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State concisely whether you support or oppose the provision being submitted on, or wish to have amendments made.

My submission is that: Oppose

Please state your reasons for supporting/opposing/amendments sought

My reason(s) for supporting, opposing or requesting amendments to this specific provision are:

I oppose this amendment because I believe that burning of organic matter should be phased out in rural areas as it has been in urban areas. With the proliferation of lifestyle properties, rural areas are becoming densely populated, with properties frequently in close proximity to each other. I live in the Loburn area and regularly find the air polluted by burning off (not crop burn-offs but burning of household, garden and property waste). This burning off exacerbates asthma and respiratory complaints, typically smells offensive and creates visual pollution also. It was only via reading your proposed Air Plan that I learnt that burning of inorganic matter has been phased out in rural areas. I believe many must be flouting this as I observe neighbours routinely burning their household rubbish including plastics and polystyrene. I am concerned about the toxicity of burning such materials - it is a most third world approach to the handling of rubbish. I would encourage ECAN to advertise the prohibition on burning of inorganic material in regional newspapers and The Press. I also wish to oppose the amendment as I believe the windspeed requirement should not just apply to urban areas. Any property can be at risk from irresponsible burnoffs in high wind circumstances. Thank you
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State concisely whether you support or oppose the provision being submitted on, or wish to have amendments made.

My submission is that:  Oppose

Please state your reasons for supporting/opposing/amendments sought

My reason(s) for supporting, opposing or requesting amendments to this specific provision are:

I oppose this amendment because I believe that burning of organic matter should be phased out in rural areas as it has been in urban areas. With the proliferation of lifestyle properties, rural areas are becoming densely populated, with properites frequently in close proximity to each other. I live in the Loburn area and regularly find the air polluted by burning off (not crop burn-offs but burning of household, garden and property waste). This burning off exacerbates asthma and respiratory complaints, typically smells offensive and creates visual pollution also. It was only via reading your proposed Air Plan that I learnt that burning of inorganic matter has been phased out in rural areas. I believe many must be flouting this as I observe neighbours routinely burning their household rubbish including plastics and polysterene. I am concerned about the toxicity of burning such materials - it is a most third world approach to the handling of rubbish. I would encourage ECAN to advertise the prohibition on burning of inorganic material in regional newspapers and The Press. I also wish to oppose the amendment as I believe the windspeed requirement should not just apply to urban areas. Any property can be at risk from irresponsible burnoffs in high wind circumstances. Thank you.
Please summarise decision requested

Planner - Summary of Decision Requested
Insert a requirement in Rule 7.10 to require the Canterbury Regional Council to advertise the prohibition on burning of inorganic material in regional newspapers.
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*State concisely whether you support or oppose the provision being submitted on, or wish to have amendments made.*

**My submission is that:** Oppose

*Please state your reasons for supporting/opposing/amendments sought*

**My reason(s) for supporting, opposing or requesting amendments to this specific provision are:**

I oppose this amendment because I believe that burning of organic matter should be phased out in rural areas as it has been in urban areas. With the proliferation of lifestyle properties, rural areas are becoming densely populated, with properites frequently in close proximity to each other. I live in the Loburn area and regularly find the air polluted by burning off (not crop burn-offs but burning of household, garden and property waste). This burning off exacerbates asthma and respiratory complaints, typically smells offensive and creates visual pollution also. It was only via reading your proposed Air Plan that I learnt that burning of inorganic matter has been phased out in rural areas. I believe many must be flouting this as I observe neighbours routinely burning their household rubbish including plastics and polysterene. I am concerned about the toxicity of burning such materials - it is a most third world approach to the handling of rubbish. I would encourage ECAN to advertise the prohibition on burning of inorganic material in regional newspapers and The Press. I also wish to oppose the amendment as I believe the windspeed requirement should not just apply to urban areas. Any property can be at risk from irresponsible burnoffs in high wind circumstances. Thank you
Please summarise decision requested

Planner - Summary of Decision Requested

Amend Rule 7.10 to require windspeed conditions to apply to urban and rural areas to prevent burning in high wind conditions.
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