

Make Submission

Consultee	Mr G and T Essenberg (62811)
Email Address	gerry@kaikoura.govt.nz
Address	G and T Essenberg 114 Beach Road Kaikoura 7300
Event Name	Proposed Canterbury Air Regional Plan
Submission by	Mr G and T Essenberg
Submission ID	pCARP-326
Response Date	30/04/15 11:19 AM
Consultation Point	13 MANDATORY INFORMATION (View)
Status	Submitted
Submission Type	Web
Version	0.1

Trade Competition

Pursuant to Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act 1991, a person who could gain an advantage in trade competition through the submission may make a submission only if directly affected by an effect of the proposed policy statement or plan that:

- a) adversely affects the environment; and
- b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.

Please tick the sentence that applies to you: I could not gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission; or

To Be Heard

Please select the appropriate option from the following: I DO wish to be heard in support of my submission;

If so . I would be prepared to consider presenting your submission in a joint case with others making a similar submission at any hearing

Make Submission

Consultee	Mr G and T Essenberg (62811)
Email Address	gerry@kaikoura.govt.nz
Address	G and T Essenberg 114 Beach Road Kaikoura 7300
Event Name	Proposed Canterbury Air Regional Plan
Submission by	Mr G and T Essenberg
Submission ID	pCARP-322
Response Date	30/04/15 11:13 AM
Consultation Point	1 Introduction (View)
Status	Submitted
Submission Type	Web
Version	0.1
Support Oppose	
Supports in Part or Opposes in Part	

State concisely whether you support or oppose the provision being submitted on, or wish to have amendments made.

My submission is that: Support Oppose

Please state your reasons for supporting/opposing/amendments sought

My reason(s) for supporting, opposing or requesting amendments to this specific provision are:

Carbon Dioxide and/or Greenhouse gases have not been considered as a pollutant

Rule 70A of the RMA allows the council to consider climate change to the extent that it can make rules that push for the use and development of renewable energy to enable a reduction of greenhouse gases, it should be noted that this part of the RMA is 25 years old and as such is probably due for an overhaul.

Please give precise details for each provision. The more specific you can be the easier it will be for the Council to understand the outcome you are seeking.

I seek the following decisions from Environment Canterbury:

Identify Carbon Dioxide and Greenhouse gases as a pollutant

Air Shed

Which Air Shed does this submission relate to or none

Choose one of the following three

Tick relevant topics

Make Submission

Consultee	Mr G and T Essenberg (62811)
Email Address	gerry@kaikoura.govt.nz
Address	G and T Essenberg 114 Beach Road Kaikoura 7300
Event Name	Proposed Canterbury Air Regional Plan
Submission by	Mr G and T Essenberg
Submission ID	pCARP-323
Response Date	30/04/15 11:15 AM
Consultation Point	Table 2.1 General Definitions (View)
Status	Submitted
Submission Type	Web
Version	0.1
Support Oppose	
Supports in Part or Opposes in Part	

State concisely whether you support or oppose the provision being submitted on, or wish to have amendments made.

My submission is that: Support

Please state your reasons for supporting/opposing/amendments sought

My reason(s) for supporting, opposing or requesting amendments to this specific provision are:

Contaminants define anything that when discharged onto or into land or into air, changes or is likely to change the physical, chemical, or biological condition of the land or air onto or into which it is discharged

Carbon Dioxide and Greenhouse gases definitely fits this description as has been determined by the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC). There is no higher body for determining that this is an issue and that increasing greenhouse gases will affect the physical, chemical and biological condition of the land and the air.

Please give precise details for each provision. The more specific you can be the easier it will be for the Council to understand the outcome you are seeking.

I seek the following decisions from Environment Canterbury:

Retain the definition of Contaminant

Air Shed

Which Air Shed does this submission relate to or none

Choose one of the following three

Tick relevant topics

Make Submission

Consultee	Mr G and T Essenberg (62811)
Email Address	gerry@kaikoura.govt.nz
Address	G and T Essenberg 114 Beach Road Kaikoura 7300
Event Name	Proposed Canterbury Air Regional Plan
Submission by	Mr G and T Essenberg
Submission ID	pCARP-324
Response Date	30/04/15 11:16 AM
Consultation Point	Table 2.1 General Definitions (View)
Status	Submitted
Submission Type	Web
Version	0.1

Support Oppose

Supports in Part or Opposes in Part

State concisely whether you support or oppose the provision being submitted on, or wish to have amendments made.

My submission is that: Support Oppose

Please state your reasons for supporting/opposing/amendments sought

My reason(s) for supporting, opposing or requesting amendments to this specific provision are:

There is no definition for Greenhouse Gases

There is no definition for what is a large contributor: industry, business or agency, of Greenhouse Gases

Please give precise details for each provision. The more specific you can be the easier it will be for the Council to understand the outcome you are seeking.

I seek the following decisions from Environment Canterbury:

New Definitions

IPCC definition for Greenhouse gases

A large Greenhouse gas emitter is an industry, business or agency that emits more than x tonnes of Greenhouse Gas either through production of its produce, its customers, its staff or from the work that it undertakes.

Air Shed

Which Air Shed does this submission relate to or none

Choose one of the following three

Tick relevant topics

Make Submission

Consultee	Mr G and T Essenberg (62811)
Email Address	gerry@kaikoura.govt.nz
Address	G and T Essenberg 114 Beach Road Kaikoura 7300
Event Name	Proposed Canterbury Air Regional Plan
Submission by	Mr G and T Essenberg
Submission ID	pCARP-325
Response Date	30/04/15 11:17 AM
Consultation Point	All activities (View)
Status	Submitted
Submission Type	Web
Version	0.1

Support Oppose

Supports in Part or Opposes in Part

State concisely whether you support or oppose the provision being submitted on, or wish to have amendments made.

My submission is that: Support Oppose

Please state your reasons for supporting/opposing/amendments sought

My reason(s) for supporting, opposing or requesting amendments to this specific provision are:

Objectives 5.2 and 5.7 are not complied with with regard to Greenhouse Gases.

They also do not show any regard to Policies 6.10, 6.12, 6.14, 6.20 and 6.26 (6.26 may not be allowed under the RMA 70A if emission causes can not be changed with renewable energy, however we should allow for this in the future if there is a change to the RMA to enable emissions to be offset by requirements under the Air Plan).

The Government by virtue of its letter to the Executive Secretary of the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change agreed to target a 5% reduction below 1990 levels by 2020 and between 10 and 20% if there was a comprehensive accord.

If there is no support for this initiative at the level able to be given by the Air Plan then how else do we expect to meet our commitments?

The Ministry for the Environment has determined that there will be significant effects to Canterbury as a result of Climate Change and the IPCC has determined that there will be significant effects to the world's population if Greenhouse gases are not limited.

Environment Canterbury has a duty of care to its ratepayers and to all the citizens of the world. That it can have only a small effect on reducing Greenhouse gases cannot be a reason for not addressing the issue.

Environment Canterbury cannot ignore the IPCC and the New Zealand Government's commitment to the UN and the warnings sent out from the IPCC and the Ministry for the Environment.

Simple actions may result from this rule such as a requirement for supermarket carparks to provide carparks and electricity to electric powered vehicles, for large fleets such as Environment Canterbury, Christchurch City Council, Nurse Maude, taxi companies(see Schipol airport) to increase the percentage of fully electric vehicles over time. It may require large organisations to consider how their employees get to work or how their products are delivered.

While I have proposed a new rule I believe that variations are possible that would allow for emissions offsetting as an option even though this cannot be directed under the existing RMA. There will need to be an identification of what a Large Scale Generator of Greenhouse gases is to support the rule.

I believe that a rule which requires targets to be set and means on how the target will be reached will reduce the effect of Greenhouse gases. Failure to reach a target using the means identified would require a rewrite of their individual air plan. Environment Canterbury will require the airplan to be followed. They will not require the target to be met

This proposed change will lead Environment Canterbury into a role that it is presently not undertaking but a role that it needs to take up on behalf of the future population of Canterbury.

Please give precise details for each provision. The more specific you can be the easier it will be for the Council to understand the outcome you are seeking.

I seek the following decisions from Environment Canterbury:

A new rule

That industries, businesses or other generators that cause or benefit from the generation of Greenhouse gases will be required to have an airplan with targets that will reduce the emission of Greenhouse Gases by 2% per annum.

Air Shed

Which Air Shed does this submission relate to or none

Choose one of the following three

Tick relevant topics

Make Submission

Consultee	G and T Essenberg (62811)
Email Address	gerry@kaikoura.govt.nz
Address	G and T Essenberg 114 Beach Road Kaikoura 7300
Event Name	Proposed Canterbury Air Regional Plan
Submission by	G and T Essenberg
Submission ID	pCARP-1873
Response Date	30/04/15 11:16 AM
Consultation Point	Table 2.1 General Definitions (View)
Status	Submitted
Submission Type	Web
Version	0.2

Support Oppose

Supports in Part or Opposes in Part

State concisely whether you support or oppose the provision being submitted on, or wish to have amendments made.

My submission is that: Support Oppose

Please state your reasons for supporting/opposing/amendments sought

My reason(s) for supporting, opposing or requesting amendments to this specific provision are:

There is no definition for Greenhouse Gases

There is no definition for what is a large contributor: industry, business or agency, of Greenhouse Gases

Please give precise details for each provision. The more specific you can be the easier it will be for the Council to understand the outcome you are seeking.

I seek the following decisions from Environment Canterbury:

New Definitions

IPCC definition for Greenhouse gases

A large Greenhouse gas emitter is an industry, business or agency that emits more than x tonnes of Greenhouse Gas either through production of its produce, its customers, its staff or from the work that it undertakes.

Please summarise decision requested

Planner - Summary of Decision Requested

Insert definition in Table 2.1 for large greenhouse gas emitter as an industry, business or agency that emits more than x tonnes of greenhouse gas either through production of its produce, its customers, its staff or from the work that it undertakes.

Air Shed

Which Air Shed does this submission relate to or none . Not Air Shed Related

Choose one of the following three . Recommend Reject

Tick relevant topics