

## Make Submission

<b>Consultee</b>	Rachel Puentener (62963)
<b>Email Address</b>	puentenerr@gmail.com
<b>Address</b>	27 Cradock Street South New Brighton Christchurch 8062
<b>Event Name</b>	Proposed Canterbury Air Regional Plan
<b>Submission by</b>	Rachel Puentener
<b>Submission ID</b>	pCARP-845
<b>Response Date</b>	30/04/15 10:43 PM
<b>Consultation Point</b>	13 MANDATORY INFORMATION ( <a href="#">View</a> )
<b>Status</b>	Submitted
<b>Submission Type</b>	Web
<b>Version</b>	0.2
<b>To Be Heard</b>	
<b>Please select the appropriate option from the following:</b>	I DO NOT wish to be heard in support of my submission; or
<b>If so</b>	

## Make Submission

<b>Consultee</b>	Rachel Puentener (62963)
<b>Email Address</b>	puentener@gmail.com
<b>Address</b>	27 Cradock Street South New Brighton Christchurch 8062
<b>Event Name</b>	Proposed Canterbury Air Regional Plan
<b>Submission by</b>	Rachel Puentener
<b>Submission ID</b>	pCARP-352
<b>Response Date</b>	30/04/15 10:40 PM
<b>Consultation Point</b>	Figure 1.1 Non-regulatory work programmes ( <a href="#">View</a> )
<b>Status</b>	Submitted
<b>Submission Type</b>	Web
<b>Version</b>	0.1

### Support Oppose

Supports in Part or Opposes in Part

*State concisely whether you support or oppose the provision being submitted on, or wish to have amendments made.*

**My submission is that:** . Support

*Please state your reasons for supporting/opposing/amendments sought*

### My reason(s) for supporting, opposing or requesting amendments to this specific provision are:

I particularly support the non-regulatory means of cleaning up the air while ensuring warm homes. I have recently become aware of the different types of double-glazing /window insulation options for new houses and their varying effectiveness. I would like to draw attention to the recent BRANZ Study Report 2014 "Window Thermal Enhancement: the development of a rating system". This report includes a section on barriers to more effective double-glazing being used. I am concerned that most people are unaware of the significant differences in double-glazing and are being offered the cheap option which is far less effective, thereby carrying the cost into the future of higher home heating bills. This is misleading and will undermine the efforts of the warm homes/clean air objectives.

I appreciate and support the Council's work and effort to encourage the market to provide ultra-low emission burners, so that there is still the opportunity to heat using wood. In the uncertain future of climate change where power shortages and power cuts are more likely, I think it is important there is still an option of warming homes using wood burners.

I also support initiatives such as working with community organisations to provide dry wood for those on low incomes, and educating wood burner users on how to best use their wood burners to lessen smoke output.

I also support educating those using wood burners about the length of time they should be leaving wood to 'dry' depending on what type of firewood they are using.

*Please give precise details for each provision. The more specific you can be the easier it will be for the Council to understand the outcome you are seeking.*

**I seek the following decisions from Environment Canterbury:**

I highly recommend the Council working with its partner organisations consider the BRANZ Study Report 2014 "Window Thermal Enhancement: the development of a rating system" and advocate where it can to help address the barriers identified.

I would like to request that there is enforcement introduced for wood merchants selling wet or treated wood and for others providing "free wood" which is treated or contains glues/resins. Education is obviously the first step, but there needs to also be consequences for selling wood that is wet, especially when those buying it may not be aware of the technicalities. Similarly, I encourage Environment Canterbury and its partner organisations to educate businesses who are offering free wood that is not suitable for burning (as it is treated or contains glues) to make that clear to those taking the wood and the reasons why it is not suitable for burning.

**Air Shed**

**Which Air Shed does this submission relate to or none**

**Choose one of the following three**

**Tick relevant topics**

## Make Submission

<b>Consultee</b>	Rachel Puentener (62963)
<b>Email Address</b>	puentenerr@gmail.com
<b>Address</b>	27 Cradock Street South New Brighton Christchurch 8062
<b>Event Name</b>	Proposed Canterbury Air Regional Plan
<b>Submission by</b>	Rachel Puentener
<b>Submission ID</b>	pCARP-353
<b>Response Date</b>	30/04/15 10:43 PM
<b>Consultation Point</b>	1 Introduction ( <a href="#">View</a> )
<b>Status</b>	Submitted
<b>Submission Type</b>	Web
<b>Version</b>	0.1
<b>Support Oppose</b>	
Supports in Part or Opposes in Part	

*State concisely whether you support or oppose the provision being submitted on, or wish to have amendments made.*

**My submission is that:**  Support

*Please state your reasons for supporting/opposing/amendments sought*

**My reason(s) for supporting, opposing or requesting amendments to this specific provision are:**

I support the intentions behind the Air Plan and congratulate the Council for taking a holistic approach to the issues.

**Air Shed**

**Which Air Shed does this submission relate to or none**

**Choose one of the following three**

**Tick relevant topics**