

From: [ECInfo](#)
To: [Mailroom Mailbox](#)
Subject: FW: Long-Term Plan submission form [#126] EMAIL:05270877
Date: Monday, 13 April 2015 4:11:32 p.m.
Importance: Low

----- Original Message -----

From: no-reply@wufoo.com
Received: 13/04/2015 4:07 p.m.
To: ECInfo; Environment Canterbury; Services Customer; Services Customer; Webmaster@ecan.govt.nz
Subject: Long-Term Plan submission form [#126]

Your name * Vladimir Golovko

Address *

Postcode

Contact phone number *

Email

Date Monday 13 April 2015

Contact details • I do not wish my contact details to be made public

Your submission

Before suggesting inflated future budget Ecan could do well to report on spending of the previous budgets. It is not clear what was achieved and whether current spending could be justified. Furthermore, when proposing future budgets Ecan should first of all consider cutting spending – being in the unique “governmental” position to impose higher taxes does not mean you have to do this. You show that additional rates needed on water management will double between 2016 and 2018, which is ridiculous!

And then focusing on specific, measurable things which are truly needed by the community (and do ask community what is needed in our view as opposed to tell us what you want to do with our money) and optimizing performance (for instance, can you justify IT spending? How many \$ per hit on your website do we fork out?).

What do you want Environment Canterbury to do?

Cut spending!!!
Specific, measurable targets as opposed to vague “wish list”.
What are the chances of an independent performance review of an organization which spends millions of dollars of public money?