

**From:** [Brian Ellwood](#)  
**To:** [Mailroom Mailbox](#)  
**Cc:** [Richard Timpany](#)  
**Subject:** Further Submission Variation 3 pLWRP - Hunter Downs Development Limited  
**Date:** Friday, 17 July 2015 3:39:06 p.m.  
**Attachments:** [150717 HDI further submission on LAWP final.pdf](#)

---

Ecan Planning,

Please find attached Hunter Downs Development Company Limited's further submission on Variation 3 to the pLWRP.

Kind regards

Brian Ellwood

Brian Ellwood

Technical Support  
Hunter Downs Development Company Limited  
021 676 052

**FURTEHR SUBMISSION ON PROPOSED VARIATION 3 TO THE PROPOSED CANTERBURY  
LAND WATER REGIONAL PLAN – SECTION 15 – WAITAKI AND SOUTH COASTAL  
CANTERBURY” (VARIATION 3) DATED 16 APRIL.**

**To:** Freepost 1201  
Proposed Variation 3 to the proposed Canterbury Land Water Regional Plan .  
Section 15 . Waitaki and South Coastal Canterbury+  
Environment Canterbury  
PO Box 345  
**CHRISTCHURCH 8140**

**Submitter:** Hunter Downs Development Company Limited  
PO Box 418  
**TIMARU 7940**

Attention: Richard Timpany  
Mobile: 022 187 3255  
Email: Richard.timpany@hdi.co.nz

Hunter Downs Development Company Limited makes this further submissions on proposed Variation 3 to the proposed Canterbury Land Water Regional Plan . Section 15 . Waitaki and South Coastal Canterbury (*Variation 3*)

Hunter Downs Development Company Limited confirms its submission does not include matters that relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.

Hunter Downs Development Company Limited would like to be heard in support of its submission.

If other persons make a similar submission then Hunter Downs Development Company Limited would consider presenting joint evidence at the time of the hearing.



---

**Brian Ellwood**

For and on behalf of Hunter Downs Development Company Limited

Dated this 17<sup>th</sup> day of July 2015.

## OUTLINE OF FURTHER SUBMISSION

### INTRODUCTION

As stated in our submission, Hunter Downs Development Company Limited (HDDCL) is the entity that has been incorporated for the purposes of developing the Hunter Downs Irrigation (HDI) scheme. The HDI scheme already holds resource consent to take and use up to 20.5 cumecs of water from the Waitaki River at Stonewall for the purposes of irrigating up to 40,000 hectares (within a command area of approximately 60,000 hectares) in South Canterbury

#### 1. Further Submission and Reasons

The table below details the specific concerns of others submissions and relief sought as a part of the HDDCL further submission.

I support or oppose the submission of:	Support or oppose	The particular parts of the submission supported or opposed are:	The reasons for support or opposition are:
Horticulture New Zealand 52267  v3pLWRP-283	Oppose	Amendment to the definition of <i>%Access to an Irrigation Scheme+</i>	On the basis that the submitters sought relief aims to avoid the imposition of higher minimum flows and reduced allocation once irrigation scheme water becomes available under Table 15(g), it may potentially compromise the benefits derived from the development of irrigation.
Director General, Department of Conservation 64095  V3pLWRP-527 V3pLWRP-528 V3pLWRP-534	Oppose	Amendment to rules 5.5.20, 5.5.21 and 5.5.24	The proposed changes to the rules relate to specific potential effects from augmentation of Wainono Lagoon. The sought changes are either not appropriate or are better dealt with during a consent application process (where the activity as a whole can be considered along with the potential to avoid, mitigate or remedy such effects).
Director General, Department of Conservation 64095  v3pLWRP-544	Oppose in part	New rule in relation to the protection of Canterbury mudfish, including a schedule (with map grid references) of known mud fish sites, within which	HDDCL is very supportive of efforts to protect and enhance habitat for Canterbury mudfish.  In the case of the HDI Scheme, farmers are already required to undertake various work

		works would be discretionary.	including the fencing and protection of habitat.  HDDCL is concerned the proposed rule and schedule may potentially fetter the enhancement work undertaken by HDI Scheme shareholders.
--	--	-------------------------------	--