Submission on the Proposed Canterbury Air Regional Plan

Form 5: Submissions on a Publicly Notified Proposed Policy Statement or Regional Plan under Clause 6 of Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act 1991

Return your signed submission by 5.00pm, Friday 1 May 2015 to:
Freepost 1201
Proposed Canterbury Air Regional Plan.
Environment Canterbury
P O Box 345
Christchurch 8140

A
Full Name: DENNIS JOHN
Phone (Hm): (03) 385 647
Organisation*: 
* the organisation that this submission is made on behalf of
Phone (Wk): 
Postal Address: 40 WOODVILLE ST ST ALBANS
CHRISTCHURCH 8013
Phone (Cell): (021) 236 6547
Postcode: 8013
Email: dennis.john@paradise.net.nz
Fax: 
Contact name and postal address for service of person making submission (if different from above):

Trade Competition
Pursuant to Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act 1991, a person who could gain an advantage in trade competition through the submission may make a submission only if directly affected by an effect of the proposed policy statement or plan that:

a) adversely affects the environment; and
b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.

Please tick the sentence that applies to you:

☐ I could not gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission; or
☐ I could gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission. If you have ticked this box please select one of the following:
☐ I am directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission
☐ I am not directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission

Signature: 
Date: 30- 4- 15

(B) ☐ I do not wish to be heard in support of my submission; or
☐ I do wish to be heard in support of my submission; and if so,
☐ I would be prepared to consider presenting your submission in a joint case with others making a similar submission at any hearing

Please note:
(1) all information contained in a submission under the Resource Management Act 1991, including names and addresses for service, becomes public information.
C (1) The specific provisions of the proposal that my submission relates to are: (Specify page number and subsection numbering for each separate provision).

| C1. | 4-60 4-61 4-63 4-65 4-68 |
| C2. | 3-2 3-4 3-6 |

(2) My submission is that: (State concisely whether you support or oppose each separate provision being submitted on, or wish to have amendments made and the reasons for your views.)

- I consider it unreasonable to set emission standards for the space heating in CAZ's (CH.C). I request that these (not set so) are split. It is to be for the Council to understand your concerns.
- I consider it unreasonable to split emission standards for the CH.C in CAZ's that cannot be met by manufacturers of WB's. Test sites are needed in CH.C.
- In real life situations, my new air (subsequent to EQ's) with WB back struggled to meet time frame suggested. They may get there on a test bed without excellent conditions, but the real world out here is quite different. I need the heat capacity of a decent WB, not a technological marvel that can sporadically provide. Or WB's will not replace this. You would send me to 2 or 3 heat pumps!!

(3) I seek the following decisions from Environment Canterbury: (Please give precise details for each provision. The more specific you can be the easier it will be for the Council to understand your concerns.)

-efficiency test is unfair on the push to mandate 0.5% of fuel UE.WB's in CH.C CAZ from 2016.
- I am not sure what your options are. I Note (3-8) that removal of a large no. of enclosed burners (mostly dub) did not affect your test results. From St Albans & Woolston! Surprise. Punitive & unfair.

Add further pages as required.
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