

Make Submission

Consultee Mr Robert Douglas (63078)

Email Address boblyn@clear.net.nz

Address Otipua

RD 2 Timaru 7972

Event Name Proposed Canterbury Air Regional Plan

Submission by Mr Robert Douglas

Submission ID pCARP-937

Response Date 1/05/15 4:16 PM

Consultation Point 13 MANDATORY INFORMATION (View)

Status Submitted

Submission Type Web

Version 0.2

To Be Heard

following:

Please select the appropriate option from the IDO NOT wish to be heard in support of my submission;

If so



Make Submission

Consultee	Mr Robert Douglas (63078)
Email Address	boblyn@clear.net.nz
Address	Otipua RD 2 Timaru 7972
Event Name	Proposed Canterbury Air Regional Plan
Submission by	Mr Robert Douglas
Submission ID	pCARP-456
Response Date	1/05/15 4:16 PM
Consultation Point	7.10 Paragraph (View)
Status	Submitted
Submission Type	Web
Version	0.1
Support Oppose	
Supports in Part or Opposes in Part	
State concisely whether you support or oppose the provision being submitted on, or wish to have amendments made.	
My submission is that:	. Oppose
Please state your reasons for supporting/opposing/amendments sought	
My reason(s) for supporting, opposing or requesting amendments to this specific provision are:	

My reason(s) for supporting, opposing or requesting amendments to this specific provision are:

There is scope for contradiction between rules 7.10.2 and 7.10.5 Where burning includes waste from an adjoining property, for convenience sake this could be deposited close to one property's boundary. In turn this could be closer than 50 metres from the neighbour's dwelling (a "sensitive activity"). It is anomolous that if neighbour #1 offers to do another neighbour #2 a favour by burning waste for neighbour #2 on the property of neighbour #1, this favour is then stymied by a rigid rule in the regional

There will also be other instances where a neighbour does not object to smoke crossing the boundary. It is the prerogative of the neighbour to decide whether this is objectionable or not - not the Regional Council.

Please give precise details for each provision. The more specific you can be the easier it will be for the Council to understand the outcome you are seeking.

I seek the following decisions from Environment Canterbury:

That the following words (or similar) be inserted at the end of rule 7.10.2 "unless the owner/occupier of that sensitive activity has given approval to the burning".

Air Shed

Which Air Shed does this submission relate to or none

Choose one of the following three

Tick relevant topics