

## Make Submission

<b>Consultee</b>	Mr Anthony Sellin (62785)
<b>Email Address</b>	anthony.sellin@canterbury.ac.nz
<b>Company / Organisation</b>	University of Canterbury
<b>Address</b>	University of Canterbury Ilam Road Christchurch 8140
<b>Event Name</b>	Proposed Canterbury Air Regional Plan
<b>Submission by</b>	University of Canterbury (Mr Anthony Sellin)
<b>Submission ID</b>	pCARP-853
<b>Response Date</b>	30/04/15 9:59 AM
<b>Consultation Point</b>	13 MANDATORY INFORMATION ( <a href="#">View</a> )
<b>Status</b>	Submitted
<b>Submission Type</b>	Web
<b>Version</b>	0.2
<b>To Be Heard</b>	
<b>Please select the appropriate option from the following:</b>	I DO NOT wish to be heard in support of my submission; or
<b>If so</b>	

## Make Submission

<b>Consultee</b>	Mr Anthony Sellin (62785)
<b>Email Address</b>	anthony.sellin@canterbury.ac.nz
<b>Company / Organisation</b>	University of Canterbury
<b>Address</b>	University of Canterbury Ilam Road Christchurch 8140
<b>Event Name</b>	Proposed Canterbury Air Regional Plan
<b>Submission by</b>	University of Canterbury (Mr Anthony Sellin)
<b>Submission ID</b>	pCARP-317
<b>Response Date</b>	30/04/15 9:59 AM
<b>Consultation Point</b>	7.25 Paragraph ( <a href="#">View</a> )
<b>Status</b>	Submitted
<b>Submission Type</b>	Web
<b>Version</b>	0.1

### Support Oppose

Supports in Part or Opposes in Part

*State concisely whether you support or oppose the provision being submitted on, or wish to have amendments made.*

**My submission is that:**  Support  Oppose

*Please state your reasons for supporting/opposing/amendments sought*

### My reason(s) for supporting, opposing or requesting amendments to this specific provision are:

There are existing Resource Consents that, the conditions of which, appear to have been revoked by the Proposed Regional Air Plan.

*Please give precise details for each provision. The more specific you can be the easier it will be for the Council to understand the outcome you are seeking.*

### I seek the following decisions from Environment Canterbury:

The University of Canterbury has (amongst others) existing Resource consents for discharge of contaminants to Air CRC120060 & CRC132534 for Generators 880 kW and 720kW, respectively. The Proposed Canterbury Regional Air Plan (pCARP) Rule 7.25 (4) say's

*"The discharge can occur for maintenance and peak electricity network load management for a total of 500 hours per calendar year; and (5). Within the 500 hours per calendar year set out above, no more than 300 hours per calendar year can be for the purpose of peak electricity network load management" .*

The resource consent CRC120060 allows for not more than: 700 hours of use; 300 hours for peak electricity management and 'No limit' for when electricity supply is unavailable. The resource consent CRC132534 allows for not more than: 300 hours for peak electricity management and 'No limit' for when electricity supply is unavailable.

Question - Does the 'pCARP' rule 7.25 revoke the existing resource consent criteria as detailed above?

**Air Shed**

**Which Air Shed does this submission relate to or none**

**Choose one of the following three**

**Tick relevant topics**