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We are not a trade competitor for the purposes of the submission but the variation has a direct impact on our ability to farm. If changes sought in the plan are adopted they may impact on others but we are not in direct trade competition with them.

We do wish to be heard in support of this submission

Nutrient Allocation Reference Group

We acknowledge the extensive work of the Nutrient Allocation Reference Group in seeking to put forward a consensus agreement on an allocation method for the catchment. While that agreement reflects an agreed decision to try and make the best of what is generally considered a bad solution to nutrient allocation in the catchment, we are concerned that position does not reach an optimal nutrient allocation for the catchment or for optimising or incentivising the management of Nitrogen and other nutrient loss from individual properties.

Our submission relates to all parts of the plan that allocate a nitrogen load for the Wainono catchment and applies it as a fixed nitrogen discharge limit to our properties using a flexibility cap or deriving a Nitrogen loss baseline

We oppose

- Applying nitrogen baselines as currently calculated
- The current load limit for the Wainono catchment
- Applying a nitrogen discharge limit to my property
- The allocation of nitrogen within the Wainono catchment
- Rule 15.5.2
- Rule 15.5.5
- Table 15(m), 15(N), 15(P)
We seek that the Council

- Review the load calculation to focus on priorities for achieving water quality outcomes
- Provide flexibility in the plan to allow for ongoing routine development and flexibility in farm management
- Provide for future N allocation to low emitters allowing flexibility for ongoing routine development
- Provide for transition times before allocation framework applies to allow for existing water consent holders to finish small scale irrigation infrastructure development
- Insert new policy into 15.4 to provide for greater flexibility and transition times and to recognise the potential of low emitter property development
- For stable low emitting farming systems extend the years over which the calculation of nitrogen baselines are derived and provide the maximum discharge from those years as the baseline
- Modified equal allocation for Waihao Wainono Northern Streams catchment.

Reasons for our submission

Nitrogen Baselines (2009-2013) need to be extended to provide for greater flexibility and recognise variations in existing farm management

Sheep, Beef and Cropping Farmers develop farms as economic farm surplus allows – this significantly impacts their baseline calculation. These properties are not high nitrogen loss properties but sustainably managed farms with a long term development plan. The current proposed variation severely restricts those farmers in their ability to realise the long term land management plan for their properties and to respond to markets

The plan unnecessarily and unfairly restricts our ability to farm

We are concerned that the science and models that have been used to derive the Nitrogen allocation model in the plan have relied on outdated versions of Overseer, incorrect soils information, incorrect use of the “look up tables” and do not provide for changes to incorporate the matrix of good management or updated Overseer and soils data.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Specific Provision</th>
<th>Submission Support/Oppose</th>
<th>Decision Sought</th>
<th>Reasons for decision</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Policies 15.4.1 – 15.4.17 | Oppose | • Amend policies to provide for development of existing low emitting properties.  
• Provide for flexibility in current farming system if baseline is above flexibility cap.  
• Increase number of years in calculation of baseline.  
• Provide for more allocation to low emitting properties over time.  
• Immediately adopt flexibility cap to low emitting farmers up to 15kg. Subject to variations in Overseer and the total load.  
• For stable low emitting farming systems extend the years over which the calculation of nitrogen baselines are derived and provide the maximum discharge from those years as the baseline.  
• Time frames for achievement of max caps need to be 2025 as per NARG agreement | • Impacts our current ability to farm  
• Impacts on our flexibility of current and future land use  
• Will not necessarily achieve desired objectives of water quality  
• Actions of farmer to manage nutrients more important than focus on allocation of nitrogen  
• Suggested amendments provide greater flexibility in farming system to allow sustainable development  
• Numbers adopted and notified in the plan are too reliant on previous versions of Overseer, are not corrected for changes in soil knowledge and are predicated on knowledge of existing loads, not achieving water quality outcomes  
• Max caps not achieved as per NARG agreement and hence impacts on low emitters flexibility. |
| Rule 15.5.2 – 15.5.5 | Oppose | • Amend policies to provide for low level development of existing low emitting properties.  
• Provide for flexibility in current farming system if baseline is above flexibility cap.  
• Increase number of years in calculation of baseline.  
• Provide for more allocation to low emitting properties over time. | • Impacts our current ability to farm  
• Impacts on our flexibility of current and future land use  
• Will not necessarily achieve desired objectives of water quality  
• Actions of farmer to manage nutrients more important than focus on allocation of nitrogen |
- Immediately adopt flexibility cap to low emitting properties up to 15kg/ha. Subject to variations in Overseer and total load calculations.
- For stable low emitting farming systems extend the years over which the calculation of nitrogen baselines are derived and provide the maximum discharge from those years as the baseline.
- Suggested amendments provide greater flexibility in farming system to allow sustainable development.
- Numbers adopted and notified in the plan are too reliant on previous versions of Overseer, are not corrected for changes in soil knowledge and are predicated on knowledge of existing loads, not achieving water quality outcomes.

Table 15(m), 15(N), 15(P)

Oppose

- Leave tables blank or defer decision on plan change and adoption of tables until catchment models have been updated to include new version of Overseer and Matrix of good management and updated soils data.
- We have always wanted modified equal allocation of the total nutrient load and that hasn’t changed.
- Numbers adopted and notified in the plan are too reliant on previous versions of Overseer, are not corrected for changes in soil knowledge and are predicated on knowledge of existing loads, not achieving water quality outcomes.
  
  Need to provide for matrix of good management updates.
  Need to update and rerun catchment models that informed collaborative Nutrient Allocation discussions and plan change.

- As low emitters we believe the process to be flawed and too complicated from the beginning in allocating nitrogen and has proved to be so. Modified equal allocation is the best outcome for the environment and the simplest to implement. The fundamental point of allowing those who are causing the nitrogen issues to maintain the highest leaching limits while those who have not caused an issue are constrained by their past low impact behaviour is something we find inappropriate.