Name	Proposed Canterbury Air Regional Plan
Submission by	Selwyn District Council (Ms Jessica Tuilaepa)
Submission ID	pCARP-1109
Response Date	1/05/15 11:55 AM
Consultation Point	Table 2.1 General Definitions (View)
Status	Submitted
Submission Type	Web
Version	0.6
Support Oppose	
Supports in Part or Opposes in Part	

State concisely whether you support or oppose the provision being submitted on, or wish to have amendments made.

My submission is that: Support Oppose

Please summarise decision requested

Planner - Summary of Decision Requested

Amend definition of "urban" to the following words:

"or other such zoned area within an area zoned for these activities in a district plan."

Air Shed

Which Air Shed does this submission relate to or none Air Shed Related Not Air Shed Related

Choose one of the following three Recommend Accept Recommend Amend Recommend Accept in Part

Tick relevant topics

Make Submission

Consultee	Ms Jessica Tuilaepa (63010)
Email Address	jessica.tuilaepa@selwyn.govt.nz
Company / Organisation	Selwyn District Council
Address	2 Norman Kirk Drive Rolleston 7614
Event Name	Proposed Canterbury Air Regional Plan
Submission by	Selwyn District Council (Ms Jessica Tuilaepa)
Submission ID	pCARP-1110
Response Date	1/05/15 11:55 AM
Consultation Point	Table 2.2 Space Heating Appliance Definitions (View)
Status	Submitted
Submission Type	Web
Version	0.6
Support Oppose	
Supports in Part or Opposes in Part	

State concisely whether you support or oppose the provision being submitted on, or wish to have amendments made.

My submission is that: . Support

Please summarise decision requested

Planner - Summary of Decision Requested

Amend note in Table 2.2 referring to pellet burners by specifying the pellet burners that relate to "low emitting enclosed burners."

Air Shed

Which Air Shed does this submission relate to or none . Not Air Shed Related

Choose one of the following three . Recommend Accept in Part

Tick relevant topics

Make Submission

Consultee	Ms Jessica Tuilaepa (63010)
Email Address	jessica.tuilaepa@selwyn.govt.nz
Company / Organisation	Selwyn District Council
Address	2 Norman Kirk Drive Rolleston 7614
Event Name	Proposed Canterbury Air Regional Plan
Submission by	Selwyn District Council (Ms Jessica Tuilaepa)
Submission ID	pCARP-1111
Response Date	1/05/15 11:55 AM
Consultation Point	Table 2.1 General Definitions (View)
Status	Submitted
Submission Type	Web
Version	0.6

Support Oppose

Supports in Part or Opposes in Part

State concisely whether you support or oppose the provision being submitted on, or wish to have amendments made.

My submission is that: . Support

Please summarise decision requested

Planner - Summary of Decision Requested

Insert a definition for "bulk solid materials" to read:

"Bulk solid materials include all materials consisting of fragments or particulates that could be discharged as dust or particulate. These materials include, but are not limited to: gravel, quarried rock, fertiliser, coal, cement, flour, rock aggregate, grains and wood chips."

Air Shed

Which Air Shed does this submission relate to or none . Not Air Shed Related

Choose one of the following three

. Recommend Accept in Part

Tick relevant topics

Make Submission

Consultee	Ms Jessica Tuilaepa (63010)
Email Address	jessica.tuilaepa@selwyn.govt.nz
Company / Organisation	Selwyn District Council
Address	2 Norman Kirk Drive Rolleston 7614
Event Name	Proposed Canterbury Air Regional Plan
Submission by	Selwyn District Council (Ms Jessica Tuilaepa)
Submission ID	pCARP-1112
Response Date	1/05/15 11:55 AM
Consultation Point	5.4 Paragraph (View)
Status	Submitted
Submission Type	Web
Version	0.5

Support Oppose

Supports in Part or Opposes in Part

State concisely whether you support or oppose the provision being submitted on, or wish to have amendments made.

My submission is that: . Oppose

Please summarise decision requested

Planner - Summary of Decision Requested

Amend Objective 5.4 to add the words:

...while recognising that some significant activities may involve a limited decrease in these values...

following the words "receiving environment".

Air Shed

Which Air Shed does this submission relate to or none . Not Air Shed Related

Choose one of the following three . Recommend Accept in Part

Tick relevant topics

Make Submission

Consultee	Ms Jessica Tuilaepa (63010)
Email Address	jessica.tuilaepa@selwyn.govt.nz
Company / Organisation	Selwyn District Council
Address	2 Norman Kirk Drive Rolleston 7614
Event Name	Proposed Canterbury Air Regional Plan
Submission by	Selwyn District Council (Ms Jessica Tuilaepa)
Submission ID	pCARP-1113
Response Date	1/05/15 11:55 AM
Consultation Point	5.7 Paragraph (View)
Status	Submitted
Submission Type	Web
Version	0.4

Support Oppose

Supports in Part or Opposes in Part

State concisely whether you support or oppose the provision being submitted on, or wish to have amendments made.

My submission is that: . Support

Please summarise decision requested

Planner - Summary of Decision Requested

Retain the references to regionally significant infrastructure in Objective 5.7.

Air Shed

Which Air Shed does this submission relate to or none . Not Air Shed Related

Choose one of the following three . Recommend Accept

Tick relevant topics

Make Submission

Consultee Ms Jessica Tuilaepa (63010)
Email Address jessica.tuilaepa@selwyn.govt.nz
Company / Organisation Selwyn District Council
Address 2 Norman Kirk Drive
Rolleston
7614
Event Name Proposed Canterbury Air Regional Plan
Submission by Selwyn District Council (Ms Jessica Tuilaepa)
Submission ID pCARP-1114
Response Date 1/05/15 11:55 AM
Consultation Point 5.7 Paragraph ([View](#))
Status Submitted
Submission Type Web
Version 0.4

Support Oppose

Supports in Part or Opposes in Part

State concisely whether you support or oppose the provision being submitted on, or wish to have amendments made.

My submission is that: . Oppose

Please summarise decision requested

Planner - Summary of Decision Requested

Amend Objective 5.7 to add a reference to local infrastructure.

Air Shed

Which Air Shed does this submission relate to or none . Not Air Shed Related

Choose one of the following three . Recommend Reject

Tick relevant topics

Make Submission

Consultee	Ms Jessica Tuilaepa (63010)
Email Address	jessica.tuilaepa@selwyn.govt.nz
Company / Organisation	Selwyn District Council
Address	2 Norman Kirk Drive Rolleston 7614
Event Name	Proposed Canterbury Air Regional Plan
Submission by	Selwyn District Council (Ms Jessica Tuilaepa)
Submission ID	pCARP-1115
Response Date	1/05/15 11:55 AM
Consultation Point	5 Objectives (View)
Status	Submitted
Submission Type	Web
Version	0.4

Support Oppose

Supports in Part or Opposes in Part

State concisely whether you support or oppose the provision being submitted on, or wish to have amendments made.

My submission is that: . Oppose

Please summarise decision requested

Planner - Summary of Decision Requested

Amend Objective 5.7 to add a reference to local infrastructure.

Air Shed

Which Air Shed does this submission relate to or none . Not Air Shed Related

Choose one of the following three . Recommend Accept in Part

Tick relevant topics

Make Submission

Consultee	Ms Jessica Tuilaepa (63010)
Email Address	jessica.tuilaepa@selwyn.govt.nz
Company / Organisation	Selwyn District Council
Address	2 Norman Kirk Drive Rolleston 7614
Event Name	Proposed Canterbury Air Regional Plan
Submission by	Selwyn District Council (Ms Jessica Tuilaepa)
Submission ID	pCARP-1116
Response Date	1/05/15 11:55 AM
Consultation Point	6.1 Paragraph (View)
Status	Submitted
Submission Type	Web
Version	0.6

Support Oppose

Supports in Part or Opposes in Part

State concisely whether you support or oppose the provision being submitted on, or wish to have amendments made.

My submission is that: . Oppose

Please summarise decision requested

Planner - Summary of Decision Requested

Amend policy 6.1(a) to read: "significant adverse effects on human health and wellbeing;" and

Amend policy 6.1(d) to read: "significant adverse effects on the mauri/life supporting capacity of ecosystems, plants or animals."

Air Shed

Which Air Shed does this submission relate to or none . Not Air Shed Related

Choose one of the following three . Recommend Reject

Tick relevant topics

Make Submission

Consultee	Ms Jessica Tuilaepa (63010)
Email Address	jessica.tuilaepa@selwyn.govt.nz
Company / Organisation	Selwyn District Council
Address	2 Norman Kirk Drive Rolleston 7614
Event Name	Proposed Canterbury Air Regional Plan
Submission by	Selwyn District Council (Ms Jessica Tuilaepa)
Submission ID	pCARP-1117
Response Date	1/05/15 11:55 AM
Consultation Point	6.5 Paragraph (View)
Status	Submitted
Submission Type	Web
Version	0.7

Support Oppose

Supports in Part or Opposes in Part

State concisely whether you support or oppose the provision being submitted on, or wish to have amendments made.

My submission is that: Support Oppose

Please summarise decision requested

Planner - Summary of Decision Requested

Amend policy 6.5 to read:

Amend policy 6.5 to read:

"Identify and manage, appropriately in relation to the location, the frequency, duration, and seriousness of discharges of contaminants resulting in offensive and/or objectionable effects beyond the property on which the emission occurs."

Air Shed

Which Air Shed does this submission relate to or None Not Air Shed Related

Choose one of the following three

. Recommend Accept in Part

Tick relevant topics

Make Submission

Consultee	Ms Jessica Tuilaepa (63010)
Email Address	jessica.tuilaepa@selwyn.govt.nz
Company / Organisation	Selwyn District Council
Address	2 Norman Kirk Drive Rolleston 7614
Event Name	Proposed Canterbury Air Regional Plan
Submission by	Selwyn District Council (Ms Jessica Tuilaepa)
Submission ID	pCARP-1118
Response Date	1/05/15 11:55 AM
Consultation Point	6.7 Paragraph (View)
Status	Submitted
Submission Type	Web
Version	0.5

Support Oppose

Supports in Part or Opposes in Part

State concisely whether you support or oppose the provision being submitted on, or wish to have amendments made.

My submission is that: Support Oppose

Please summarise decision requested

Planner - Summary of Decision Requested

Delete policy 6.7 and replace with the following:

"Take account of reverse sensitivity implications associated with existing activities when making decisions with respect to authorising land use changes, to ensure that any changes proposed will allow discharges from the existing activity to continue at the level that prevailed at the time that the changes were approved; or can reasonably be mitigated to reduce the adverse effects."

Air Shed

Which Air Shed does this submission relate to or none Air Shed 1 Air Shed 2 Air Shed 3 Air Shed 4 Air Shed 5 Air Shed 6 Air Shed 7 Air Shed 8 Air Shed 9 Air Shed 10 Air Shed 11 Air Shed 12 Air Shed 13 Air Shed 14 Air Shed 15 Air Shed 16 Air Shed 17 Air Shed 18 Air Shed 19 Air Shed 20 Air Shed 21 Air Shed 22 Air Shed 23 Air Shed 24 Air Shed 25 Air Shed 26 Air Shed 27 Air Shed 28 Air Shed 29 Air Shed 30 Air Shed 31 Air Shed 32 Air Shed 33 Air Shed 34 Air Shed 35 Air Shed 36 Air Shed 37 Air Shed 38 Air Shed 39 Air Shed 40 Air Shed 41 Air Shed 42 Air Shed 43 Air Shed 44 Air Shed 45 Air Shed 46 Air Shed 47 Air Shed 48 Air Shed 49 Air Shed 50 Air Shed 51 Air Shed 52 Air Shed 53 Air Shed 54 Air Shed 55 Air Shed 56 Air Shed 57 Air Shed 58 Air Shed 59 Air Shed 60 Air Shed 61 Air Shed 62 Air Shed 63 Air Shed 64 Air Shed 65 Air Shed 66 Air Shed 67 Air Shed 68 Air Shed 69 Air Shed 70 Air Shed 71 Air Shed 72 Air Shed 73 Air Shed 74 Air Shed 75 Air Shed 76 Air Shed 77 Air Shed 78 Air Shed 79 Air Shed 80 Air Shed 81 Air Shed 82 Air Shed 83 Air Shed 84 Air Shed 85 Air Shed 86 Air Shed 87 Air Shed 88 Air Shed 89 Air Shed 90 Air Shed 91 Air Shed 92 Air Shed 93 Air Shed 94 Air Shed 95 Air Shed 96 Air Shed 97 Air Shed 98 Air Shed 99 Air Shed 100 Not Air Shed Related

Choose one of the following three

. Recommend Reject

Tick relevant topics

Make Submission

Consultee	Ms Jessica Tuilaepa (63010)
Email Address	jessica.tuilaepa@selwyn.govt.nz
Company / Organisation	Selwyn District Council
Address	2 Norman Kirk Drive Rolleston 7614
Event Name	Proposed Canterbury Air Regional Plan
Submission by	Selwyn District Council (Ms Jessica Tuilaepa)
Submission ID	pCARP-1119
Response Date	1/05/15 11:55 AM
Consultation Point	6.7 Paragraph (View)
Status	Submitted
Submission Type	Web
Version	0.4

Support Oppose

Supports in Part or Opposes in Part

State concisely whether you support or oppose the provision being submitted on, or wish to have amendments made.

My submission is that: Support Oppose

Please summarise decision requested

Planner - Summary of Decision Requested

Provide appropriate alternative relief relating to a policy statement of similar effect to the following which is consistent with the Canterbury Regional Policy Statement Policy 14.3.5(1):

"Take account of reverse sensitivity implications associated with existing activities when making decisions with respect to authorising land use changes, to ensure that any changes proposed will allow discharges from the existing activity to continue at the level that prevailed at the time that the changes were approved, or can reasonably be mitigated to reduce the adverse effects."

Air Shed

Which Air Shed does this submission relate to or None Not Air Shed Related

Choose one of the following three

. Recommend Accept in Part

Tick relevant topics

Make Submission

Consultee	Ms Jessica Tuilaepa (63010)
Email Address	jessica.tuilaepa@selwyn.govt.nz
Company / Organisation	Selwyn District Council
Address	2 Norman Kirk Drive Rolleston 7614
Event Name	Proposed Canterbury Air Regional Plan
Submission by	Selwyn District Council (Ms Jessica Tuilaepa)
Submission ID	pCARP-1120
Response Date	1/05/15 11:55 AM
Consultation Point	6.8 Paragraph (View)
Status	Submitted
Submission Type	Web
Version	0.3

Support Oppose

Supports in Part or Opposes in Part

State concisely whether you support or oppose the provision being submitted on, or wish to have amendments made.

My submission is that: . Support

Please summarise decision requested

Planner - Summary of Decision Requested

Retain Policy 6.8.

Air Shed

Which Air Shed does this submission relate to or none . Not Air Shed Related

Choose one of the following three . Recommend Accept

Tick relevant topics

Make Submission

Consultee	Ms Jessica Tuilaepa (63010)
Email Address	jessica.tuilaepa@selwyn.govt.nz
Company / Organisation	Selwyn District Council
Address	2 Norman Kirk Drive Rolleston 7614
Event Name	Proposed Canterbury Air Regional Plan
Submission by	Selwyn District Council (Ms Jessica Tuilaepa)
Submission ID	pCARP-1121
Response Date	1/05/15 11:55 AM
Consultation Point	6.10 Paragraph (View)
Status	Submitted
Submission Type	Web
Version	0.7

Support Oppose

Supports in Part or Opposes in Part

State concisely whether you support or oppose the provision being submitted on, or wish to have amendments made.

My submission is that: Support Oppose

Please summarise decision requested

Planner - Summary of Decision Requested

Amend Policy 6.10 as follows:

Where appropriate existing activities that discharge contaminants into air shall adopt best practicable option to avoid or mitigate offensive or objectionable effects on air quality beyond the boundary of the property from which these originate.

Air Shed

Which Air Shed does this submission relate to or none Air Shed 1 Air Shed 2 Air Shed 3 Air Shed 4 Air Shed 5 Air Shed 6 Air Shed 7 Air Shed 8 Air Shed 9 Air Shed 10 Air Shed 11 Air Shed 12 Air Shed 13 Air Shed 14 Air Shed 15 Air Shed 16 Air Shed 17 Air Shed 18 Air Shed 19 Air Shed 20 Air Shed 21 Air Shed 22 Air Shed 23 Air Shed 24 Air Shed 25 Air Shed 26 Air Shed 27 Air Shed 28 Air Shed 29 Air Shed 30 Air Shed 31 Air Shed 32 Air Shed 33 Air Shed 34 Air Shed 35 Air Shed 36 Air Shed 37 Air Shed 38 Air Shed 39 Air Shed 40 Air Shed 41 Air Shed 42 Air Shed 43 Air Shed 44 Air Shed 45 Air Shed 46 Air Shed 47 Air Shed 48 Air Shed 49 Air Shed 50 Air Shed 51 Air Shed 52 Air Shed 53 Air Shed 54 Air Shed 55 Air Shed 56 Air Shed 57 Air Shed 58 Air Shed 59 Air Shed 60 Air Shed 61 Air Shed 62 Air Shed 63 Air Shed 64 Air Shed 65 Air Shed 66 Air Shed 67 Air Shed 68 Air Shed 69 Air Shed 70 Air Shed 71 Air Shed 72 Air Shed 73 Air Shed 74 Air Shed 75 Air Shed 76 Air Shed 77 Air Shed 78 Air Shed 79 Air Shed 80 Air Shed 81 Air Shed 82 Air Shed 83 Air Shed 84 Air Shed 85 Air Shed 86 Air Shed 87 Air Shed 88 Air Shed 89 Air Shed 90 Air Shed 91 Air Shed 92 Air Shed 93 Air Shed 94 Air Shed 95 Air Shed 96 Air Shed 97 Air Shed 98 Air Shed 99 Air Shed 100 Not Air Shed Related

Choose one of the following three

. Recommend Accept in Part

Tick relevant topics

Make Submission

Consultee	Ms Jessica Tuilaepa (63010)
Email Address	jessica.tuilaepa@selwyn.govt.nz
Company / Organisation	Selwyn District Council
Address	2 Norman Kirk Drive Rolleston 7614
Event Name	Proposed Canterbury Air Regional Plan
Submission by	Selwyn District Council (Ms Jessica Tuilaepa)
Submission ID	pCARP-1122
Response Date	1/05/15 11:55 AM
Consultation Point	6.11 Paragraph (View)
Status	Submitted
Submission Type	Web
Version	0.4

Support Oppose

Supports in Part or Opposes in Part

State concisely whether you support or oppose the provision being submitted on, or wish to have amendments made.

My submission is that: . Support

Please summarise decision requested

Planner - Summary of Decision Requested

Retain Policy 6.11.

Air Shed

Which Air Shed does this submission relate to or none . Not Air Shed Related

Choose one of the following three . Recommend Accept

Tick relevant topics

Make Submission

Consultee	Ms Jessica Tuilaepa (63010)
Email Address	jessica.tuilaepa@selwyn.govt.nz
Company / Organisation	Selwyn District Council
Address	2 Norman Kirk Drive Rolleston 7614
Event Name	Proposed Canterbury Air Regional Plan
Submission by	Selwyn District Council (Ms Jessica Tuilaepa)
Submission ID	pCARP-1123
Response Date	1/05/15 11:55 AM
Consultation Point	Central Policies Applying to All Activities (View)
Status	Submitted
Submission Type	Web
Version	0.7

Support Oppose

Supports in Part or Opposes in Part

State concisely whether you support or oppose the provision being submitted on, or wish to have amendments made.

My submission is that: . Support

Please summarise decision requested

Planner - Summary of Decision Requested

Insert additional policy as follows

Recognise the contribution of local infrastructure to the economic, social cultural wellbeing and health of communities.

Air Shed

Which Air Shed does this submission relate to or none . Not Air Shed Related

Choose one of the following three . Recommend Accept in Part

Tick relevant topics

Make Submission

Consultee Ms Jessica Tuilaepa (63010)
Email Address jessica.tuilaepa@selwyn.govt.nz
Company / Organisation Selwyn District Council
Address 2 Norman Kirk Drive
Rolleston
7614
Event Name Proposed Canterbury Air Regional Plan
Submission by Selwyn District Council (Ms Jessica Tuilaepa)
Submission ID pCARP-1124
Response Date 1/05/15 11:55 AM
Consultation Point 6.12 Paragraph ([View](#))
Status Submitted
Submission Type Web
Version 0.5

Support Oppose

Supports in Part or Opposes in Part

State concisely whether you support or oppose the provision being submitted on, or wish to have amendments made.

My submission is that: . Oppose

Please summarise decision requested

Planner - Summary of Decision Requested

Delete Policy 6.12.

Air Shed

Which Air Shed does this submission relate to or none . Not Air Shed Related

Choose one of the following three . Recommend Reject

Tick relevant topics

Make Submission

Consultee	Ms Jessica Tuilaepa (63010)
Email Address	jessica.tuilaepa@selwyn.govt.nz
Company / Organisation	Selwyn District Council
Address	2 Norman Kirk Drive Rolleston 7614
Event Name	Proposed Canterbury Air Regional Plan
Submission by	Selwyn District Council (Ms Jessica Tuilaepa)
Submission ID	pCARP-1125
Response Date	1/05/15 11:55 AM
Consultation Point	6.15 Paragraph (View)
Status	Submitted
Submission Type	Web
Version	0.5

Support Oppose

Supports in Part or Opposes in Part

State concisely whether you support or oppose the provision being submitted on, or wish to have amendments made.

My submission is that: Support Oppose

Please summarise decision requested

Planner - Summary of Decision Requested

Amend policy 6.15 to read:

Provide for the outdoor burning of organic material, in rural areas, and where crop residue is to be burnt or fires are likely to be of an extended duration are undertaken in accordance with Schedule 3.

Air Shed

Which Air Shed does this submission relate to or none Air Shed 1 Air Shed 2 Air Shed 3 Not Air Shed Related

Choose one of the following three Recommend Accept Recommend Amend Recommend Accept in Part

Tick relevant topics

Make Submission

Consultee	Ms Jessica Tuilaepa (63010)
Email Address	jessica.tuilaepa@selwyn.govt.nz
Company / Organisation	Selwyn District Council
Address	2 Norman Kirk Drive Rolleston 7614
Event Name	Proposed Canterbury Air Regional Plan
Submission by	Selwyn District Council (Ms Jessica Tuilaepa)
Submission ID	pCARP-1126
Response Date	1/05/15 11:55 AM
Consultation Point	6.16 Paragraph (View)
Status	Submitted
Submission Type	Web
Version	0.5

Support Oppose

Supports in Part or Opposes in Part

State concisely whether you support or oppose the provision being submitted on, or wish to have amendments made.

My submission is that: . Oppose

Please summarise decision requested

Planner - Summary of Decision Requested

Amend Policy 6.16 as follows:

Avoid the discharge into air of contaminants from the burning of non-organic material in rural areas which result in significant adverse effects on the environment.

Air Shed

Which Air Shed does this submission relate to or none . Not Air Shed Related

Choose one of the following three . Recommend Reject

Tick relevant topics

Make Submission

Consultee	Ms Jessica Tuilaepa (63010)
Email Address	jessica.tuilaepa@selwyn.govt.nz
Company / Organisation	Selwyn District Council
Address	2 Norman Kirk Drive Rolleston 7614
Event Name	Proposed Canterbury Air Regional Plan
Submission by	Selwyn District Council (Ms Jessica Tuilaepa)
Submission ID	pCARP-1127
Response Date	1/05/15 11:55 AM
Consultation Point	6.17 Paragraph (View)
Status	Submitted
Submission Type	Web
Version	0.7
Support Oppose	
Supports in Part or Opposes in Part	

State concisely whether you support or oppose the provision being submitted on, or wish to have amendments made.

My submission is that: Support Oppose

Please summarise decision requested

Planner - Summary of Decision Requested

Amend policy 6.17 to replace the term "townships" with the term "urban zoned areas."

Air Shed

Which Air Shed does this submission relate to or none Air Shed Related Not Air Shed Related

Choose one of the following three Recommend Amend Recommend Accept in Part

Tick relevant topics

Make Submission

Consultee	Ms Jessica Tuilaepa (63010)
Email Address	jessica.tuilaepa@selwyn.govt.nz
Company / Organisation	Selwyn District Council
Address	2 Norman Kirk Drive Rolleston 7614
Event Name	Proposed Canterbury Air Regional Plan
Submission by	Selwyn District Council (Ms Jessica Tuilaepa)
Submission ID	pCARP-1128
Response Date	1/05/15 11:55 AM
Consultation Point	6.18 Paragraph (View)
Status	Submitted
Submission Type	Web
Version	0.8

Support Oppose

Supports in Part or Opposes in Part

State concisely whether you support or oppose the provision being submitted on, or wish to have amendments made.

My submission is that: . Oppose

Please summarise decision requested

Planner - Summary of Decision Requested

Amend Policy 6.18 as follows:

" Minimise the outdoor burning of material in urban areas, except for the purpose of fire-fighting research and training, and hangi, barbeque or other small scale or domestic outdoor cooking device. "

Air Shed

Which Air Shed does this submission relate to or none . Not Air Shed Related

Choose one of the following three . Recommend Reject

Tick relevant topics

Make Submission

Consultee	Ms Jessica Tuilaepa (63010)
Email Address	jessica.tuilaepa@selwyn.govt.nz
Company / Organisation	Selwyn District Council
Address	2 Norman Kirk Drive Rolleston 7614
Event Name	Proposed Canterbury Air Regional Plan
Submission by	Selwyn District Council (Ms Jessica Tuilaepa)
Submission ID	pCARP-1129
Response Date	1/05/15 11:55 AM
Consultation Point	6.19 Paragraph (View)
Status	Submitted
Submission Type	Web
Version	0.5

Support Oppose

Supports in Part or Opposes in Part

State concisely whether you support or oppose the provision being submitted on, or wish to have amendments made.

My submission is that: . Oppose

Please summarise decision requested

Planner - Summary of Decision Requested

Amend Policy 6.19 as follows:

Enable discharges of contaminants into air associated with large scale industrial and trade activities, and nationally and regionally significant and local infrastructure, in locations where the discharge is as far as possible compatible with or necessary to support the surrounding land use pattern, and also ensure the mitigation of adverse effects.

Air Shed

Which Air Shed does this submission relate to or none . Not Air Shed Related

Choose one of the following three . Recommend Accept in Part

Tick relevant topics

Make Submission

Consultee	Ms Jessica Tuilaepa (63010)
Email Address	jessica.tuilaepa@selwyn.govt.nz
Company / Organisation	Selwyn District Council
Address	2 Norman Kirk Drive Rolleston 7614
Event Name	Proposed Canterbury Air Regional Plan
Submission by	Selwyn District Council (Ms Jessica Tuilaepa)
Submission ID	pCARP-1130
Response Date	1/05/15 11:55 AM
Consultation Point	6.21 Paragraph (View)
Status	Submitted
Submission Type	Web
Version	0.5

Support Oppose

Supports in Part or Opposes in Part

State concisely whether you support or oppose the provision being submitted on, or wish to have amendments made.

My submission is that: . Oppose

Please summarise decision requested

Planner - Summary of Decision Requested

Amend policy 6.21 by replacing the word "avoid" with "minimise or offset."

Air Shed

Which Air Shed does this submission relate to or none . Not Air Shed Related

Choose one of the following three . Recommend Accept in Part

Tick relevant topics

Make Submission

Consultee	Ms Jessica Tuilaepa (63010)
Email Address	jessica.tuilaepa@selwyn.govt.nz
Company / Organisation	Selwyn District Council
Address	2 Norman Kirk Drive Rolleston 7614
Event Name	Proposed Canterbury Air Regional Plan
Submission by	Selwyn District Council (Ms Jessica Tuilaepa)
Submission ID	pCARP-1131
Response Date	1/05/15 11:55 AM
Consultation Point	8 Schedules (View)
Status	Submitted
Submission Type	Web
Version	0.3

Support Oppose

Supports in Part or Opposes in Part

State concisely whether you support or oppose the provision being submitted on, or wish to have amendments made.

My submission is that: Support

Please summarise decision requested

Planner - Summary of Decision Requested

Insert additional Schedule containing diagrams in Table 1 and Figure AQL2 of the NRRP (pg.3-29/30) relating to the Ambient Air Quality Guidelines.

Air Shed

Which Air Shed does this submission relate to or none Not Air Shed Related

Choose one of the following three

. Recommend Accept in Part

Tick relevant topics

Make Submission

Consultee	Ms Jessica Tuilaepa (63010)
Email Address	jessica.tuilaepa@selwyn.govt.nz
Company / Organisation	Selwyn District Council
Address	2 Norman Kirk Drive Rolleston 7614
Event Name	Proposed Canterbury Air Regional Plan
Submission by	Selwyn District Council (Ms Jessica Tuilaepa)
Submission ID	pCARP-1132
Response Date	1/05/15 11:55 AM
Consultation Point	6.24 Paragraph (View)
Status	Submitted
Submission Type	Web
Version	0.6

Support Oppose

Supports in Part or Opposes in Part

State concisely whether you support or oppose the provision being submitted on, or wish to have amendments made.

My submission is that: Support Oppose

Please summarise decision requested

Planner - Summary of Decision Requested

Amend policy 6.24 to read:

"Enable discharges of contaminants into air from waste management processes, excluding combustion of waste, in locations where the discharge is as far as possible compatible with the surrounding land use pattern and where the discharge does not cause noxious or dangerous effects."

Air Shed

Which Air Shed does this submission relate to or none Air Shed Related Not Air Shed Related

Choose one of the following three

. Recommend Accept in Part

Tick relevant topics

Make Submission

Consultee	Ms Jessica Tuilaepa (63010)
Email Address	jessica.tuilaepa@selwyn.govt.nz
Company / Organisation	Selwyn District Council
Address	2 Norman Kirk Drive Rolleston 7614
Event Name	Proposed Canterbury Air Regional Plan
Submission by	Selwyn District Council (Ms Jessica Tuilaepa)
Submission ID	pCARP-1133
Response Date	1/05/15 11:55 AM
Consultation Point	6.26 Paragraph (View)
Status	Submitted
Submission Type	Web
Version	0.6
Support Oppose	
	Supports in Part or Opposes in Part

State concisely whether you support or oppose the provision being submitted on, or wish to have amendments made.

My submission is that: Support Oppose

Please give precise details for each provision. The more specific you can be the easier it will be for the Council to understand the outcome you are seeking.

I seek the following decisions from Environment Canterbury:

Please summarise decision requested

Planner - Summary of Decision Requested

Amend policy 6.26 to read:

"The discharge of contaminants into air associated in Rural Zones associated with any activity other than the use of agri-chemicals and fertilisers does not cause offensive and objectionable effects beyond the boundary of the property of origin."

Air Shed

Which Air Shed does this submission relate to or none . Not Air Shed Related

Choose one of the following three . Recommend Accept in Part

Tick relevant topics

Make Submission

Consultee	Ms Jessica Tuilaepa (63010)
Email Address	jessica.tuilaepa@selwyn.govt.nz
Company / Organisation	Selwyn District Council
Address	2 Norman Kirk Drive Rolleston 7614
Event Name	Proposed Canterbury Air Regional Plan
Submission by	Selwyn District Council (Ms Jessica Tuilaepa)
Submission ID	pCARP-1134
Response Date	1/05/15 11:55 AM
Consultation Point	Space heating in Clean Air Zones (View)
Status	Submitted
Submission Type	Web
Version	0.6

Support Oppose

Supports in Part or Opposes in Part

State concisely whether you support or oppose the provision being submitted on, or wish to have amendments made.

My submission is that: . Support

Please summarise decision requested

Planner - Summary of Decision Requested

Insert an additional policy to read:

"On sites outside of urban Clean Air Zones anywhere in the Region allow the discharges to air from open-fires and enclosed burners that are not classified as low-emitting or ultra-low."

Air Shed

- Which Air Shed does this submission relate to or none** . Not Air Shed Related
. Home Heating
- Choose one of the following three** . Recommend Accept in Part

Tick relevant topics

Make Submission

Consultee	Ms Jessica Tuilaepa (63010)
Email Address	jessica.tuilaepa@selwyn.govt.nz
Company / Organisation	Selwyn District Council
Address	2 Norman Kirk Drive Rolleston 7614
Event Name	Proposed Canterbury Air Regional Plan
Submission by	Selwyn District Council (Ms Jessica Tuilaepa)
Submission ID	pCARP-1135
Response Date	1/05/15 11:55 AM
Consultation Point	6.33 Paragraph (View)
Status	Submitted
Submission Type	Web
Version	0.5

Support Oppose

Supports in Part or Opposes in Part

State concisely whether you support or oppose the provision being submitted on, or wish to have amendments made.

My submission is that: . Oppose

Please summarise decision requested

Planner - Summary of Decision Requested

Amend policy 6.33 to read:

"On all sites greater than 2 hectares in area, provide for discharges of contaminants into air from older-style, low and ultra-low emitting burners and open-fires installed prior to 1 January 2013."

Air Shed

Which Air Shed does this submission relate to or none . Not Air Shed Related
. Home Heating

Choose one of the following three . Recommend Accept in Part

Tick relevant topics

Make Submission

Consultee	Ms Jessica Tuilaepa (63010)
Email Address	jessica.tuilaepa@selwyn.govt.nz
Company / Organisation	Selwyn District Council
Address	2 Norman Kirk Drive Rolleston 7614
Event Name	Proposed Canterbury Air Regional Plan
Submission by	Selwyn District Council (Ms Jessica Tuilaepa)
Submission ID	pCARP-1136
Response Date	1/05/15 11:55 AM
Consultation Point	6.35 Paragraph (View)
Status	Submitted
Submission Type	Web
Version	0.5
Support Oppose	
Supports in Part or Opposes in Part	

State concisely whether you support or oppose the provision being submitted on, or wish to have amendments made.

My submission is that: . Oppose

Please summarise decision requested

Planner - Summary of Decision Requested

Amend Policy 6.35 by adding the following words:

" installed on or after 1 January 2013 " after the words "use of open fires".

Air Shed

Which Air Shed does this submission relate to or none . Not Air Shed Related
. Home Heating

Choose one of the following three . Recommend Accept in Part

Tick relevant topics

Make Submission

Consultee Ms Jessica Tuilaepa (63010)

Email Address jessica.tuilaepa@selwyn.govt.nz

Company / Organisation Selwyn District Council

Address 2 Norman Kirk Drive
Rolleston
7614

Event Name Proposed Canterbury Air Regional Plan

Submission by Selwyn District Council (Ms Jessica Tuilaepa)

Submission ID pCARP-1137

Response Date 1/05/15 11:55 AM

Consultation Point 7.3 Paragraph ([View](#))

Status Submitted

Submission Type Web

Version 0.3

Support Oppose

Supports in Part or Opposes in Part

State concisely whether you support or oppose the provision being submitted on, or wish to have amendments made.

My submission is that: . Oppose

Please summarise decision requested

Planner - Summary of Decision Requested

Delete Rule 7.3.

Air Shed

Which Air Shed does this submission relate to or none . Not Air Shed Related

Choose one of the following three . Recommend Reject

Tick relevant topics

Make Submission

Consultee	Ms Jessica Tuilaepa (63010)
Email Address	jessica.tuilaepa@selwyn.govt.nz
Company / Organisation	Selwyn District Council
Address	2 Norman Kirk Drive Rolleston 7614
Event Name	Proposed Canterbury Air Regional Plan
Submission by	Selwyn District Council (Ms Jessica Tuilaepa)
Submission ID	pCARP-1138
Response Date	1/05/15 11:55 AM
Consultation Point	7.3 Paragraph (View)
Status	Submitted
Submission Type	Web
Version	0.3

Support Oppose

Supports in Part or Opposes in Part

State concisely whether you support or oppose the provision being submitted on, or wish to have amendments made.

My submission is that: Support Oppose

Please summarise decision requested

Planner - Summary of Decision Requested

Provide for appropriate alternative relief which requires amending Rule 7.3 as follows:

except where provided for under a separate rule the discharge ...

Air Shed

Which Air Shed does this submission relate to or none Air Shed Related Not Air Shed Related

Choose one of the following three Recommend Amend Reject

Tick relevant topics

Make Submission

Consultee	Ms Jessica Tuilaepa (63010)
Email Address	jessica.tuilaepa@selwyn.govt.nz
Company / Organisation	Selwyn District Council
Address	2 Norman Kirk Drive Rolleston 7614
Event Name	Proposed Canterbury Air Regional Plan
Submission by	Selwyn District Council (Ms Jessica Tuilaepa)
Submission ID	pCARP-1139
Response Date	1/05/15 11:55 AM
Consultation Point	7.4 Paragraph (View)
Status	Submitted
Submission Type	Web
Version	0.3

Support Oppose

Supports in Part or Opposes in Part

State concisely whether you support or oppose the provision being submitted on, or wish to have amendments made.

My submission is that: . Support

Please summarise decision requested

Planner - Summary of Decision Requested

Retain Rule 7.4.

Air Shed

Which Air Shed does this submission relate to or none . Not Air Shed Related

Choose one of the following three . Recommend Accept

Tick relevant topics

Make Submission

Consultee Ms Jessica Tuilaepa (63010)

Email Address jessica.tuilaepa@selwyn.govt.nz

Company / Organisation Selwyn District Council

Address 2 Norman Kirk Drive
Rolleston
7614

Event Name Proposed Canterbury Air Regional Plan

Submission by Selwyn District Council (Ms Jessica Tuilaepa)

Submission ID pCARP-1140

Response Date 1/05/15 11:55 AM

Consultation Point 7.5 Paragraph ([View](#))

Status Submitted

Submission Type Web

Version 0.3

Support Oppose

Supports in Part or Opposes in Part

State concisely whether you support or oppose the provision being submitted on, or wish to have amendments made.

My submission is that: . Oppose

Please summarise decision requested

Planner - Summary of Decision Requested

Amend Rule 7.5 to a "discretionary activity" and ensure that the preparation of a Smoke Management Plan is a condition of obtaining a discretionary consent under Rule 7.10, where Condition 2 of Rule 7.10 cannot be met.

Air Shed

Which Air Shed does this submission relate to or none . Not Air Shed Related

Choose one of the following three . Recommend Accept in Part

Tick relevant topics

Make Submission

Consultee	Ms Jessica Tuilaepa (63010)
Email Address	jessica.tuilaepa@selwyn.govt.nz
Company / Organisation	Selwyn District Council
Address	2 Norman Kirk Drive Rolleston 7614
Event Name	Proposed Canterbury Air Regional Plan
Submission by	Selwyn District Council (Ms Jessica Tuilaepa)
Submission ID	pCARP-1141
Response Date	1/05/15 11:55 AM
Consultation Point	7.10 Paragraph (View)
Status	Submitted
Submission Type	Web
Version	0.5

Support Oppose

Supports in Part or Opposes in Part

State concisely whether you support or oppose the provision being submitted on, or wish to have amendments made.

My submission is that: . Oppose

Please summarise decision requested

Planner - Summary of Decision Requested

Amend rule 7.10(3) to remove the requirement for material being left for at least 6 weeks, and simply require that "vegetation must be dry".

Air Shed

Which Air Shed does this submission relate to or none . Not Air Shed Related

Choose one of the following three . Recommend Accept in Part

Tick relevant topics

Make Submission

Consultee	Ms Jessica Tuilaepa (63010)
Email Address	jessica.tuilaepa@selwyn.govt.nz
Company / Organisation	Selwyn District Council
Address	2 Norman Kirk Drive Rolleston 7614
Event Name	Proposed Canterbury Air Regional Plan
Submission by	Selwyn District Council (Ms Jessica Tuilaepa)
Submission ID	pCARP-1142
Response Date	1/05/15 11:55 AM
Consultation Point	7.10 Paragraph (View)
Status	Submitted
Submission Type	Web
Version	0.4

Support Oppose

Supports in Part or Opposes in Part

State concisely whether you support or oppose the provision being submitted on, or wish to have amendments made.

My submission is that: . Support

Please summarise decision requested

Planner - Summary of Decision Requested

Insert new Condition in Rule 7.10 as follows:

A quantity of diesel oil or re-fined oil, not exceeding 10 litres per fire, may be used as an accelerant when undertaking outdoor burning of vegetation, paper, cardboard and untreated wood.

Air Shed

Which Air Shed does this submission relate to or none . Not Air Shed Related

Choose one of the following three . Recommend Accept in Part

Tick relevant topics

Make Submission

Consultee	Ms Jessica Tuilaepa (63010)
Email Address	jessica.tuilaepa@selwyn.govt.nz
Company / Organisation	Selwyn District Council
Address	2 Norman Kirk Drive Rolleston 7614
Event Name	Proposed Canterbury Air Regional Plan
Submission by	Selwyn District Council (Ms Jessica Tuilaepa)
Submission ID	pCARP-1143
Response Date	1/05/15 11:55 AM
Consultation Point	7.10 Paragraph (View)
Status	Submitted
Submission Type	Web
Version	0.5
Support Oppose	
Supports in Part or Opposes in Part	

State concisely whether you support or oppose the provision being submitted on, or wish to have amendments made.

My submission is that: . Support

Please summarise decision requested

Planner - Summary of Decision Requested

Insert new condition in rule 7.10 to read:

"

Air Shed

Which Air Shed does this submission relate to or none . Not Air Shed Related

Choose one of the following three . Recommend Reject

Tick relevant topics

Make Submission

Consultee	Ms Jessica Tuilaepa (63010)
Email Address	jessica.tuilaepa@selwyn.govt.nz
Company / Organisation	Selwyn District Council
Address	2 Norman Kirk Drive Rolleston 7614
Event Name	Proposed Canterbury Air Regional Plan
Submission by	Selwyn District Council (Ms Jessica Tuilaepa)
Submission ID	pCARP-1144
Response Date	1/05/15 11:55 AM
Consultation Point	7.13 Paragraph (View)
Status	Submitted
Submission Type	Web
Version	0.4

Support Oppose

Supports in Part or Opposes in Part

State concisely whether you support or oppose the provision being submitted on, or wish to have amendments made.

My submission is that: Support Oppose

Please summarise decision requested

Planner - Summary of Decision Requested

Amend rule 7.13 to read after the words "for the purposes of":

"hangi, barbeque and other small scale or domestic cooking devices."

Air Shed

Which Air Shed does this submission relate to or none Air Shed Related Not Air Shed Related

Choose one of the following three Recommend Reject Recommend Reject

Tick relevant topics

Make Submission

Consultee	Ms Jessica Tuilaepa (63010)
Email Address	jessica.tuilaepa@selwyn.govt.nz
Company / Organisation	Selwyn District Council
Address	2 Norman Kirk Drive Rolleston 7614
Event Name	Proposed Canterbury Air Regional Plan
Submission by	Selwyn District Council (Ms Jessica Tuilaepa)
Submission ID	pCARP-1145
Response Date	1/05/15 11:55 AM
Consultation Point	7.29 Paragraph (View)
Status	Submitted
Submission Type	Web
Version	0.3

Support Oppose

Supports in Part or Opposes in Part

State concisely whether you support or oppose the provision being submitted on, or wish to have amendments made.

My submission is that: Support Oppose

Please summarise decision requested

Planner - Summary of Decision Requested

Amend Rule 7.29 to provide an exemption for infrastructure reticulation, if it is considered to be an industrial and trade premises.

Air Shed

Which Air Shed does this submission relate to or none Air Shed 1 Air Shed 2 Air Shed 3 Not Air Shed Related

Choose one of the following three Recommend Amend Reject

Tick relevant topics

Make Submission

Consultee	Ms Jessica Tuilaepa (63010)
Email Address	jessica.tuilaepa@selwyn.govt.nz
Company / Organisation	Selwyn District Council
Address	2 Norman Kirk Drive Rolleston 7614
Event Name	Proposed Canterbury Air Regional Plan
Submission by	Selwyn District Council (Ms Jessica Tuilaepa)
Submission ID	pCARP-1146
Response Date	1/05/15 11:55 AM
Consultation Point	7.30 Paragraph (View)
Status	Submitted
Submission Type	Web
Version	0.3

Support Oppose

Supports in Part or Opposes in Part

State concisely whether you support or oppose the provision being submitted on, or wish to have amendments made.

My submission is that: . Oppose

Please summarise decision requested

Planner - Summary of Decision Requested

Amend Rule 7.30 to as follows:

The discharge beyond the boundary of the property of origin of dust from subdivision development, and the installation of pipes irrespective of whether related to development, where less than 4ha of land is unsealed or unconsolidated at any one time is a permitted activity.

Air Shed

Which Air Shed does this submission relate to or none . Not Air Shed Related

Choose one of the following three

. Recommend Accept in Part

Tick relevant topics

Make Submission

Consultee	Ms Jessica Tuilaepa (63010)
Email Address	jessica.tuilaepa@selwyn.govt.nz
Company / Organisation	Selwyn District Council
Address	2 Norman Kirk Drive Rolleston 7614
Event Name	Proposed Canterbury Air Regional Plan
Submission by	Selwyn District Council (Ms Jessica Tuilaepa)
Submission ID	pCARP-1147
Response Date	1/05/15 11:55 AM
Consultation Point	7.31 Paragraph (View)
Status	Submitted
Submission Type	Web
Version	0.3

Support Oppose

Supports in Part or Opposes in Part

State concisely whether you support or oppose the provision being submitted on, or wish to have amendments made.

My submission is that: . Support

Please summarise decision requested

Planner - Summary of Decision Requested

Retain Rule 7.31.

Air Shed

Which Air Shed does this submission relate to or none . Not Air Shed Related

Choose one of the following three . Recommend Accept

Tick relevant topics

Make Submission

Consultee	Ms Jessica Tuilaepa (63010)
Email Address	jessica.tuilaepa@selwyn.govt.nz
Company / Organisation	Selwyn District Council
Address	2 Norman Kirk Drive Rolleston 7614
Event Name	Proposed Canterbury Air Regional Plan
Submission by	Selwyn District Council (Ms Jessica Tuilaepa)
Submission ID	pCARP-1148
Response Date	1/05/15 11:55 AM
Consultation Point	7.54 Paragraph (View)
Status	Submitted
Submission Type	Web
Version	0.3

Support Oppose

Supports in Part or Opposes in Part

State concisely whether you support or oppose the provision being submitted on, or wish to have amendments made.

My submission is that: Support Oppose

Please summarise decision requested

Planner - Summary of Decision Requested

Amend Rule 7.54 as follows:

Discharges of contaminants into air from solid waste transfer sites processing up to an average of 10 tonnes per day is a permitted activity.

Air Shed

Which Air Shed does this submission relate to or none Air Shed 1 Air Shed 2 Air Shed 3 Not Air Shed Related

Choose one of the following three Accept Recommend Recommend Accept in Part

Tick relevant topics

Make Submission

Consultee	Ms Jessica Tuilaepa (63010)
Email Address	jessica.tuilaepa@selwyn.govt.nz
Company / Organisation	Selwyn District Council
Address	2 Norman Kirk Drive Rolleston 7614
Event Name	Proposed Canterbury Air Regional Plan
Submission by	Selwyn District Council (Ms Jessica Tuilaepa)
Submission ID	pCARP-1149
Response Date	1/05/15 11:55 AM
Consultation Point	Industrial, trade and large scale discharges to air (View)
Status	Submitted
Submission Type	Web
Version	0.4
Support Oppose	

Supports in Part or Opposes in Part

State concisely whether you support or oppose the provision being submitted on, or wish to have amendments made.

My submission is that: . Support

Please summarise decision requested

Planner - Summary of Decision Requested

Insert a new rule as follows:

The discharge of contaminants into air from wastewater pumping stations and the reticulated sewer network is a permitted activity provided the following conditions are met:

1. The discharge does not occur within 50m of a sensitive site; and
2. The discharge does not cause a noxious or dangerous effect; and
3. If there is a discharge of odour or dust which is detectable beyond a distance of 20 metres from the property of origin, an odour and/or dust management plan prepared in accordance with Schedule 2 must be held and implemented by the persons responsible for the discharge into air; and
4. The odour and/or dust management plan is supplied to the CRC on request.

Air Shed

Which Air Shed does this submission relate to or none . Not Air Shed Related

Choose one of the following three . Recommend Accept in Part

Tick relevant topics

Make Submission

Consultee	Ms Jessica Tuilaepa (63010)
Email Address	jessica.tuilaepa@selwyn.govt.nz
Company / Organisation	Selwyn District Council
Address	2 Norman Kirk Drive Rolleston 7614
Event Name	Proposed Canterbury Air Regional Plan
Submission by	Selwyn District Council (Ms Jessica Tuilaepa)
Submission ID	pCARP-1150
Response Date	1/05/15 11:55 AM
Consultation Point	Industrial, trade and large scale discharges to air (View)
Status	Submitted
Submission Type	Web
Version	0.5
Support Oppose	

Supports in Part or Opposes in Part

State concisely whether you support or oppose the provision being submitted on, or wish to have amendments made.

My submission is that: . Support

Please summarise decision requested

Planner - Summary of Decision Requested

Insert a new rule as follows:

The discharge of contaminants into air from wastewater pumping stations and the reticulated sewer network is a permitted activity provided the following conditions are met:

1. The discharge does not occur within 50m of a sensitive site; and
2. The discharge does not cause a noxious or dangerous effect; and
3. If there is a discharge of odour or dust which is detectable beyond a distance of 20 metres from the property of origin, an odour and/or dust management plan prepared in accordance with Schedule 2 must be held and implemented by the persons responsible for the discharge into air; and
4. The odour and/or dust management plan is supplied to the CRC on request.

Provide consequential relief relating to insertion of the new rule.

Air Shed

Which Air Shed does this submission relate to or none . Not Air Shed Related

Choose one of the following three . Recommend Accept in Part

Tick relevant topics

Make Submission

Consultee	Ms Jessica Tuilaepa (63010)
Email Address	jessica.tuilaepa@selwyn.govt.nz
Company / Organisation	Selwyn District Council
Address	2 Norman Kirk Drive Rolleston 7614
Event Name	Proposed Canterbury Air Regional Plan
Submission by	Selwyn District Council (Ms Jessica Tuilaepa)
Submission ID	pCARP-1151
Response Date	1/05/15 11:55 AM
Consultation Point	7.55 Paragraph (View)
Status	Submitted
Submission Type	Web
Version	0.3
Support Oppose	
Supports in Part or Opposes in Part	

State concisely whether you support or oppose the provision being submitted on, or wish to have amendments made.

My submission is that: . Oppose

Please summarise decision requested

Planner - Summary of Decision Requested

Delete Condition 1 of Rule 7.55.

Air Shed

Which Air Shed does this submission relate to or none . Not Air Shed Related

Choose one of the following three . Recommend Reject

Tick relevant topics

Make Submission

Consultee	Ms Jessica Tuilaepa (63010)
Email Address	jessica.tuilaepa@selwyn.govt.nz
Company / Organisation	Selwyn District Council
Address	2 Norman Kirk Drive Rolleston 7614
Event Name	Proposed Canterbury Air Regional Plan
Submission by	Selwyn District Council (Ms Jessica Tuilaepa)
Submission ID	pCARP-1152
Response Date	1/05/15 11:55 AM
Consultation Point	7.56 Paragraph (View)
Status	Submitted
Submission Type	Web
Version	0.4

Support Oppose

Supports in Part or Opposes in Part

State concisely whether you support or oppose the provision being submitted on, or wish to have amendments made.

My submission is that: . Oppose

Please summarise decision requested

Planner - Summary of Decision Requested

Amend Rule 7.56 by deleting the words "per day" and replacing with the words "less than an annual average of 50 micrograms per cubic metre per day."

Air Shed

Which Air Shed does this submission relate to or none . Not Air Shed Related

Choose one of the following three . Recommend Accept in Part

Tick relevant topics

Make Submission

Consultee	Ms Jessica Tuilaepa (63010)
Email Address	jessica.tuilaepa@selwyn.govt.nz
Company / Organisation	Selwyn District Council
Address	2 Norman Kirk Drive Rolleston 7614
Event Name	Proposed Canterbury Air Regional Plan
Submission by	Selwyn District Council (Ms Jessica Tuilaepa)
Submission ID	pCARP-1153
Response Date	1/05/15 11:55 AM
Consultation Point	7.57 Paragraph (View)
Status	Submitted
Submission Type	Web
Version	0.3

Support Oppose

Supports in Part or Opposes in Part

State concisely whether you support or oppose the provision being submitted on, or wish to have amendments made.

My submission is that: Support

Please summarise decision requested

Planner - Summary of Decision Requested

Amend Condition 1 of Rule 7.57 as follows:

The discharge occurs from an existing air pressure release valve or pump station on a sewage system, or does not occur within a property intended for residential use; and (2) ...

Air Shed

Which Air Shed does this submission relate to or none Not Air Shed Related

Choose one of the following three

. Recommend Accept in Part

Tick relevant topics

Make Submission

Consultee Ms Jessica Tuilaepa (63010)

Email Address jessica.tuilaepa@selwyn.govt.nz

Company / Organisation Selwyn District Council

Address 2 Norman Kirk Drive
Rolleston
7614

Event Name Proposed Canterbury Air Regional Plan

Submission by Selwyn District Council (Ms Jessica Tuilaepa)

Submission ID pCARP-1154

Response Date 1/05/15 11:55 AM

Consultation Point 7.58 Paragraph ([View](#))

Status Submitted

Submission Type Web

Version 0.5

Support Oppose

Supports in Part or Opposes in Part

State concisely whether you support or oppose the provision being submitted on, or wish to have amendments made.

My submission is that: . Oppose

Please summarise decision requested

Planner - Summary of Decision Requested

Amend rule 7.58 from "restricted discretionary" to "controlled" with the matters for control to be as set out in 7.58(2) deleted.

Air Shed

Which Air Shed does this submission relate to or none . Not Air Shed Related

Choose one of the following three . Recommend Reject

Tick relevant topics

Make Submission

Consultee	Ms Jessica Tuilaepa (63010)
Email Address	jessica.tuilaepa@selwyn.govt.nz
Company / Organisation	Selwyn District Council
Address	2 Norman Kirk Drive Rolleston 7614
Event Name	Proposed Canterbury Air Regional Plan
Submission by	Selwyn District Council (Ms Jessica Tuilaepa)
Submission ID	pCARP-1155
Response Date	1/05/15 11:55 AM
Consultation Point	7.66 Paragraph (View)
Status	Submitted
Submission Type	Web
Version	0.5

Support Oppose

Supports in Part or Opposes in Part

State concisely whether you support or oppose the provision being submitted on, or wish to have amendments made.

My submission is that: . Oppose

Please summarise decision requested

Planner - Summary of Decision Requested

Amend Rule 7.66 as follows:

The discharge of contaminants into air from intensively farmed cattle that are housed or held on milking platforms, feed pads or wintering pads and/or at a density where there is no pasture cover, is a permitted activity provided the following conditions are met:

1. The structure is located at 200 metres from the property boundary and 1000 metres from an area zoned urban in a district plan, or the setback distance provided for intensive farming activities required by the relevant district plan whichever is the lesser distance, or
2. The activity was existing on the 28th of February 2015, and

3. The number of cattle shall remain the same as at 28th of February or should the number be increased an odour management plan must be prepared to avoid, remedy or mitigate any adverse effects of the increase in the number of cattle housed or held, and

4. A record of the number of cattle housed/held as at 28th February 2015 and any subsequent increases is provided to the CRC on request.

Air Shed

Which Air Shed does this submission relate to or none . Not Air Shed Related

Choose one of the following three . Recommend Reject

Tick relevant topics

Make Submission

Consultee	Ms Jessica Tuilaepa (63010)
Email Address	jessica.tuilaepa@selwyn.govt.nz
Company / Organisation	Selwyn District Council
Address	2 Norman Kirk Drive Rolleston 7614
Event Name	Proposed Canterbury Air Regional Plan
Submission by	Selwyn District Council (Ms Jessica Tuilaepa)
Submission ID	pCARP-1156
Response Date	1/05/15 11:55 AM
Consultation Point	7.67 Paragraph (View)
Status	Submitted
Submission Type	Web
Version	0.6

Support Oppose

Supports in Part or Opposes in Part

State concisely whether you support or oppose the provision being submitted on, or wish to have amendments made.

My submission is that: Support Oppose

Please summarise decision requested

Planner - Summary of Decision Requested

Amend the preamble to Rule 7.67 to align with the changes requested to Rule 7.66 in submission point 1155 as follows:

The discharge of contaminants into air from intensively farmed cattle that are housed or held on milking platforms, feedpads or wintering pads and/or at a density where there is no pasture cover , which is unable to comply with any conditions of rule 7.66 is a restricted discretionary activity:

Air Shed

Which Air Shed does this submission relate to or none Air Shed 1 Air Shed 2 Air Shed 3 Air Shed 4 Air Shed 5 Air Shed 6 Air Shed 7 Air Shed 8 Air Shed 9 Air Shed 10 Air Shed 11 Air Shed 12 Air Shed 13 Air Shed 14 Air Shed 15 Air Shed 16 Air Shed 17 Air Shed 18 Air Shed 19 Air Shed 20 Air Shed 21 Air Shed 22 Air Shed 23 Air Shed 24 Air Shed 25 Air Shed 26 Air Shed 27 Air Shed 28 Air Shed 29 Air Shed 30 Air Shed 31 Air Shed 32 Air Shed 33 Air Shed 34 Air Shed 35 Air Shed 36 Air Shed 37 Air Shed 38 Air Shed 39 Air Shed 40 Air Shed 41 Air Shed 42 Air Shed 43 Air Shed 44 Air Shed 45 Air Shed 46 Air Shed 47 Air Shed 48 Air Shed 49 Air Shed 50 Air Shed 51 Air Shed 52 Air Shed 53 Air Shed 54 Air Shed 55 Air Shed 56 Air Shed 57 Air Shed 58 Air Shed 59 Air Shed 60 Air Shed 61 Air Shed 62 Air Shed 63 Air Shed 64 Air Shed 65 Air Shed 66 Air Shed 67 Air Shed 68 Air Shed 69 Air Shed 70 Air Shed 71 Air Shed 72 Air Shed 73 Air Shed 74 Air Shed 75 Air Shed 76 Air Shed 77 Air Shed 78 Air Shed 79 Air Shed 80 Air Shed 81 Air Shed 82 Air Shed 83 Air Shed 84 Air Shed 85 Air Shed 86 Air Shed 87 Air Shed 88 Air Shed 89 Air Shed 90 Air Shed 91 Air Shed 92 Air Shed 93 Air Shed 94 Air Shed 95 Air Shed 96 Air Shed 97 Air Shed 98 Air Shed 99 Air Shed 100 Not Air Shed Related

Choose one of the following three

. Recommend Accept in Part

Tick relevant topics

Make Submission

Consultee Ms Jessica Tuilaepa (63010)
Email Address jessica.tuilaepa@selwyn.govt.nz
Company / Organisation Selwyn District Council
Address 2 Norman Kirk Drive
Rolleston
7614
Event Name Proposed Canterbury Air Regional Plan
Submission by Selwyn District Council (Ms Jessica Tuilaepa)
Submission ID pCARP-1157
Response Date 1/05/15 11:55 AM
Consultation Point 7.68 Paragraph ([View](#))
Status Submitted
Submission Type Web
Version 0.3

Support Oppose

Supports in Part or Opposes in Part

State concisely whether you support or oppose the provision being submitted on, or wish to have amendments made.

My submission is that: . Oppose

Please summarise decision requested

Planner - Summary of Decision Requested

Delete Conditions 4,5, and 6 from Rule 7.68.

Air Shed

Which Air Shed does this submission relate to or none . Not Air Shed Related

Choose one of the following three . Recommend Reject

Tick relevant topics

Make Submission

Consultee	Ms Jessica Tuilaepa (63010)
Email Address	jessica.tuilaepa@selwyn.govt.nz
Company / Organisation	Selwyn District Council
Address	2 Norman Kirk Drive Rolleston 7614
Event Name	Proposed Canterbury Air Regional Plan
Submission by	Selwyn District Council (Ms Jessica Tuilaepa)
Submission ID	pCARP-1158
Response Date	1/05/15 11:55 AM
Consultation Point	Rural discharges to air (View)
Status	Submitted
Submission Type	Web
Version	0.4

Support Oppose

Supports in Part or Opposes in Part

State concisely whether you support or oppose the provision being submitted on, or wish to have amendments made.

My submission is that: Support Oppose

Please summarise decision requested

Planner - Summary of Decision Requested

Insert new rule following Rule 7.72 [to provide for] small scale applications of agrichemicals using hand held appliances by property owners with the provision that agrichemicals are to be used in accordance with manufacturers' directions. The new rule should only require applications consistent with NZS8409: 2009 certification if the applications are undertaken by a commercial operator. As in AQL70, the new rule should provide for the application of agrichemicals without nationally accredited qualifications by owners on roadsides adjacent to their property.

Air Shed

Which Air Shed does this submission relate to or none None Not Air Shed Related

Choose one of the following three

. Recommend Accept in Part

Tick relevant topics

Make Submission

Consultee	Ms Jessica Tuilaepa (63010)
Email Address	jessica.tuilaepa@selwyn.govt.nz
Company / Organisation	Selwyn District Council
Address	2 Norman Kirk Drive Rolleston 7614
Event Name	Proposed Canterbury Air Regional Plan
Submission by	Selwyn District Council (Ms Jessica Tuilaepa)
Submission ID	pCARP-1159
Response Date	1/05/15 11:55 AM
Consultation Point	7.76 Paragraph (View)
Status	Submitted
Submission Type	Web
Version	0.3

Support Oppose

Supports in Part or Opposes in Part

State concisely whether you support or oppose the provision being submitted on, or wish to have amendments made.

My submission is that: . Oppose

Please summarise decision requested

Planner - Summary of Decision Requested

Amend Rule 7.76 as follows:

On all sites within a Clean Air Zone and on all sites less than 2ha in urban areas outside Clean Air Zones.

Air Shed

Which Air Shed does this submission relate to or none . Not Air Shed Related
. Home Heating

Choose one of the following three . Recommend Accept in Part

Tick relevant topics

Make Submission

Consultee	Ms Jessica Tuilaepa (63010)
Email Address	jessica.tuilaepa@selwyn.govt.nz
Company / Organisation	Selwyn District Council
Address	2 Norman Kirk Drive Rolleston 7614
Event Name	Proposed Canterbury Air Regional Plan
Submission by	Selwyn District Council (Ms Jessica Tuilaepa)
Submission ID	pCARP-1160
Response Date	1/05/15 11:55 AM
Consultation Point	Schedule 2: Assessment of offensive and objectionable effects (View)
Status	Submitted
Submission Type	Web
Version	0.5
Support Oppose	

Supports in Part or Opposes in Part

State concisely whether you support or oppose the provision being submitted on, or wish to have amendments made.

My submission is that: Support Oppose

Please summarise decision requested

Planner - Summary of Decision Requested

Delete Section 2 Odour and annoyance surveys from Schedule 2 and replace with the following, or statement to similar effect:

The use of survey to ascertain the extent of odour annoyance shall be undertaken using reputable methods appropriate to the population surveyed, and the methods used are to be set out clearly in any survey purporting to demonstrate odour annoyance based on the number of people affected.

Air Shed

Which Air Shed does this submission relate to or none Air Shed Related Not Air Shed Related

Choose one of the following three

Recommend Reject

Tick relevant topics

Make Submission

Consultee	Ms Jessica Tuilaepa (63010)
Email Address	jessica.tuilaepa@selwyn.govt.nz
Company / Organisation	Selwyn District Council
Address	2 Norman Kirk Drive Rolleston 7614
Event Name	Proposed Canterbury Air Regional Plan
Submission by	Selwyn District Council (Ms Jessica Tuilaepa)
Submission ID	pCARP-1161
Response Date	1/05/15 11:55 AM
Consultation Point	Schedule 3: Content of smoke management plans for the outdoor burning of organic material in rural areas (View)
Status	Submitted
Submission Type	Web
Version	0.5
Support Oppose	
Supports in Part or Opposes in Part	

State concisely whether you support or oppose the provision being submitted on, or wish to have amendments made.

My submission is that: Support Oppose

Please summarise decision requested

Planner - Summary of Decision Requested

Delete Schedule 3 and the requirement for Smoke Management Plans as a condition of a permitted activity and make compliance with the conditions for outdoor burning as set out in the Outdoor Burning Bylaw for the respective territorial authorities as condition of burning as a permitted activity.

Air Shed

Which Air Shed does this submission relate to or none Air Shed Related Not Air Shed Related

Choose one of the following three

. Recommend Accept in Part

Tick relevant topics

Make Submission

Consultee	Ms Jessica Tuilaepa (63010)
Email Address	jessica.tuilaepa@selwyn.govt.nz
Company / Organisation	Selwyn District Council
Address	2 Norman Kirk Drive Rolleston 7614
Event Name	Proposed Canterbury Air Regional Plan
Submission by	Selwyn District Council (Ms Jessica Tuilaepa)
Submission ID	pCARP-1162
Response Date	1/05/15 11:55 AM
Consultation Point	Schedule 2: Assessment of offensive and objectionable effects (View)
Status	Submitted
Submission Type	Web
Version	0.4
Support Oppose	

Supports in Part or Opposes in Part

State concisely whether you support or oppose the provision being submitted on, or wish to have amendments made.

My submission is that: . Support

Please summarise decision requested

Planner - Summary of Decision Requested

Retain Schedule 3 and the ability to use dust and odour management plans.

Air Shed

Which Air Shed does this submission relate to or none . Not Air Shed Related

Choose one of the following three . Recommend Accept

Tick relevant topics

Make Submission

Consultee	Ms Jessica Tuilaepa (63010)
Email Address	jessica.tuilaepa@selwyn.govt.nz
Company / Organisation	Selwyn District Council
Address	2 Norman Kirk Drive Rolleston 7614
Event Name	Proposed Canterbury Air Regional Plan
Submission by	Selwyn District Council (Ms Jessica Tuilaepa)
Submission ID	pCARP-1163
Response Date	1/05/15 11:55 AM
Consultation Point	Schedule 7: Installation, registration and maintenance of domestic solid fuel burners (View)
Status	Submitted
Submission Type	Web
Version	0.3
Support Oppose	

Supports in Part or Opposes in Part

State concisely whether you support or oppose the provision being submitted on, or wish to have amendments made.

My submission is that: . Oppose

Please summarise decision requested

Planner - Summary of Decision Requested

Delete Part 1 of Schedule 7.

Air Shed

Which Air Shed does this submission relate to or none . Not Air Shed Related

Choose one of the following three . Recommend Accept in Part

Tick relevant topics