

From: [ECInfo](#)
To: [Mailroom Mailbox](#)
Subject: FW: Commuter Rail Link EMAIL:04932820
Date: Wednesday, 8 April 2015 12:27:15 p.m.
Importance: Low

Hello Team

This email came in through our Customer Services email. Can you please workflow? It is a submission.

Ngā mihi

Christine

Customer Services

----- Original Message -----

From: Weir Ross
Received: 8/04/2015 10:33 a.m.
To: ECInfo; Environment Canterbury; Services Customer; Services Customer
Subject: Commuter Rail Link

It was obvious when Rolleston, "Town of the Future" was first proposed, that commuter rail services would eventually be needed to be provided for, to the "City". Since the earthquakes, there has been exceptional growth, not only in Rolleston, but in many other parts of the Selwyn district. A commuter rail link is needed to cope with this growth. The alternative is yet more clogged motorways, and polluted air. A forward thinking Regional Council would have planned for this, and ensured the retention of the rail right-of-way through to Lincoln. Likewise, the turnout from the Main South railway line at Hornby should long ago have been undergrounded across Hornby and the main South road.

I want Environment Canterbury to live up to their name, and plan for a commuter loop railway. This should be implemented to at least the standard of the Brisbane City to Brisbane Airport electrified service. The service should encompass, say, a loop service from CBD-Addington-Hornby-Prebbleton-Lincoln-Springston-Burnham-Rolleston-Tempelton-Hornby-Addington-CBD.

Ross Graham Weir
Registered Engineering Associate

(This submission, and myself, are not associated with any organisation).