From: <u>ECInfo</u>

To: <u>Mailroom Mailbox</u>

 Subject:
 FW: Past Land Use EMAIL:04932567

 Date:
 Friday, 20 March 2015 1:59:17 p.m.

## Hello Team

This email came in through our Customer Services email. It is a submission on the Long Term Plan (LTP). Can you please workflow?

Ngā mihi

Christine

**Customer Services** 

----- Original Message -----

From: Ellis Kaye

Received: 18/03/2015 9:51 a.m.

To: ECInfo; Environment Canterbury; Services Customer; Services Customer

Subject: Past Land Use

Kaye Ellis

Legal Discription; Lot 1 DP 345766

## Hello

In response to your brochure (Tell us what you think ) Canterbury,i would like to reply to that on the fact that you have listed the above property on the HAIL register,when clearly you have no idea if the land has been contaminated as it has not been investigated,as your letter to the landowner stated.

To me and a lot of others i have spoken to this is not acceptable as it has the very real potential to devalue our property. Therefore i would propose that if you want to spend money, and want extra from the ratepayers to do so, you look at either taking the property off the HAIL list or spend the money to have the soil test done, and if it proves to be contaminated then put it back on the list. I bought this property two and a half years ago and i got LIM report and there was no mention of potential contaminated land, also the council has allowed these properties to be built on and it is grossly unfair to expect the owner to pay for this testing.

Regards

Kaye Ellis