

From: [ECInfo](#)
To: [Mailroom Mailbox](#)
Subject: FW: Submission on Air Plan EMAIL:03161706
Date: Friday, 1 May 2015 3:47:50 p.m.
Attachments: [{cid132BA92AA813C946A50B4BD49939BEE3@ecan.govt.nz}air-plan-submission-form-signed.pdf](#)
Importance: Low

Hi Mailroom,

Can you please TRIM and workflow this submission?

Kind regards,

Charles

----- Original Message -----

From: Mr & Mrs J E & L B Frizzell

Received: 1/05/2015 3:40 p.m.

To: ECInfo; Environment Canterbury; Services Customer; Services Customer

Subject: Submission on Air Plan

Ecan

Attached is our submission from Waitikiri Gardens. Please confirm receipt.

Regards

John Frizzell

Waitikiri Gardens

449 Prestons Rd

P.O. Box 39 073

Christchurch 8545

email john@wgflowers.co.nz

Phone 383 5104

Fax 383 5102

Specialist producers of
*** Fresh Cut Flowers * Ornamentals * Bulbs**



FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

Submitter ID:

File No:

Submission on the Proposed Canterbury Air Regional Plan

Form 5: Submissions on a Publicly Notified Proposed Policy Statement or Regional Plan under Clause 6 of Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act 1991

Return your signed submission by 5.00pm, Friday 1 May 2015 to:

Freepost 1201
Proposed Canterbury Air Regional Plan.
Environment Canterbury
P O Box 345
Christchurch 8140

A

Full Name: John Frizzell Phone (Hm): 357 8595

Organisation*: Waitikiri Gardens Phone (Wk): 383 5104

* the organisation that this submission is made on behalf of

Postal Address: P.O. Box 39 073 Christchurch Phone (Cell): _____

Postcode: _____

Email: john@wgflowers.co.nz Fax: _____

Contact name and postal address for service of person making submission (if different from above):

Trade Competition

Pursuant to Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act 1991, a person who could gain an advantage in trade competition through the submission may make a submission only if directly affected by an effect of the proposed policy statement or plan that:

- a) adversely affects the environment; and
- b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.

Please tick the sentence that applies to you:

- I could not gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission; or
- I could gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission. **If you have ticked this box please select one of the following:**
- I am directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission
 - I am not directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission

Signature: John Frizzell Date: 1/5/2015

(Signature of person making submission or person authorised to sign on behalf of person making the submission)

Please note:

(1) all information contained in a submission under the Resource Management Act 1991, including names and addresses for service, becomes public information.

- | | | |
|----------|-------------------------------------|---|
| B | <input type="checkbox"/> | I <u>do not</u> wish to be heard in support of my submission; or |
| | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | I <u>do</u> wish to be heard in support of my submission; and if so, |
| | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | I would be prepared to consider presenting your submission in a joint case with others making a similar submission at any hearing |

C (1) The specific provisions of the proposal that my submission relates to are: <i>(Specify page number and subsection numbering for each separate provision).</i>	(2) My submission is that: <i>(State concisely whether you support or oppose each separate provision being submitted on, or wish to have amendments made and the reasons for your views.)</i>	(3) I seek the following decisions from Environment Canterbury: <i>(Please give precise details for each provision. The more specific you can be the easier it will be for the Council to understand your concerns.)</i>
Chapter 7	I oppose rules that are more restrictive than	That the same provisions included in AQL24
	the previous rules included in the Canterbury	and AQL26 are included in the new rules.
	Natural Resources Regional Plan Air Quality.	
	In particular the rules relating to Solid Fuel	
	AQL24 and AQL26	
The pCARP is unfair, unreasonable, and will hinder business, investment and growth in Canterbury. It changes the existing rules without any	notification or recourse other than what is provided by the submission period. There has been no opportunity for consultation from affected parties.	
We have purchase land and equipment to set up business, based on the rules in NRRP We think it is very unfair to change the rules like this.		

Add further pages as required.