

Submission on the Proposed Canterbury Air Regional Plan

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

EC - CHCH				
FILE REF:	Air	PLAN	IP	6502
DOCUMENT No.:				
06 MAY 2015 65365			ACTION	INFO
Submitter ID:				
File No: Brett Aldridge				

Form 5: Submissions on a Publicly Notified Proposed Policy Statement or Regional Plan under Clause 6 of Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act 1991

Return your signed submission by 5.00pm, Friday 1 May 2015 to:

Freeport 1201
Proposed Canterbury Air Regional Plan.
Environment Canterbury
P O Box 345
Christchurch 8140

A

Full Name: Ross William Manson **Phone (Hm):** 03 318 0916

Organisation*: Personal **Phone (Wk):** 1

* the organisation that this submission is made on behalf of

Postal Address: 518 Steeles Road R. D. 2 **Phone (Cell):** _____

Danfield

Postcode: _____

Email: _____ **Fax:** _____

Contact name and postal address for service of person making submission (if different from above):

above

Trade Competition

Pursuant to Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act 1991, a person who could gain an advantage in trade competition through the submission may make a submission only if directly affected by an effect of the proposed policy statement or plan that:

- a) adversely affects the environment; and
- b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.

Please tick the sentence that applies to you:

- ☐ I could not gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission; or
- ☐ I could gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission. **If you have ticked this box please select one of the following:**

- ☐ I am directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission
- ☐ I am not directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission

Signature: R W Manson **Date:** 1-5-2015

(Signature of person making submission or person authorised to sign on behalf of person making the submission)

Please note:

(1) all information contained in a submission under the Resource Management Act 1991, including names and addresses for service, becomes public information.

B

- ☐ I do not wish to be heard in support of my submission; or
- ☒ I do wish to be heard in support of my submission; and if so,
- ☒ I would be prepared to consider presenting your submission in a joint case with others making a similar submission at any hearing

My general submission on Ecan's proposal for air quality
I would like to make the following points.

Humans have had wood fires for at least $\frac{1}{2}$ million yrs.
It has been part of our progress and is probably so
ingrained in our development that we will be genetically
imprinted. i.e. It is good for our health.

We use smell and taste to decide whether things are good
for us and I would suggest that nobody dislikes the smell
of wood smoke, unlike coal Diesel Petrol etc.

Therefore I am against any restriction on controlled wood
fires that could impact on our health and ability to keep
warm.

as a farmer of fifty plus years I have always used the
burning of rubbish etc with respect.

Therefore I support the controlled burning of anything
flamable as the best and cheapest method of disposing
of unwanted material.

There will always be times when burning is the only practical
solution as when we had 7 kilometres of shelter belts
blown over on 10 Sept 2013. The only solution was to
stack the tens of thousands of tons of wood into heaps and burn.
(we extracted tens of tons of fire wood before burning).

I also believe that the burning of straw after grain harvesting
should be allowed if there is no practical alternative both for
health (it removes nitrates and controls diseases) and economic reasons

Thank you

R M Thompson

Fast Fast Fast Fast Fast Fast Fast Fast Fast Fast Fast Fast

Fast



Postage Included



**Environment
Canterbury**
Regional Council

PO Box 345
Christchurch 8140

